#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 112 205 95 CE 005 227

AUTHOR Mannebach, Alfred J.; Lownds, Barbara V.

TITLE A Survey of Employment Opportunities and Community

Resources Having Implications for Frograms of

Vocational Agriculture in Connecticut: Phase 2. Final

Report.

INSTITUTION Connecticut Univ., Storrs. Dept. of Higher,

Technical, and Adult Education.

SPONS AGENCY Connecticut State Dept. of Education, Hartford. Div.

of Vocational Education .: Office of Education (DHEW),

Washington, D.C.

REPORT NO VT-102-190

PUB DATE Mar 75 NOTE 210p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$10.78 Plus Postage

DESCRIPTORS Agricultural Occupations; \*Community Resources; Data

Analysis: \*Employment Opportunities: Employment Projections: \*Employment Statistics: Occupational Surveys: State Programs: \*State Surveys: Tables

(Data): \*Vocational Agriculture

IDENTIFIERS \*Connecticut

# ABSTRACT

The publication is a report of phase 2 of a two-phase project to determine the employment opportunities and community resources in vocational agriculture in Connecticut. The study was designed to enumerate job possibilities, to inform farmers and agricultural firm operators of the vocational agriculture program, and to identify the community resources available to State programs in vocational agriculture. Phase 2, conducted between July 1964 and March 1975, involved the collection, compilation, and reporting of the data, and the dissemination of information about the vocational agriculture program. The tabulated data appearing in the report provide information about current and projected employment, income, and levels of experience and education required for beginning employment. Results of the survey indicate that the employment opportunities in agriculture in the State are increasing at the rate of approximately 3 percent per year. The findings also indicate a large number of resources available in the State that could be utilized to enrich the vocational agriculture program. The major portion of the report is taken up with the presentation and summary of the data. Phase 2 procedures are described, and a summary of the activities of phase 1 also appears. A sample interview schedule is appended along with information about project personnel. (NJ)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the , of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). is not responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the production in the production of the original document.

ED 112 205

A SURVEY OF EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES AND COMMUNITY RESOURCES HAVING IMPLICATIONS FOR PROGRAMS OF VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE IN CONNECTICUT: PHASE II

FINAL REPORT

U.S. DEPARTMENT DF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

DR. ALFRED J. MANNEBACH
Associate Professor
BARBARA V. LOWNDS
Research Associate
Department of Higher, Technical and Adult Education
School of Education
The University of Connecticut
Storrs, Connecticut 06268

March, 1975

Points of view or opinions stated do not necessarily represent official opinion or policy of state or federal governmental agencies, as the writers are encouraged to express freely their professional judgement in the conduct of the project.

CVT 102 190)

CONNECTICUT STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION DIVISION OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION RESEARCH AND PLANNING UNIT HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

ERIC

#### PREFACE

This publication is a report of a study to determine the employment opportunities for persons who have knowledge and skills in agricultural subjects and to determine the community resources available to programs of vocational agriculture in Connecticut. The study was designed to enumerate job possibilities, to determine where job openings in agriculture are located, to inform farmers and agricultural firm operators of the program of vocational agriculture, and to determine what resources in the community could be tapped to enrich the program of vocational agriculture offered in Connecticut.

The need for the study was expressed by Mr. Joseph Murphy, Associate Director, Division of Vocational Education, Gonnecticut State Department of Education, who expressed the need to know what the future holds for persons who have had training in vocational agriculture. These concerns were indicated at a special session of the Connecticut Consulting Committee for Vocational Agriculture which subsequently recommended that a state-wide survey of employment opportunities be undertaken to determine the need for persons who have knowledge and skill in agricultural subjects.

At the same time, the teachers attending the 1973 Connecticut Vocational Agriculture Teachers' Conference were focusing their attention on improving the supervised occupational experience programs of students enrolled in vocational agriculture. The problems and concerns identified by the teachers regarding the location of training stations and the onthe-job placement experiences of students further validated the need for the study. Involvement of the teachers of vocational agriculture in studying the employment opportunities and identifying community resources was critical, because the teachers were the ones who would profit most from the data collected and the contacts made in the community. It was for these reasons that the teachers of vocational agriculture agreed to conduct interviews during Phase IT of the study.

The anticipated outcomes of this survey are: (1) to have available a listing of the population of farms and non-farm agricultural firms which employ people who need knowledge and skill in agricultural subjects; (2) to have systematically up-dated the teachers of vocational agriculture regarding the location and types of employment opportunities available; and (3) to be able to determine the implications of the study on curriculum, location of facilities, types of facilities and equipment needed, and staff training needs.

The completion of the study will provide Connecticut with the foundation data needed to keep the program of vocational agriculture abreast of the changing needs of a dynamic agricultural economy. The project staff is to be commended for their efforts in organizing the project and conducting the work needed to complete the study.

Mr. Roger W. Lawrence Consultant of Vocational Agriculture



4

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The project director wishes to express his appreciation to those who have contributed to the completion of the study. A sincere thank you is extended to the project staff for their conscientious efforts throughout the study. Special recognition and appreciation is extended to Barbara Lownds, Principal Investigator, who worked beyond the call of duty to see the project through to its completion. Gratitude is also expressed to Holly Foster, Robert Karp, Lyn Martin, Roberta Ohotnicky and Beverly Sims who served as graduate assistants, to David L. Brown, who served as computer programmer, to Charlene Carpenter, Jean Kappers, and Sandra Lytle who served as secretaries for the project, and to the many student helpers who assisted with the project.

The advice and assistance provided by the administration and secretarial staff in the School of Education and in the Department of Higher, Technical and Adult Education is gratefully acknowledged. Particular appreciation is due Dr. Glenn C. Atkyns, Department Head, and Abina Havens, secretary.

Appreciation is extended to Roger W. Lawrence, Agricultural Education Consultant, and Richard C. Wilson, Research and Planning Consultant, Division of Vocational Education, Connecticut State Department of Education, for the direction and assistance in initiating and conducting the study.

The work of the State Vocational Agriculture Consulting Committee in recommending that the study be undertaken and the assistance of the Project Advisory Committee in developing parameters for the study are gratefully acknowledged. Also, the technical assistance provided by the project consultants contributed greatly to the conduct of the study.

Finally, to the teachers of vocational agriculture in Connecticut, for whom this study will have the greatest implications, appreciation is extended for their cooperation in identifying the population lists, refining the interview schedule, attending training sessions, conducting interviews, and submitting completed interview forms for analysis and compilation.

Dr. Alfred J. Mannebach Project Director



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE		ii
ACKNOWLE	DGMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT		v
LIST OF	TABLES	vi
CHAPTER		PAGE
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Background and Rationale	2 4 5 7 9
II.	METHODS AND PROCEDURES	11
	Summary of Phase I Procedures	11 18
III.	PRESENTATION OF DATA	22
IV.	SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	109
	Summary	109 110 116
APPENDI	r A	
Α.	Interview Schedule	121
В.	Non-Farm Category Listings	126
С.	State Vocational Agriculture Consulting Committee	127
D.	Project Advisory Committee	129
Ε.	Project Consultants	130
F.	Connecticut Vocational Agriculture Teachers	131
C	Man of Regions Designated for the Study	133



6

A SURVEY OF EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES AND COMMUNITY RESOURCES HAVING IMPLICATIONS FOR PROGRAMS OF VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE IN CONNECTICUT: PHASE II\*

Alfred J. Mannebach and Barbara V. Lownds

Department of Higher, Technical and Adult Education
School of Education
University of Connecticut, 1975

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of the study was (1) to determine the employment opportunities for persons who have knowledge and skills in agricultural subjects and (2) to determine the community resources available to programs of vocational agriculture in Connecticut. The study was designed to enumerate job possibilities, to determine where job openings in agriculture are located, to inform farmers and agricultural firm operators of the program of vocational agriculture, and to determine what resources in the community could be tapped to enrich the program of vocational agriculture offered in Connecticut.

<u>Method</u>: Phase I consisted of the organization, preparation and development of materials for the survey. Activities included reviewing the literature, determining the population, selecting the sample, designing the survey interview schedule and preparing the teachers of vocational agriculture to interview farmers and agricultural firm operators. Phase II of the study was designed to collect and analyze the data and to write the final report.

Results: Outcomes of Phase I included the compilation of a <u>Summary Review of Literature</u> related to farm and non-farm employment in agriculture, regional listings of farms and agricultural firms, an interview schedule, an interview manual of methods and procedures to be followed, introductory letters, a summary of interview form, a brochure describing the program of vocational agriculture, and teachers of agriculture who were prepared to collect data through personal interviews.

Outcomes of Phase II included a compilation of data regarding current employment, current vacancies, replacements needed in the next five years, the projected work force in 1979, the sex of workers, minimum and maximum weekly earnings, level of experience required for entry employment, formal educational level required for beginning employment, difficulty experienced in hiring qualified workers, and ways in which Connecticut agricultural employees obtain additional training. Data regarding whether or not Connecticut agricultural employers had hired vocational agriculture graduates, were familiar with the vocational agriculture program, or were familiar with the FFA were collected. In addition, data regarding what the vocational agriculture program could do for agricultural employers and what the employers could do for the program of vocational agriculture were also gathered.

Results indicated that the employment opportunities in agriculture in Connecticut are increasing at a rate of approximately three per cent per year. Also, within the state a large number of resources are available which, if tapped, could be used to enrich the program of vocational agriculture offered in Connecticut.

\*A study supported by the Connecticut State Department of Education, Division of Vocational Education, through Part C, Research Funds, Public Law 90-576, Vocational Education Amendments of 1968.



# LIST OF TABLES

<b>C</b> ab1	e	Page
1.	Estimated Total Annual Workforce of Connecticut Farms and Agricultural Firms Employing Paid Workers, 1974	25
2.	Estimated Number of Full-Time Workers Needing Knowledge and Skill in Agriculture on Connecticut Farms and in Agricultural Firms, 1974-1979, By Category	26
3.	Estimated Number of Full-Time Workers Needing Knowledge and Skill in Agriculture on Connecticut Farms and in Agricultura! Firms, 1974-1979, By Job Title	28
4.	Estimated Distribution, By Sex, of Full-Time and Part-Time Workers Needing Knowledge and Skill in Agriculture in Connecticut, By Category	37
5.	Estimated Distribution, By Sex, of Full-Time and Part-Time Workers Needing Knowledge and Skill in Agriculture in Connecticut, By Job Title	38
6.	Reported Weekly Earnings of Full-Time Workers on Farms and in Agricultural Firms in Connecticut, By Category	46
7.	Reported Weekly Tarnings of Full-Time Workers on Farms and in Agricultural Firms in Connecticut, By Job Title	47
8.	Jobs Which Require Prior Experience in Agriculture for Entry Employment on Farms and in Agricultural Firms in Connecticut, By Category	56
9.	Jobs Which Require Prior Experience in Agriculture for Entry Employment on Farms and in Agricultural Firms in Connecticut, By Job Title	57
10.	Minimum Formal Educational Level Connecticut Agricultural Employers Would Accept for Beginning Employees, By Category .	66
11.	Minimum Formal Educational Level Connecticut Agricultural Employers Would Accept for Beginning Employees, By Job Title.	67
12.	Difficulty Experienced by Connecticut Agricultural Employers in Hiring Qualified Workers, By Category	77
13,	Difficulty Experienced by Connecticut Agricultural Employers in Hiring Qualified Workers, By Job Title	78
14.	Ways in Which Connecticut Agricultural Employees Obtain Additional Training	88



# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

<b>Ta</b> ble		Page
15.	Connecticut Agricultural Businesses Which Had Hired Vocational Agriculture Graduates in the Last Ten Years	91
16.	Connecticut Agricultural Employers Familiar with the Vocational Agriculture Program	92
17.	Connecticut Agricultural Employers Familiar with the F.F.A	94
18.	Connecticut Farm and Agricultural Firm Employers Who Were Willing to Permit Individual Students to Observe Business Operations, By Category	95
19.	Connecticut Farm and Agricultural Firm Employers Who Were Willing to Permit Classes to Observe Business Operations, By Category	96
20.	Connecticut Farm and Agricultural Firm Employers Who Were Willing to Provide Supervised Occupational Experience for Vocational Agriculture Students Through Employment, By Category	97
21.	Connecticut Farm and Agricultural Firm Employers Who Were Willing to Allow Employees to Provide Short-Term Instruction at the School, By Category	98
22.	Connecticut Farm and Agricultural Firm Employers Who Were Willing to Allow Employees to Provide Short-Term Instruction at the Business, By Category	99
23.	Vocational Agriculture Services Desired by Connecticut	105



9

# CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

This publication is a report of Phase II of a two-phase project to determine the employment opportunities for persons who have knowledge and skills in agricultural subjects and to determine the community resources available to programs of vocational agriculture in Connecticut. The study was designed to enumerate job possibilities, to determine where job openings in agriculture are located, to inform farmers and agricultural firm operators of the program of vocational agriculture, and to determine what resources in the community could be tapped to enrich the program of vocational agriculture offered in Connecticut. Phase I of the study extended from November, 1973, to June, 1974, and Phase II was conducted between July, 1974, and March, 1975.

The objectives of Phase I of the project were to compile a comprehensive review of literature related to farm and agricultural firm employment opportunities and community resources in agriculture, to compile a master list of the names and addresses of farmers and agricultural firms in Connecticut, to random sample from the population a representative number of farmers and agricultural firm operators to be interviewed, to develop an interview schedule, and to develop the interviewing and survey research skills of the teachers of vocational agriculture in Connecticut. Information regarding the accomplishment of the above objectives was reported in the Phase I Final Report. Therefore, much of the information found in that report will not be presented here.



The major purpose of Phase II of the project was to have teachers of vocational agriculture collect in their own regions employment opportunity and community resource data which could be compiled and reported on a statewide as well as a regional basis. Other purposes were to disseminate information about the vocational agriculture program to farmers and agricultural firm operators and to promote interaction between the teacher and the members of the agricultural community.

# Background and Rationale

A traditional challenge to vocational education has been to meet the needs of society by providing a well trained and educated labor force. As a result of the 1963 Vocational Education Act and the Vocational Education Amendments of 1968, the primary emphasis in vocational education has shifted from meeting the needs of the labor market to meeting the needs of individuals. Yet, it was recognized that if programs of vocational education were to bridge the gap between the needs of individuals and the needs of the labor market, information regarding both was essential. Needs could be met most effectively to the extent that the individuals were prepared for and could find productive satisfying employment in careers which provided enough remuneration to sustain them. Skills developed in a program of vocational education had to be saleable. To find the demand for personnel with skilled training in occupational areas was a proper function of vocational educators.

A second challenge which confronted vocational educators in agriculture was keeping abreast with the changing nature of the agriculture/

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{Vocational}$  Education Amendments of 1968, Public Law 90-576, 90th Congress.



<sup>1</sup> Vocational Education Act of 1963, Public Law 88-210, 88th Congress.

agribusiness scene. Food production had become the center of attention of almost all consumers. Specialization was at an all-time high. At the same time, more and more individuals were interested in maintaining their standard of living by producing food or by developing agricultural knowledge and skills whereby they could supplement their incomes.

Another challenge facing the agricultural community was making the public aware of the wide range of agriculture related occupations. Although sometimes agriculture was considered only in terms of farm production, the non-farm agriculture sector offered a variety of employment possibilities. Employment opportunities existed in agricultural communications, conservation, education, processing, recreation, research, and sales and services. As the demand for non-production agricultural skills and services increased there was a changing clientele who wanted, needed and could profit from instruction in agriculture.

The program of vocational agriculture changed to meet the need. As a result of the 1963 legislation, six instructional areas other than production agriculture, or farming, were identified. The six instructional areas were agricultural supply, agricultural products, agricultural resources, agricultural mechanics, ornamental horticulture and forestry. If teachers of vocational agriculture were to offer programs in these areas, they needed to know what employment opportunities existed for graduates, where students could be placed for supervised occupational experience, and what resources on the farms and in the agricultural firms could be used to enhance and enrich the program.

Employers, on the other hand, had to be aware that the program of vocational agriculture existed, that it was a potential source of trained personnel, and that present employees could upgrade their knowledge and



skills by enrolling in adult courses in agriculture. Employers had to be made aware of the benefit they could receive by employing, on a part-time basis, students who were concurrently studying vocational agriculture. They also had to be made aware of the up-to-date resources which they had to offer and the mutual benefits to be derived from cooperative arrangements with the vocational agriculture centers.

The time seemed right for a statewide assessment of employment opportunities for persons having knowledge and skill in agriculture, and of community resources available to those involved in conducting programs of vocational agriculture. The study was designed so that teachers of vocational agriculture would be involved in collecting the data and disseminating information about the program in the regions served by the vocational agriculture centers. This would allow the teachers to observe first hand the employment potential for students and to develop relationships with farmers and agricultural firm operators on a systematic basis.

The project staff would survey the literature, identify the population and sample, develop the interview schedule, train the teachers to conduct the interviews and analyze and report the data. It was with this cooperative arrangement in mind that the project was planned and initiated.

# Statement of the Problem

In Connecticut, programs of vocational education in agriculture were offered in fourteen regional vocational agriculture centers and in two local high schools. With the demand for vocational agriculture increasing, as evidenced by increasing enrollments, it was essential to have up-dated, documented data regarding farm and agricultural firm employment opportunities at both state and regional levels. At the state level, such



information was needed to assist in planning and in making decisions regarding programs to be developed, curricula to be offered, facilities to be erected or expanded, equipment to be installed, teachers to be prepared and towns and persons to be served.

At the regional level, information was needed so that teachers of vocational agriculture could guide students into and prepare them for existing employment opportunities in agriculture and so that they could keep current with the changing knowledge and skills required by workers employed in agricultural occupations. Regional data regarding the number and kinds of job opportunities available in agriculture would help the teachers of vocational agriculture fulfill the training, supervised occupational experience program, and placement functions of their jobs. In addition, information regarding community resources available on farms and in agricultural firms could provide a base for strengthening the practical, instructional, and experiential aspects of the education of vocational agriculture students.

Data were needed regarding the following: the number of person employed in specific job titles in agriculture, projected numbers required in the future, emerging occupational opportunities in agriculture, and trends regarding the level of training and specialization necessary. Such information would be valuable for more effective planning at the state level, and for improving programs and strengthening relationships among educators, farmers and agricultural firm operators at the local and regional levels.

## Objectives\_

The objectives of Phase I of the project, as stated in the proposal, were as follows:



- 1. To compile a comprehensive review of literature related to employment opportunities and community resources in agriculture.
- 2. To compile a master list of the names and addresses of farmers and agricultural firms in Connecticut.
- To random sample from the population a representative number of farmers and agricultural firm operators to be interviewed.
- 4. To develop a survey instrument on which to collect data concerning employment opportunities in agriculture, and data that may have implications for program planning and improvement and curriculum development in vocational agriculture.
- 5. To develop the interviewing and survey research skills of the teachers of vocational agriculture in Connecticut.
- 6. To initiate data collection in areas having a high sample concentration.

At the end of Phase I, all activities to be accomplished prior to data collection by the teachers of vocational agriculture were completed.

Data collection in areas of high population and sample concentration was carried over into Phase II of the survey.

Phase II, the implementation stage of the project, built upon the planning and development initiated in Phase I. Phase II included data collection, computation and analysis, and the writing of summary reports. The following objectives were accomplished in Phase II of the study:

- To implement data collection procedures developed in Phase I. Teachers of vocational agriculture will conduct personal interviews with farmers and agricultural firm operators in their regions. Graduate research assistants will assist in data collection in areas of high sample concentration.
- 2. To compute data and obtain print-outs.
- 3. To analyze data.
- 4. To write reports based upon analysis of the data.
- 5. To write summary and special reports.
- 6. To write the final report for Phase II.



More specifically, the study was designed to collect information regarding the type of farm, the primary functions and services provided by agricultural firms, the total number of paid and unpaid workers in the business in the course of a year, the new skills needed by employees, the method by which employees get additional training, the new job titles which may become available in the next five years, whether or not the interviewee has hired vocational agriculture graduates in the last ten years, whether or not the interviewee is familiar with the FFA, as well as information regarding what the farmer or agricultural firm operator could do for the program of vocational agriculture and what personnel in the vocational agriculture center could do for the farmer or businessman. In addition, for each job title identified for which knowledge and skill in agriculture was required, data were collected regarding number of workers employed, sex of employees, full, part-time and seasonal employment, current vacancies, educational level required and rates of pay. For specific information regarding questions asked see Appendix A for a copy of the interview schedule.

# Definition of Terms

The terms used in the study were defined as follows:

Agricultural Occupation: An occupation in which the worker needs competency in one or more of the primary areas of plant science, animal science, soil science, agricultural mechanization and agricultural business management and marketing.

Agricultural Education: A group of related courses or units of subject matter organized for carrying on learning experiences concerned with preparation for, or upgrading in, occupations requiring knowledge and



skills in agricultural subjects. The functions of agricultural production, agricultural products (processing), ornamental horticulture, forestry, agricultural resources, and the services related thereto, are emphasized in the instruction designed to provide opportunities for pupils to prepare for, or improve, their competencies in agricultural occupations. An agricultural occupation may include one or any combination of these functions.

Agricultural Firm: A non-farm agricultural business whose primary functions are other than plant and animal production, but whose functions and services support the agricultural industry.

Combination Farm and Agricultural Firm: A business which includes the activities of a farm and an agricultural firm.

Farm: A business whose primary function is plant or animal production.

<u>Full-Time</u> <u>Employees</u>: Those who work more than 30 hours per week for the majority of the year, and whose primary income is derived from this job.

Part-Time Employees: Those who work in a job for less than 30 hours per week for the majority of the year.

Seasonal Employees: Those who work less than the majority of the year in a job. They may work more or less than 30 hours per week.

<u>Vacancy</u>: A paid position that an employer is actively seeking to fill. If a job has been recently vacated, and the employer is not seeking to fill it, it is not a vacancy.



<u>Vocational Agriculture</u>: A program of instruction in agricultural subjects for Loth high school students and adults, designed to prepare persons for entry into, and advancement in, agricultural occupations.

# Basic Assumptions

The following are the basic assumptions under which the study was conducted:

- 1. The population of farms and agricultural firms were truly representative of the agricultural economy of Connecticut.
- 2. Valid and reliable data would be elicited by the interviewers using the interview schedule developed.
- There would be relative uniformity in the approach used by the interviewers who attended the training sessions.
- 4. The interviewees would be willing to cooperate and provide the data requested.
- 5. All interviews would be completed during July and August, 1974.

# Limitations of the Study

The following are the principal limitations of the study:

- The study included only farms and agricultural firms employing one or more paid workers needing knowledge and skills in agricultural subjects. This excluded single operator and family farms and agricultural firms.
- Non-agricultural firms, agencies, institutions and organizations who employ persons who need agricultural knowledge and skills were not included in the survey.
- 3. The regions were not equal in total population, number of farms, number of agricultural firms, number of teachers, number of towns served or area.
- 4. Sampling ratios among regions were not uniform because the number of teachers per region did not correlate with farm and agricultural firm population of the region.



- 5. Backgrounds and approaches of teachers of vocational agriculture varied from those of the graduate research assistants.
- 6. The study was conducted during a period of rapid economic change. This factor made it difficult for employers to predict with certainty what future employment opportunities would exist on their farms and in their businesses.



## CHAPTER II

#### METHODS AND PROCEDURES

# Summary of Phase I Procedures

The final report of Phase I of the project contained a detailed description of the methodology and outcomes of Phase I. Therefore, only a summary of procedures and outcomes will be presented here.

The study was undertaken as a result of the joint planning of the Connecticut State Department of Education, Division of Vocational Education and the Department of Higher, Technical and Adult Education, School of Education, University of Connecticut. Primary emphasis of the study was to determine employment opportunities and community resources in agriculture as they related to students enrolled in programs of vocational agriculture in Connecticut.

A major focus of the survey was on identifying those areas that would afford the greatest potential for providing employment opportunities for vocational agriculture graduates. Therefore, the study was limited to a survey of the employment opportunities available on farms, in agricultural firms, and in farm-firm combinations that employed one or more agricultural workers.

Non-agricultural firms, agencies, institutions and organizations who employ persons needing agricultural knowledge and skill were not surveyed. It was recognized that by imposing this limitation, many employment opportunities for persons who need knowledge and skill in agriculture would not be identified.

Employment data which presented details of employment in both agricultural and non-agricultural industries were available, however, from



the Region I report 1 of a national study conducted by the Economic Research Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture. The study, based upon census data, was conducted in cooperation with the Bureau of Census, U. S. Department of Commerce; the Office of Education, U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare; and the Manpower Administration and Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor.

In the report, occupational data were separated into three sections. Section I included occupations that require or utilize agribusiness competencies in any industry where employed. Seventeen occupations which may fall within any of 201 industries were identified. Section II included occupations that require or utilize agribusiness competencies only in selected industries. Fifty-four occupations within 82 selected industries were identified. Section III included occupations within selected industries where some workers require, or utilize agribusiness competencies. Sixty-seven occupations within 82 selected industries were identified in this section.

Data by occupation within industry were presented. For Connecticut, it was estimated that a total of 16,689 workers were included in Section I, 4,755 workers were included in Section II, and 74,220 workers were included in Section III. These data were derived from the 1970 Census of Population taken by the U. S. Bureau of Census. The number of workers was derived from census of population figures based upon the chief activity or primary occupation the respondent was engaged in during the survey week in March, 1970.

The Region I report presented estimates of workers needing agricultural competencies in specific occupations within selected industries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Employment in agricultural and agribusiness occupations. Washington: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, ERS-571, August, 1974.



Data presented in the Region I report were not comparable with the data found in this report because of difference in data source, definitions, scope, season, year, and occupations selected for study. For a further breakdown of the data compiled and a description of the purposes, methods and limitations of the Region I report, it is suggested that the reader refer to the U. S. Department of Agriculture report.

One of the first tasks confronting the project staff was to determine the population of farmers in the state and to identify the farmers who hired paid workers. In a 1971 study of the feasibility of covering agricultural workers under unemployment compensation, 2 it was reported that approximately one third of the agricultural producers in Connecticut hired paid workers. The remainder of the farmers relied heavily in family labor, often farming on only a part-time basis. In view of the need to identify employment opportunities, it was necessary to determine which farmers employed hired help.

Since no one source could provide complete lists of the employing producers, several state agencies and private associations were contacted to contribute to the compilation of lists of farm operators. Among those who contributed were the Connecticut State Department of Agriculture, the Cooperative Extensive Service, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Connecticut; the Agriculture Stabilization and Conservation Service of the United States Department of Agriculture; and the vocational agriculture teachers. With their assistance a population of farmers was obtained from which to draw regional samples.

For the agricultural firms the first step was to determine the category listings (Appendix B) which would be considered agriculturally related.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Taylor, J. W. and R. O. P. Farrish. The impact of extending unemployment insurance to agriculture in Connecticut, Storrs: University of Connecticut, Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, September, 1973.



An agricultural firm was defined as a business whose primary functions are other than plant and animal production, but whose functions and services support the agricultural industry. Since it was felt that those non-agricultural businesses which may employ some persons needing knowledge and skill in agriculture were too numerous to include in the population, they were excluded from the survey. Initially, the agricultural firm categories were determined from telephone directory listings, and were refined through staff consultations with educational research and agricultural experts. It was assumed that those agricultural firm operators who were actively advertising in the classified section of the most recent telephone directory were likely to be in business at the time of the survey. The categories were submitted to the Project Advisory Committee and to the vocational agriculture teachers for their review and modification.

As the names of farms and businesses were identified each was coded by town. The 169 towns in Connecticut were divided into regions which generally corresponded to the areas served by the vocational agriculture centers. Seventeen regions were designated for the study. Fourteen of the regions were served by the vocational agriculture centers and two by local high schools. In these regions local vocational agriculture teachers were to conduct the survey. One region, with no vocational agriculture center, was to be surveyed by graduate research assistants.

The personal interview was deemed to be the best method of gathering data. The Connecticut vocational agriculture teachers agreed to conduct the interviews during the months of July and August, the beginning of Phase II of the project. It was felt that any biasing effects resulting from the teachers conducting the interviews would be more than offset by the benefits obtained from the interaction of the teacher and the farmer or agricultural firm operator.



Based on the number of interviewers, vocational agriculture teachers in each region, a random sample was drawn using a table of random numbers. Farm and agricultural firm samples were drawn separately according to the ratio of farms to firms in the region. Each teacher was requested to complete twenty interviews. In areas of heavy population and sample concentration, project research assistants were assigned to assist the teachers in the collection of data. The data gathered from these samples were expanded by region, and unequal percentages of samples were statistically weighted for statewide summarization.

Much technical assistance was used in planning and developing the study. The State Vocational Agriculture Consulting Committee (Appendix C) was instrumental in identifying the need for the study and in recommending that it be undertaken. A Project Advisory Committee (Appendix D) composed of educational research and agriculture specialists provided guidance in interview schedule design, category listings for agricultural firms, and general project structure. Consultants, (Appendix E) engaged on a per diem basis for their knowledge and expertise on the areas of research and agriculture, contributed to the definition of terms, methods of identifying farms and agricultural firms, systems of coding, population identifying procedures, interview schedule development and interviewing techniques. The Connecticut teachers of vocational agriculture (Appendix F) helped establish objectives, assisted in the revision of the interview schedule and offered suggestions on sources of population listings. Since the data collected would have the greatest implications for the teachers of vocational agriculture, they were involved in the project from its inception.

During Phase I of the project, several products were developed. A Summary Review of Literature related to employment opportunities and



community resources in agriculture was compiled as background for the study. The review of literature focused on three areas of concern, namely, farms, non-farm agricultural firms and community resources. Included in the summary of farm-related information were past and future employment trends, educational requirements and training needs. The need for training, agricultural competencies and education were summarized in the non-farm section of the review. Types of community resources, reactions or employers to requests for community programs, the need for such programs and how to establish them were described in the final segment.

serve as the population for the study. After random samples were drawn, the population lists were made available to the vocational agriculture teachers in their respective regions. The lists had a two-fold purpose:

(1) They would facilitate the teachers' collection of data on a continuing basis so that eventually teachers might contact all farms and agricultural firms in their regions, and (2) They would serve as a useful reference for the teachers in continuing school-community interaction and cooperation.

Regional listings of farms and agricultural firms were prepared to

An interview schedule upon which to collect the interview data was developed by the project staff. Drafts of the proposed interview schedule were reviewed periodically by the Project Advisory Committee, the consultants and the vocational agriculture teachers.

A nineteen page <u>Interview Manual</u> was developed by the project staff to present the interviewing methods and procedures to be followed and to detail each item of the survey schedule for consistency and comparability. It was felt that in addition to providing a reference containing approved interview techniques, the manual would be invaluable in answering specific questions pertaining to the instrument design, rationale and application.



Thorough understanding of the purpose of each item and the recording format of the schedule was felt to be essential. The manual was structured to accomplish this goal.

To facilitate the obtaining of interviews, two letters of introduction to the study were developed for the use of the interviewers. The letters which indicated the purpose and importance of the study were signed by Fenton P. Futtner, Commissioner of Agriculture, Connecticut State Department of Agriculture. One letter announcing the interview was to be sent a week or two ahead of the intended visit. The second letter, which was to be carried by the interviewer, confirmed his association with the announced survey and reiterated the importance of the study to the businessman or farmer.

A summary of interview form was developed to aid the teacher in his future contacts with the person interviewed. It was designed to serve as the personal record of the teacher's observations and comments and as his guide to the community resources available on farms and in agricultural firms within his region.

To acquaint the interviewee with regional vocational agriculture programs, a brochure was developed listing the centers where programs are offered, instructional areas in which training is available and whom to contact for information. The brochure was left with the farmer or agricultural firm operator and indicated that the teachers were interested in serving his interests as well as gathering data for the future and benefit of the program.

The teachers of vocational agriculture in Connecticut participated in two half-day interview training sessions prior to Phase II data collection.

The purpose of these sessions was to provide the teachers with an overview



of the background, objectives and methods of the study, to develop basic interview techniques, to familiarize the teachers with the interview schedule and related materials, and to provide an opportunity for teachers to ask questions and to express their opinions.

The second interview training session was held during the morning of a statewide conference for all teachers of vocational agriculture. At this meeting, which took place the week before interviewing was to begin, revisions made as a result of the previous input of the teachers were explained. Sample interview schedules were filled out and questions were answered. Lists of farmers and agricultural firm operators to be interviewed were distributed. The session was the culminating activity for Phase I of the project. See the Final Report of Phase I for a more thorough description and/or copies of the materials referred to.

# Phase II Procedures

Interviewing. Following the second statewide interview training session, the teachers of vocational agriculture began to interview farmers and agricultural firm operators in their respective regions. For the purpose of the study, towns were assigned to region according to the area generally served by the fourteen regional vocational agriculture centers and the two vocational agriculture departments in local high schools. One additional region, currently not being served by a vocational agriculture center, was designated. Graduate research assistants conducted interviews in that region. A map showing the regional designations and the towns assigned to regions is presented in Appendix G.

Within each regional vocational agriculture center, the teachers cooperatively determined who would interview each farmer or agricultural firm



operator in the sample. In most cases, the agricultural mechanics instructors interviewed agricultural equipment and supply dealers, the animal science instructors interviewed farmers and firm operators concerned with livestock and animal supplies, the plant science instructors interviewed greenhouse, nursery, garden center and golf course operators, and the natural resources instructors interviewed agricultural firm operators employing workers in conservation, forestry, camps, and other natural resource occupations. By using this arrangement, the teachers were able to become more familiar with agricultural personnel in their areas of specialization.

The teachers found the materials developed by the project staff to be very beneficial. The <u>Interview Manual</u> contained answers to many of their questions. The two letters prepared by the project staff helped facilitate the obtaining of interviews, helped set the stage for the interview, confirmed the interviewers association with the survey and reiterated the importance of the study to the farmer or agricultural firm operator.

Although the original length of the interview was estimated by the project staff to be thirty to forty-five minutes, the teachers reported that the average amount of time spent per interview was one hour or more. Data collection did not always take that long, but the teachers found the farmer or businessman willing to discuss current agricultural problems and the economic situation. Much time was spent on discussing the mutual benefits to be derived from school-community cooperation. Teachers described what the farmer or agricultural firm operator could do to enrich instruction or assist the vocational agriculture program. They also told the interviewers what the vocational agriculture program had to offer them. The time spent in this face-to-face interaction was a planned part of



having the teachers involved in the project. Rapport established during the interview will serve as a basis for cooperation in the future.

The desired goal was for each of the 47 teachers of vocational agriculture to complete 20 interviews, a total of 940 teacher-conducted interviews. It was planned that the data would be collected during the months of July and August, 1974. However, because of the teachers' ongoing involvement with the FFA, professional improvement, curriculum revision, inventory, purchasing, student supervision, reports, records, planning, facility and equipment upkeep, vacations, and other professional activities, the data collection was extended until mid-November, 1974. By September 1, 1974, the original target date for the completion of data collection, 542, or about 58 per cent, of the teacher interviews had been completed. By November 15, 1974, 847, or 90 per cent, of the desired interviews were completed by the teachers. Although some teachers did not complete the desired 20 interviews, 63 per cent did attain that objective and over 97 per cent of the teachers conducted 13 or more interviews. Those teachers who did complete the interviews found the process to be a rewarding, but time consuming experience. Rewards came from the face-to-face interaction and the direct observation of the job potential presented by the farm or agricultural firm. Other rewards resulted from the discussion and understanding of the real problems and concerns faced by the farmers and agricultural businessmen. For the teachers, the interviewing and involvement in the project were valuable experiences.

The teacher interviews were supplemented by interviews conducted by graduate assistants in regions with a large population of farms and agricultural firms. Graduate assistants also interviewed in the region in which no vocational agriculture center was located. The graduate



assistants conducted a total of 242 interviews which they completed during July and August, 1974.

While collecting the data needed for the survey the graduate assistants were able to provide the interviewee with a general overview of the vocational agriculture program. They were, however, unable to provide the specific information about the vocational agriculture program that a teacher could offer. Their participation increased the total number of interviews completed to 1,089 and increased the sample size in regions having large populations. Although data were expanded on a regional basis with a wide variation in sample to population ratio, the overall state total of 1,089 completed interviews represented a sample of approximately 26 per cent of the farms and agricultural firms identified in the study as employing paid workers during the survey period.

Data processing. At the University of Connecticut, the project staff edited, coded and key punched the data which were programmed for computer processing. Printouts were analyzed, synthesized and presented in several formats. Statewide data are presented in this report. Regional summaries were compiled and made available to the regional vocational agriculture centers and State Department of Education personnel. Summary brochures were prepared for a broader distribution throughout the state. Meetings were planned with teachers in the regional vocational agriculture centers to discuss the results of the survey and to plan future action based upon the implications of the study.



# CHAPTER III

#### PRESENTATION OF DATA

Tables 1 through 23 contain employment opportunity and community resources data collected in the study. The data were summarized and are presented in three categories: Farm, Agricultural Firm and Combination Farm and Agricultural Firm. For the most part, employment data within categories were assigned to eight instructional areas as outlined in the 1970 edition of "Standard Terminology for Curriculum and Instruction in Local and State School Systems," compiled by the U.S. Office of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare.

Much of the employment data was presented by instructional area because in Connecticut, teachers of vocational agriculture are prepared to teach in specialty areas, curricula and courses are organized by instructional area, and students can more realistically prepare for existing and future occupational opportunities by following a course of study within a given instructional area.

Farm data were collected from employers engaged in agricultural production. A farm was defined as a business whose primary function is plant or animal production.

Agricultural Firm data were collected from employers engaged in businesses in one of the eight instructional areas. Listed below are the instructional areas and some examples of businesses or services within each area.

 Agricultural Production (non-farm) which included pet shops, veterinary services, livestock sales and services, farm management services and animal breeding, buying, grooming and training.



- Agricultural Supplies and Services which included agricultural chemicals, feeds, seeds, fertilizers and other agricultural supplies and services.
- 3. Agricultural Mechanics which included agricultural power and machinery, agricultural structures and conveniences, soil management, water management, agricultural mechanics skills, agricultural construction and maintenance, agricultural electrification and other agricultural mechanics.
- 4. Agricultural Products (food processing) which included marketing and processing of meat, fish, poultry and eggs, dairy products, fruits and vegetables.
- 5. Ornamental Horticulture which included arboriculture, floriculture, greenhouse operation and management, nursery operation and management, landscaping, and turf management.
- 6. Renewable Natural Resources which included parks, camps, fish, golf courses, recreation and wildlife.
- 7. Forestry which included the production and processing of trees and forest products.
- 8. Other Agriculture which included products, sales or services not classified elsewhere.

A <u>Combination Farm and Agricultural Firm</u> was engaged both in production and in the activities related to one or more of the instructional areas defined above.

In addition to being presented by category, the data were presented by job title to provide greater detail regarding specific jobs.

Emphasis of the study was on jobs which would afford full-time employment opportunities for graduates of vocational agriculture programs.

In addition, employment data were collected for part-time and seasonal



workers. These data would provide the teachers with information regarding jobs in which vocational agriculture students could be placed for supervised occupational experience.

Data in Table 1 show the estimated total annual workforce of Connecticut farms and agricultural firms employing paid workers in 1974. The total includes all workers in the business during the course of the year, full-time, part-time, seasonal, in short, all payroll entries plus family and unpaid volunteer workers. No regard is given to length of employment or knowledge and skill in agriculture.

For all categories, the total number of workers was 80,316. Of these 76,598 were paid workers, while 3,718 were unpaid. The largest number of workers, an estimated 32,789, were found on farms during the course of the year. Paid workers numbered 31,774 and unpaid workers, 1,015.

Agricultural firms employed an estimated 29,734 workers, of whom 27,992 were paid and 1,742 unpaid. The total number of workers in combination farm and agricultural firms was 17,793, which included 16,832 paid workers and 961 unpaid family or volunteer workers. The total number of workers, the total paid workers and the total unpaid workers by instructional area within each of the three categories are also shown in Table 1.

Data in Table 2 show the estimated number of full-time workers identified as needing knowledge and skill in agriculture on Connecticut farms and in agricultural firms employing paid workers in 1974. Current employment on farms was 5,406; in agricultural firms, 11,263; and in combination farm and agricultural firms, 5,125. For all categories, the current employment of full-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture was 21,794. It should be noted that the current employment of workers employed in agricultural firms is greater than the current employment on farms and combination farm and agricultural firms.



TABLE 1

ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL WORKFORCE OF CONNECTICUT FARMS AND AGRICULTURAL FIRMS EMPLOYING PAID WORKERS
1974

	<u></u> -		
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	TOTAL NUMBER OF WORKERS	TOTAL PAID WORKERS	TOTAL
FARM TOTAL	32789	31774	10
Agricultural Production	32789	31774	10
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>29734</u>	<u>27<b>9</b>92</u>	<u>1</u>
Agricultural Production	5104	4329	•
Ag. Supplies and Services	1917	1872	•
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	<b>15</b> 07	1425	
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	9453	9407	
Ornamental Horticulture	7 <b>3</b> 17	6915	
Renewable Natural Resources	2625	2328	
Forestry	81	81	
Other	1730	1635	
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>17793</u>	<u>16832</u>	
Agricultural Production	1738	1337	
Ag. Supplies and Services	128	123	
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	14	14	
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	2938	2898	
Ornamental Horticulture	12107	11746	
Renewable Natural Resources	518	495	
Forestry	75	70	
Other	275	149	
TOTAL - ALL CATEGORIES	<u>80316</u>	<u>76598</u>	· <u>3</u>

<sup>\*</sup>Unpaid family and volunteer workers.



35

TABLE 1

ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL WORKFORCE OF CONNECTICUT FARMS AND AGRICULTURAL FIRMS EMPLOYING PAID WORKERS
1974

• •	TOTAL NUMBER OF WORKERS	TOTAL PAID WORKERS	TOTAL UNPAID WORKERS*	
	<u>32789</u>	31774	1015	
ection	<b>327</b> 89	31774	1015	
<b>AL</b>	<u>29734</u>	<u>27992</u>	<u>1742</u>	
iction	5104	4329	775	
ervices	1917	1872	45	
Mechanics	1507	1425	82	
Processing)	9453	9407	46	
lture	7317	6915	402	
Resources	2625	2328	297	
	81	81	0	
•	1730	1635	95	
AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>17793</u>	16832	<u>961</u>	
ction	1738	1337	401	
ervices	128	123	5	
Mechanics	14	14	0	
Processing)	2938	2898	40	
lture	12107	11746	361	
Resources	518	495	23	
	75	70	5	
	275	149	126	
<b>S</b>	<u>80316</u>	<u>76598</u>	3718	

nteer workers.

ERIC

35

TABLE 2

# ESTIMATED NUMBER OF FULL-TIME WORKERS NEEDING KNOWLEDGE AND SKILL IN AGRICULT ON CONNECTICUT FARMS AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS BY CATEGORY 1974-1979

FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT 1974	CURRENT VACANCIES 1974	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED BY 1979
FARM TOTAL	5406	66	1258
Agricultural Production	5406	66	1258
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>11263</u>	<u>307</u>	2009
Agricultural Production	1945	90	404
Ag. Supplies and Services	789	5	103
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	952	61	145
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	2307	19	397
Ornamental Horticulture	3493	73	623
Renewable Natural Resources	1032	58	202
Forestry	64	0	14
Other	681	1	116
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>5125</u>	188	<u>1691</u>
Agricultural Production	1003	54	380
Ag. Supplies and Services	85	7	20
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	14	1	4
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	444	12	139
Ornamental Horticulture	3315	90	1057
Renewable Natural Resources	179	23	65
Forestry	45	0	10
Other	40	1	16
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	21794	<u>561</u>	4958
			U (



TABLE 2

# ED NUMBER OF FULL-TIME WORKERS NEEDING KNOWLEDGE AND SKILL IN AGRICULTURE ON CONNECTICUT FARMS AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS BY CATEGORY

1974-1979

	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT 1974	CURRENT VACANCIES 1974	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED BY 1979	PROJECTED WORK FORCE 1979	
	<u>5406</u>	66	1258	6010	
Lon	5406	66	1258	6010	
	11263	<u>307</u>	2009	12889	
Lon	1945	90	404	2284	
vices	789	5	103	945	
chanics	952	61	145	1151	
rocessing)	2307	19	397	2529	
ire	3493	73	628	3960	
ources	1032	58	202	1168	
	64	0	14	69	
	681	1	116	783	
G. FIRM TOTAL	<u>5125</u>	188	1691	<u>5956</u>	
ton	1003	54	380	1194	
vices	85	7	20	103	
chanics	14	1	4	16	
rocessing)	· 444	12	139	492	
ıre	3315	90	1057	3855	
ources	179	23	6.5	<b>20</b> 1	26
	45	0	10	47	6
	40	1	16	48	
. 0	21794	<u>561</u>	4958	24855	

Employers were asked how many vacancies existed at the time of the survey, from July through November, 1974. A vacancy was defined as a paid position an employer was actively seeking to fill. For all categories, 561 vacancies were identified; 66 on farms, 307 in agricultural firms and 188 in combination farm and agricultural firms.

Employers were asked to estimate the number of replacement workers needed by 1979. Data in Table 2 show that for all categories 4,958 replacement workers who have knowledge and skill in agriculture will be needed; 1,258 on farms, 2,009 in agricultural firms and 1,691 in combination farm and agricultural firms. Replacements needed by instructional area in each of the three categories are also shown.

Data in Table 2 also show the projected work force of full-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture on Connecticut farms and in agricultural firms. For all categories, the projected work force by 1979 was 24,855, an increase of 14 per cent over the current 1974 employment of 21,794. For farms, the projected work force by 1979 was 6,010, an increase of 11 per cent over the current 1974 employment of 5,406. The projected work force in 1979 in agricultural firms was estimated to be 12,889, a 14 per cent increase over the current 1974 employment total of 11,263. For combination farms and agricultural firms, the projected work force by 1979 was 5,956, an increase of 16 per cent over the 5,125 employed in 1974.

In Table 3, the estimated number of full-time workers needing know-ledge and skill in agriculture on Connecticut farms and in agricultural firms employing paid workers is presented by job title. Job titles listed were recorded according to employer responses. Although identified by a specific job title, most workers performed many functions on the farms and in the agricultural firms.



TABLE 3

ESTIMATED NUMBER OF FULL-TIME WORKERS NEEDING KNOWLEDGE AND SKILL IN AGRICULT
ON CONNECTICUT FARMS AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS

1974-1979 BY JOB TITLE

JOB TITLE	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT 1974	CURRENT VACANCIES 1974	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED BY 1979
FARM TOTAL	5406	66	1258
Animal Handler	9	0	4
Baker	4	2	2
Barn Man	3	0	1
Bookkeeper	15	0	3
Buyer	5	0	1
Calfer	7	0	2
Candler-Poultry	. 7	0	3
Dairyman	4	0	1
Data Processor	0	0	0
Deliveryman	19	0	2
Designer	7	0	2
Egg Collector	24	2	6
Equipment Operator	23	0	11
Farmhand	195	4	37
Field Worker	101	6	13
Flock Manager	2	0 .	0
Foreman	199	3	17
Foreman, Assistant	15	0	3
Forester	1	0	0
Forester, Assistant	1	0	0
Gardener	2	0	0 .
General Farm Labor	114	6	4
General Helper	46	3	23
Grader	8	0	2
Greenhouse Keeper	0	0	0
Greenhouse Manager	6	0	2
Groomer	98	0	<b>2</b> 5
Grounds Keeper	1	G	0
Herdsman	93	0	22
Herdsman, Assistant	8	1	<b>40</b> 7



TABLE 3

# ED NUMBER OF FULL-TIME WORKERS NEEDING KNOWLEDGE AND SKILL IN AGRICULTURE ON CONNECTICUT FARMS AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS 1974-1979

BY JOB TITLE

	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT	CURRENT VACANCIES	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED BY	PROJECTED WORK FORCE	
	1974	1974	1979	1979	
	<u>5406</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>1258</u>	<u>6010</u>	
	9	. 0	4	9	
	4	2	2	4	
	3	0 '	1	3	
	15	0	3	20	
	5	0	1	5	
	7	0	2	7	
•	. 7	0	3	7	
	4	0	1	4	
	0	0	0	2	
	19	0	2	19	
	7	0	2	8	
	24	2	6	. 38	
	23	0	11	<b>33</b> .	
	195	4	37	229	
	101	6	13	125	
	2	0 .	0	2	
	199	3	17	206	
	15	0	3	15	
	1	0	0	1	
	1	0	0	1	
	2	0	<b>0</b> .	6	
	114	6	4	131	
	46	3	23	84	
	8	0	2	8	28
	0	0	0	5	00
	6	0	2	5	
	98	0	25	99	
	1	0	0	1	
	93	0	22	106	
<b>③</b>	8	1	<b>40</b> <sup>7</sup>	15	

ERIC

TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT	CURRENT	REPLACEMENTS
	<b>EMPLOYMENT</b>	VACANCIES	NEEDED BY
JOB TITLE	1974	1974	1979
Horseman	1	0	0
Inspector	0	0	0
Laboratory Technician	10	0	4
Laborer	1559	20	655
Landscape Architect	2	0	0
Machine Operator	5	0	4
Maintenance Man	11	0	6
Manager	343	1	24
Manager, Assistant	85	0	9
Mechanic	31	2	21
Milker	116	<b>3</b> ·	31
Office Help	150	0	15
Owner-Operator	1211	2	24
Packer	39	0	20
Pasteurizer	6	0	2
Picker	38	8	19
Propagator	5	0	1
Pruner	28	0	12
Riding Instructor	20	0	3
Sales Clerk	18	3	9
Salesman	24	0	· 4
Secretary	7	0	2
Serviceman	5	0	1
Stable Hand	5	0	3
Supervisor	309	0	6
Superintendent	6	0	: <b>1</b>
Superintendent, Assistant	10	0	3
Surveyor	5	0	0
Tractor Driver	11	0	2
Trainer	17	0	6
Truck Driver	7	0	5
Vice-President	10	0	1
Worker	295	0	172



- 41

TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT 1974	CURRENT VACANCIES 1974	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED BY 1979	PROJECTED WORK FORCE 1979	<u> </u>
	1	0	0	1	
	0	. 0	0	3	
•	10	Ö	4	10	
	1559	20	655	1727	
	2	0	0	2	
	5	Ö	4	5	
	11	0	6	11	
	343	1	24	383	
	85	Ö	9	96	
	31	2	21	37	
	116	3	31	121	
	150	0	15	155	
	1211	2	24	1251	
,	39	0	20	<b>39</b> .	
	6	0	2	6	
	38	8	19	49	
	5	0	1	5	
	28	0	12	30	
	20	0	3	22	
	18	3	. 9	41	
	24	0	· 4	30	
	7	0	2	10	
	5	0	2	5	
		0	3	5	
	309	0	6	322	
		0	0	6 i	
	6 10	0	3	10	
tant	5	0	0	10	
65 T (6			2	14	
	11	0			
	17	0	6	18	
	7	0	5	8	29
	10	0	1	10	
	295	0	172	370	

ERIC

TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT	CURRENT	REPLACEMENTS
	EMPL (39 MENT	VACANCIES	NEEDED BY
JOB TITLE	1974	1974	1979
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	11263	<u>307</u>	2009
Accountant	7	0	· <b>1</b>
Advertising Director	5	0	0
Animal Handler	19	0	10
Assembly Man	5	0	1
Barn Man	23	0	9
Boner	9	0	1
Bookkeeper	96	0	19
Broker-Food	10	0	. 0
Bucket Operator	78	6	14
Buyer	51	0	7
Calfer	2	0	. 0
Carpenter	0	2	0
Cashier	21	0	14
Cheese Maker	38	5	4
Civil Engineer	10	0	0
Clerk	126	14	48
Climber	238	14	128
Comptroller	2	0	0
County Agent	14	0	0
Crane Operator	16	0	2
Crew Chief-Tree	61	0	11
Cutter	3	0	0
Dairyman	48	10	7
Delivery Man	274	0	93
Dendrician	18	0	3
Designer	310	0	62
Draftsman	27	0	4
Equipment Operator	171	0	7
Field Representative	60	0	10
Foreman	466	0	27
Foreman-Assistant	7	0	1
Freezerman	19	1	3
Gardener	2	0	0
General Helper	321	0	64
- 43		1	44

TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT 1974	CURRENT VACANCIES 1974	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED BY 1979	PROJECTED WORK FORCE 1979	_
	11263	307	2009	12889	
	7	0	1	7	
	5	Ŏ	0	5	
	19	ŏ	10	19	
	5	ŏ	1	11	
	23	Ö	9	23	
	9	Ö	ĺ	9	
•	96	0	19	111	
	10	0	0	10	
	<b>7</b> 8	6	14	83	
	51	0	7	54	
	2	0	0	4	
	0	2	0	2	
	21	0	14	. 31	,
	38	5	4	52	
	10	. 0	0	14	
•	126	14	48	178	
	238	14	128	244	
	2	0	0	2	
•	14	0	0	14	
	16	0	2	23	
	61	0	11	72	
	3	0	0	3	
	48	10	. 7	57	
	274	0	9.3	304	
	18	0	3	20	
	310	0	62	342	
	27	0	4	27	
	1 <b>7</b> 1	0	7	178	
	60	0	10	62	
	466	0	27	504	30
	7	0	1	8	
	19	1	3	19	
	2	0	0	2	
	321	0	<b>4</b> 64	346	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

TABLE 3 (Continued)

-	CURRENT	CURRENT	REPLACEMENTS
	EMPLOYMENT	VACANCIES	NEEDED BY
JOB TITLE	1974	1974	1979
Greenhouse, General	0	0	0
Greenhouse Keeper	Ö	. 0	ő
Greenhouse Manager	10	0	1
Greenhouse Maintenance	326	6	85
Groomer	136	27	77
Groomer, Professional Dog	16	0	6
Grounds Keeper	0	0	0
Grounds Maintenance	38	. 0	12
Groundsman-Tree	. 36	14	18
Grower	- 32	1	12
Horseman	0	0	0
Horticulturist	6	0	0
Ice Cream Maker	28	0	4
Irrigator	10	0	3
Kennel Worker	221	13	48
Laboratory Technician	185	7	30
Laborer	981	64	167
Landscape Architect	74	0	3
Landscape Laborer	153	0	43
Landscaper	60	2	28
Logger	17	0	6
Machine Operator	15	0	2
Maintenance Man	167	0	135
Manager	1070	9	86
Manager-Assistant	157	0	52
Mechanic	394	47	83
Meat Cutter	67	0	
Meat Processor	30	0	8
Milker	23	0	3 7
Mill Worker	17	0	2
Mower	15	0	
Nurse, Veterinary	14	0	9
Nurseryman	14 5	0	3
Office Help	41	<b>U</b> 5	1 8
Office help Owner-Operator	1676	5 <b>4</b>	8 34
Packer	47	4 0	
25	47	U	4 <b>.6</b> 5

ERIC TO THE PRODUCTION OF THE

TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT 1974	CURRENT VACANCIES 1974	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED BY 1979	PROJECTED WORK FORCE 1979	_
	1974	1014			
	0	0	. 0	5	
	0	Ö	0	· <b>4</b>	
	10	0	1	12	
	326	6	85	248	
е .	136	27	77	193	
D	16	0	6	16	
Dog	0	0	0	5	
	38	0	12	38	
	. 36	14	18	47	
		1	12	39	
	- 32	0	0	6	
	0 6	0	Ŏ	6	
	28	0	4	36	
		0	3	10	
	10 221	13	48	281	
	185	7	30	194	
		64	167	1246	
	981	0	3	89	
	74	0	43	188	
	. 153	2	28	76	
	60	0	6	17	,
	17	0	2	15	
	15		135	189	
	167	0	86	1144	
	1070	9 0	52	190	
	157	47	83	508	
	394	0	8	84	
	67		3	30	
	30	0	7	36	
	23	0	2	17	
	17	0	9	19	(
	15	0	3	19	F
	14	0	3 1	21	
	5	0	8	46	
	41	5 4		1702	
	1676	4	34 15	47	
	47	0	4 <b>6</b> 5	41	



TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT	CURRENT	REPLACEMENTS
	<b>EMPLOYMENT</b>	<b>VACANCIES</b>	NEEDED BY
JOB TITLE	1974	1974	1979
Park Manager	12	2	2
Parts Man	40	2	9
Pasteurizer	56	0	
Plant Specialist	57	. 0	6 2
Pro-Golf	15		1
Pro-Golf, Assistant	10	0 0	1
Pruner	17	<u>-</u>	15
Radiologist	7	0 0	
Receiver	•		1
Receptionist -	19	0	2
	99	7	19
Repairman Riding Instructor	25	0	8
Rig Man	15	0	12
Sales Clerk	6	0 .	1
	467	9	93
Salesman	203	11	24
Sanitation Man	5	0	1
Sausage Maker	21	0	7
Sawyer	11	0	6
Secretary	128	5	18
Serviceman	11	0	7
Site Planner	15	0	1
Spreader Operator	3	0	0
Stable Hand	10	0	11
Stock Boy	16	1 .	9
Superintendent	20	0	1
Superintendent, Assistant	26	0	. 5
Superintendent, Greenskeeper-Golf	43	0	4
Supervisors	260	0	10
Surgical Assistant	7	0	1
Taxidermist	5	0	0
Technician	32	0	10
Trail Guide	3	0	1
Trainer	42	0	28
Treasurer-Secretary	29	0	1
Tree Expert-Certified	14	0	2
Tree Man	20	0	7



TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT	CURRENT	REPLACEMENTS	PROJECTED	
	EMPLOYMENT	VACANCIES	NEEDED BY	WORK FORCE	
_	1974	1974	1979	1979	
	12	2	2	13	
	40	0		62	
	56	0	9 <b>6</b>	56	
	57	0	2	57	
•	15	0	1	21	
	10	0	1	12	
	17	0	15	21	
	7	0			
	19	0	1 2	7 19	
	99	7		122	
•	25	0	19 8		
	25 15		12	36	
	6	0		30	
	467	0 9	1 93	11	
	203	11 .		640	
	203 5		24	253	
	21	0	1	5	
	11	0	7	21	
		0	6	11	
i	128 11	5	18	148	
		0	7	22	
	15	0	1	20	
	3	0	0	5	
	10	0	11	19	
	16	1	9	18	
	20 26	0	1	20	
stant		0	. 5	28	
<b>nskeeper-</b> Golf	43 260	0	4	52	
		0	10	274	
	7 5	0	1	16	
		0	0	5	
	32	0	10	41	32
	3	0	1	5	
	42 29	0	28	65	
ء		0	1	29	
d	14	0	2	14	
Ė	20	0	7	25	



TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT	CURRENT	REPLACEMENTS
	EMPLOYMENT	VACANCIES	NEEDED BY
JOB TITLE	1974	1974	1979
Tree Surgeon	6	0	0
Trimmer	<b>2</b> 8	0	6
Truck Driver	266	0	74
Veterinarian	281	8	4
Veterinary Assistant	110	7	32
Veterinary Hospital Aide	27	0	6
Veterinary Technician	34	Ö	7
Vice-President	48	. 0	1
Ward Attendant	4	0	1
Warehouse -	15	Ō	9
Welder	2	0	0
Worker	49	6	12
OMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>5125</u>	<u>188</u>	<u>1691</u>
Agronomist	5	0	0
Barn Man	6	0	4
Bookkeeper	6	0	1
Buyer	8	0	1
Cashier	5	0	2
Clerk	52	0	14
Climber	22	6	4
Comptroller	5	<b>O</b>	0
Crew Chief-Tree	5	. 0	0
Dai <b>ryma</b> n	17	0	2
<b>Deliveryman</b>	73	0	55
Designer	204	6	83
Egg Collector	3	0	0
Equipment Operator	35	1	17
Farmhand	42	5	34
Field Worker	10	1	2
Foreman	489	10	88
Foreman, Assistant	46	0	23
Forestry Technician	<b>2</b> 9	<b>'4</b>	2
General Farm Labor	37	0	17
General Helper	85	0	13
49			

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT	CURRENT VACANCIES	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED BY	PROJECTED WORK FORCE	
	· EMPLOYMENT			1979	
	1974	1974	1979	19/9	<del></del>
	6	0	0	6	
	28	Ō	6	28	
	266	Ö	74	317	
	281	8	4	311	
	110	7	32	132	
Lde	27	0	6	43	
	34	Ö	7	45	
	48	. 0	1	48	
	4	0	1	10	
	15	Ö	9	18	
	2	0	0	2	
	49	6	12	<b>63</b> .	
FIRM TOTAL	<u>5125</u>	188	<u>1691</u>	<u>5956</u>	
	5	i 0	0	5	
	6	0	4	10	
	6	0	1	7	
	8	0	1	11	
	5	0	2	5	
	52	0	14	67	
	22	6	4	23	
	5	0	Ō	5	
	5	0	0	10	
	17	0	2	17	
	73	0	55	83	
	204	6	83	276	
	3	0	0	3	
	35	1	17	48	
	42	5	34	54	
	10	1.	2	14	ယ
	<b>48</b> 9	10	88	533	ω
	46	0	23	46	
	29	4	2	34	
	37	0	17	55	
	<b>8</b> 5	0	13	122	

ERIC

	CURRENT	CURRENT	REPLACEMENT
	EMPLOYMENT	VACANCIES	NEEDED BY
JOB TITLE	<u> 1974</u>	1974	<u> 1979</u>
Greenhouse General	15	5	9
Greenhouse Keeper	20	. 5	5
Greenhouse Manager	36	0	7
Greenhouse Manager, Assistant	14	5	3
Greens Maintenance	15	0	5
Groomer	37	5	7
Grounds Keeper	4	0	3
Groundsman-Tree	26	0	8
Grower	60	0	19
Herdaman	- 7	0	1
Horseman	8	0	2
Horticulturist	6	0	0
Inspector	7	0	4
Irrigator	15	0	2
Kennel Worker	7	7	6 .
Laborer	1107	29	697
Landscape Architect	24	0	1
Landscape Laborer	36	5	7
Landscaper	49	17	24
Maintenance Man	112	0	46
Manager	387	17	89
Manager, Assistant	102	5	24
Meat Cutter	3	0	0
Meat Processor	11	0	2
Mechanic	44	5	11
Mill Worker	33	0	6
Nurseryman	42	0	14
Office Help	8	0	3
Owner-Operator	628	14	13
Packer	137	0	. 27
Picker	15	0	5
Plant Specialist	10	0	1
Planter	29	0	3
Potter	132	0	47
Propagator	102	5	44



TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT	CURRENT VACANCIES	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED BY	PROJECTED WORK FORCE
	1974	1974	1979	1979
	1 F	r	9	40
	15	5	5 <sup>°</sup>	43
	20	5	5 7	43 42
	36	0		18
ssistant '	14	5	3	
	15	0	5	19
	37	5	7	52
	4	0	3	8
	26	0	8	26
	60	0	19	67
-	. 7	0	1	8
	8	0	2	11
	6	0	0	6
	7	0	4	7
	15	0	2	24
	7	7	6	12
	1107	29	697	1244
	24	0	1	29
	36	5	7	46
	<b>49</b> .	17	24	69
	112	0	46	121
	387	17	· 8 <b>9</b>	417
	102	5	24	122
	3	0	0	3
	11	0	2	11
	44	5	11	54
	33	0	6	37
	42	0	14	64
	8	0	3	14
	<b>62</b> 8	14	13	644
	137	0	. 27	139
	15	0	5	15
	10	Ö	ĺ	15
	29	0	3	29
	132	Ö	47	156
	102	5	44	152



TABLE 3 (Continued)

JOB TITLE	CURRENT EMPLOYMENT 1974	CURRENT VACANCIES 1974	REPLACEMENTS NEEDED IN 1979
Riding Instructor	3	0	. 1
Sales Clerk	175	, <b>o</b>	82
Salesman	63	5	14
Secretary	8	0	2
Serviceman	i	ŏ	0
Sorter	154	10	48
Sprayer	10	. 0	3
Storeman	0	0	Ō
Superintendent	15	0	1
Superintendent Greenskeeper-Golf -	15	0	2
Supervisor	82	10	11
Trainer	20	0	2
Treasurer-Secretary	5	0	0
Truck Driver	50	0	9
Vice-President	5	0	0
Worker	47	0	9
TOTAL - ALL JOB TITLES	21794	<u>561</u>	4958



TABLE 3 (Continued)

	CURRENT	CURRENT	REPLACEMENTS	PROJECTED
	<b>EMPLOYMENT</b>	VACANCIES	NEEDED IN	WORK FORCE
	1974	1974	1979	1979
	3	0	1	9
•	175	. 0	82	212
	63	5	14	98
•	8	Ō	2	8
	1	0	0	1
	154	10	48	154
	10	0	3	10
	0	0	0	2
	15	0	1	15
eeper-Golf -	15	0	2	15
-	82	10	11	100
	20	0	2	29
	5	0	0	5
	50	0	9	53
	5	0	0	5
	47	0	9	48
8	<u>21794</u>	<u>561</u>	<u>4958</u>	<u>24855</u>







Data in Table 4 refer to the estimated distribution, by sex, of full-time and part-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture in Connecticut. The data are presented by category and instructional area. For all categories, of the 21,794 full-time workers, 18,471 were male and 3,323 were female. On farms, 4,793 or 89 per cent, were male and 613, or 11 per cent, were female. In agricultural firms, 9,580, or 85 per cent, were male and 1,683, or 15 per cent, were female. Of the 5,125 full-time workers on combination farms and agricultural firms, 4,098, or 80 per cent, were male and 1,027, or 20 per cent, were female.

There were an estimated 6,935 part-time workers in all categories.

Males composed 4,662, or 67 per cent of the part-time workers while females made up 2,273, or 33 per cent of the part-time workers. On farms, 2,153, or 78 per cent, were male while 591, or 22 per cent, were female. In agricultural firms, the number of part-time workers totaled 2,399. A total of 1,436, or 60 per cent were male while 963, or 40 per cent were female. Of the 1,792 part-time workers on combination farms and agricultural firms, 1,073, or 60 per cent were male and 719, or 40 per cent were female.

The estimated distribution, by sex, of full-time and part-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture in Connecticut by job title are presented in Table 5.

Table 6 contains data regarding the reported weekly earnings of fulltime workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture on Connecticut
farms and in agricultural firms. Minimum and maximum weekly earnings are
presented by category and by instructional area in Table 6 and by job
title in Table 7. Because all job titles, including owners and management,
are included in each category and instructional area, the low and high
earnings for both minimum and maximum weekly earnings vary greatly.



TABLE 4

## ESTIMATED DISTRIBUTION, BY SEX, OF FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORKERS NEEDING KNOWL AND SKILL IN AGRICULTURE IN CONNECTICUT BY CATEGORY

FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	FUL			
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOT
FARM TOTAL	<u>5406</u>	4793	613	27
Agricultural Production	5406	4793	613	27
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	11263	<u>9580</u>	1683	23
Agricultural Production	1945	1148	797	8
Ag. Supplies and Services	789	702	. 87	
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	952	901	51	1
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	2307	<b>20</b> 75	232	. I
Ornamental Horticulture	3493	3057	436	9
Renewable Natural Resources	1032	1008	24	
Forestry	64	64	0	
Other	681	625	56	
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>5125</u>	<u>4098</u>	1027	<u>17</u>
Agricultural Production	1003	7 <b>2</b> 9	274	3
Ag. Supplies and Services	. 85	84	1	
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	14	14	0	
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	444	365	79	
Ornamental Horticulture	3315	<b>2</b> 671	644	1:
Renewable Natural Resources	179	155	24	
Forestry	45	45	0	
Other	40	35	5	
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	21794	18471	3323	<u>69</u>



D DISTRIBUTION, BY SEX, OF FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORKERS NEEDING KNOWLEDGE
AND SKILL IN AGRICULTURE IN CONNECTICUT
BY CATEGORY

TABLE 4

	FULL-TIME WORKERS			PAR	PART-TIME WORKERS			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE		
	<u>5406</u>	4793	613	2744	2153	591		
tion	5406	4793	613	2744	2153	591		
AL.	11263	9580	1683	2399	<u> 1436</u>	<u>963</u>		
tion	1945	1148	797	850	346	504		
rvices	789	702	87	84	67	17		
echanics	952	901	51	197	179	18		
Processing)	2307	2075	232	122	96	26		
ture	3493	3057	436	954	583	371		
esources	1032	1008	24	51	42	9		
	64	64	0	51	51	0		
	681	625	56	90	72	18		
AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>5125</u>	4098	1027	<u>1792</u>	1073	<u>719</u>		
tion	1003	729	274	311	142	169		
rvices	85	84	1	5	4	1		
echanics	14	14	0	0	0	0		
Processing)	444	365	79	66	39	27		
ture	3315	2671	644	1311	810	501		
esources	179	155	24	84	72	12		
	45	45	0	6	6	0		
	40	35	5	9	0	9		
	21794	18471	3323	6935	4662	<u>2273</u>		



# ESTIMATED DISTRIBUTION, BY SEX, OF FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORKERS NEEDING K AND SKILL IN AGRICULTURE IN CONNECTICUT BY JOB TITLE

JOB TITLE	FUL			
JOB IIILE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	
FARM TOTAL	5406	4793	613	-
Animal Handler	9	5	4	
Baker	4	0	4	
Barn Man	3	3	0	
• Bookkeeper	15	6	9	
Buyer	5	5	0	
Calfer	7	7	0	
Candler-Poultry	7	5	2	
Dairyman	4	. 3	1	
Data Processor	0	0	0	
Deliveryman	19	19	0	
Designer	. 7	7	0	
Egg Collector	24	17	7	
- Equipment Operator	23	23	0	
Farmhand	195	162	33	
Field Worker	101	101	0	
Flock Manager	2	2	0	
Foreman	199	196	3	
Foreman, Assistant	15	15	0	
Forester	· 1	1	0	
Forester, Assistant	1	1	0	
Gardener	2	2	0	
General Farm Labor	114	112	2	
General Helper	46	46	0	
Grader	8	3	5	
Greenhouse Manager	6	6	0	
Groomer	98	98	0	
Grounds Keeper	1	1	0	



# MATED DISTRIBUTION, BY SEX, OF FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORKERS NEEDING KNOWLEDGE AND SKILL IN AGRICULTURE IN CONNECTICUT BY JOB TITLE

FUL	L-TIME WO	RKERS		PAR'	T-TIME WO	RKERS
TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE		TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
5406	4793	613		2744	2153	<u>591</u>
9	5	.4		0	0	0
4	0	4		0	0	0
3	3	0		0	0	0
15	6	9		1	1	0
5	5	0		0	0	0
. 7	· 7	0		0	0	0
7	5	2	•	31	0	31
4	3	1	*	0	0	0
0	0	0		11	11	0
19	19	0		2	2	0
7	7	0		0	0	0
24	17	7		5	5	0
23	23	0		36	36	0
195	162	33		281	225	56
101	101	0	•	<b>9</b> 5	78	17
2	2	0		0	0	0
199	196	3		0	0	0
15	15	0		0	0	0
· 1	1	0		0	0	0
1	1	0		0	0	0
2	2	0		0	0	0
114	112	2		80	72	8
46	46	0		115	77	38
8	3	5		0	0	0
6	6	0		0	0	0
98 1	98	0		Ō	. 0	0
1	1	0		0	0	0



## FULL-TIME WORKERS

JOB TITLE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	
Herdsman	93	91	2	
Herdsman, Assistant	8	8	ō	
Horseman	1	1	Ö	
Inspector	0	0	ő	
Laboratory Technician	10	0	10	
Laborer	1559	1388	171	1
Landscape Architect	2	2	0	•
Machine Operator	5	5	Ö	
Maintenance Man	11	11	Ö	
Manager	343	320	23	
Manager, Assistant	85	79	6	
Mechanic	31	31	Ö	
Milker	116	104	12	
Office Help	150	73	77	
Owner-Operator	1211	1110	101	
Packer	39	26	13	
Pasteurizer	6	6	0	
Picker	38	38	Ö	
Propagator	5	5	Ö	
Pruner	28	28	Ö	
Riding Instructor	20	14	6	
Sales Clerk	18	11	7	
Salesman	24	14	10	
Secretary	7	0	7	
Serviceman	, 5	5	Ó	
Sodder	0	0	Ö	
Stable Hand	5	5	Ö	
Supervisor	309	306	3	
Superintendent	6	6	0	
Superintendent, Assistant	10	0	10	
Surveyor	5	5	0	
Tractor Driver	. 11	6	5	
Trainer	17	0	17	
Truck Driver	7	5	. 2	
Vice-President	10	10	0	
Worker	295	234	61	
	<b>-</b> , <b>-</b>	<b>=</b> 3∘7	,	



€1

TABLE 5 (Continued)

	FUL	FULL-TIME WORKERS			PART-TIME WORKERS		
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE		TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
	93	91	2		2	2	0
	8	8	0		4	2	2
	1	1	0		0	0	0
	0	. 0	0		3	3	0 .
lan	10	0	10		0	0	0
	1559	1388	171	•	1731	1369	362
:	2	2	0		0	0	0
	5	5	0		2	2	0
	11	11	0		1	1	0
	343	320	23		19	14	5
	85	79	6		9	9	0
•	31	31	0		21	21	0
	116	104	12		24	17	7
	150	73	77		1	0	1
	1211	1110	101		35	21	14
	39	26	13		23	0	23
	6	6	0		0	0	0
	38	38	0		31	31	0
	5	5	0		0	0	0
	28	28	0		6	. 6	0
	20	14	6		1	0	1
	18	11	7		38	31	1 7
	24	14	10		0	0	0
	7	Ô	7		3	0	3
	5	5	0		0	0	0
	0	0	0		20	20	0
Mark Co.	5	5	0		1	0	1
	309	306	3		0	0	0
•	6	6	0		0	0	0
istant	10	Ō	10		0	0	Ō
	5	5	0		0	Ō	0
·	11	. 6	5		Ō	0	ŏ
	17	0	17	\$	Ō	Ö	Ō
	7	5	2	9.0 <sub>1</sub> .	Ö	Ö	ő
	10	10	0		0	. 0	Ö
	295	234	61		112	97	15
0				1	1		
k9				•			

	FULL-TIME WORKERS				
JOB TITLE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE		
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	11263	9580	1683	2	
Accountant	. 7	7	0	·	
Advertising Director	5	5	0		
Animal Handler	19	8	11		
Assembly Man	5	5	0		
Barn Man	23	9	14		
Boner	9	9	0		
Bookkeeper	96	32	64		
Broker	10	10	0	•	
Bucket Operator	78	78	0		
Buyer	51	51	0		
Calfer	2	2	0		
Carpenter	0	0	0		
Ca <b>shi</b> er	21	0	21		
Cheese Maker	38	38	0		
Civil Engineer	10	10	0		
Clerk	126	98	28		
Climber	238	238	0		
Comptroller	2	2	0		
County Agent	14	14	Ö		
Crane Operator	16	16	Ö		
Crew Chief-Tree	61	61	0		
Cutter	3	3	0		
Dairyman	48	48	0		
Delivery Man	274	274	0		
Dendrician	18	18	0		
Designer	310	172	139		
Draftsman	27	21	6		
Equipment Operator	171	168	3		
Farm Hand	0	0	Õ	7	
Field Representative	60	60	Ö		
Foreman	466	450	16		
Foreman, Assistant	7	7	0		
Freezerman	19	19	Ö		
Gardener	2	2	Ö		



FULL-TIME WORKERS		PART-TIME WORKERS			
 TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
11263	9580	1683	2399	1436	963
_			•		_
7	7	0	2	2	0
5	5	0	0	0	0
19	8	11	10	4	6
5	5	0	0	0	0
23	9	14	4	0	4
9	9	0	0	0	0
96	32	64	23	0	23
10	10	0	O	0	0
78 51	78 ~1	0	0	0	0
51	51	0	0	0	0
2	2	0	2	2	0
0	0	0	28	11	17
21	0	21	58	7	51
38	38	0	0	0	0
10	10	0	0	0	0
126	98	28	284	217	67
238	238	0	0	0	0
2	2	0	0	0	0
14	14	0	0	0	. 0
16	16	0	14	14	0
61	61	0	0	0	0
3	3	0	0	0	0
48	48	0	0	0	. 0
274	274	0	74	64	10
18	18	0	10	10	0
310	172	139	122	28	94
27	21	6	0	0	0
171	168	3	7	7	0
0	0	0	7	6	1
60	60	0	0	0	0
466	450	16	3	3	0
. 7	7	0	0	0	. 0
19	19	0	5	5	0
2	2	0	4	4	0



€3

# FULL-TIME WORKERS

JOB TITLE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TO
General Helper	321	309	12	•
Greenhouse, General	0	0	0	
Greenhouse Keeper	0	0	0	
Greenhouse Manager	10	5	5	
Gre <b>e</b> nhouse Maintenance	326	326	0	
Groomer	136	54	82	
Groomer, Professional Dog	16	16	0	
Grounds Maintenance	<b>3</b> 8	38	0	
Groundsman - Tree	36	36	0	
Grower	32	32	0	
Horticulturist	6	6	0	
Ice Cream Maker	28	28	Ō	
Irrigator	10	10	Ō	
Kennel Worker	221	126	95	1
Laboratory Technician	185	84	101	-
Laborer	981	8 <b>6</b> 7	114	1
Landscape Architect	74	69	5	-
Landscape Laborer	153	153	Ō	
Landscaper	60	60	Ö	* •*;
Logger	17	17	Ö	
Machine Operator	15	15	Ö	
Maintenance	167	167	Ö	
Manager	1070	963	106	
Manager, Assistant	157	121	36	
Mechanic	394	394	0	•
Meat Cutter	67	67	0	
Meat Processor	30	30	Ö	
Milker	23	23	0	
Mill Worker	17	17	0	
Mower	15	15	0	
Nurse, Veterinary	14	Û	14	
Nurseryman	, 5	5	0	
Office Help	41	0	41	
Owner-Operator	1676	1471	205	
Packer	47	42	203 5	
Park Manager	12	12	0	



TABLE 5 (Continued)

	FULL-TIME WORKERS			PART-TIME WORKERS			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	
	321	309	12	132	103	29	
	0	0	0	10	5	5 .	
	0	0	Ö	<b>2</b> 5	19	6	
	10	5	5	7	7	ő	
ice	326	326	0	13	13	Ö	
	136	54	8?	66	0	66	
1 Dog	16	16	o O	0	Ö	0	
206	38	38	Ö	ŏ	Ö	Ö	
	36	36	Ö	<b>3</b> 5	18	17	
	32	32	Ö	1	1	0	
	6	6	0	0	0	Ö	
	28	28	Ö	ő	0	Ö	
	10	10	0	Ö	0	0	
	221	126	95	131	43	88	
ın	185	84	101	82	31	51	
•••	981	867	<u>114</u>	188	172	16	
	74	69	5 .	5	0	5	
	153	153	0	28	17	11	
	60	60	. 0	7	7	0	
	17	17	Ö	17	17	Ŏ	
	15	15	0	0	0	Ö	
	167	167	Ö	9	9	ő	
	1070	963	106	60	48	12	
	157	121	36	12	5	7	
	394	394	0	27	27	0	
	67	67	Ö	0	0	0	
	30	30	0	Ō	Ö	Ö	
	23	23	0	Ō	Ö	Ò	
	17	17	Ō	17	17	0	
	15	15	0	0	0	Ö	
	14	0	14	i	Û	1	
	5	5	0	0	0	0	
	41	ó	41 .	5	0	5	
	1676	1471	205	94	61	33	
•	47	42	5	5	0	5	
	12	12	Õ	Ő	0	0	

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

€5

## FULL-TIME WORKERS

JOB TITLE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	 
Parts Man	40	35	5	
Pa <b>ste</b> ur <b>ize</b> r	56	5 <b>6</b>	0	
Plant Specialist	57	57	0	
Pro-Golf	15	15	0	
Pro-Golf-Assistant	10	10	0	
Pruner	17	17	. 0	
Radiologist	7	0	7	
Receiver	19	19	0	
Receptionist	99	5	94	
Repairman	25	25	0	
Riding Instructor	15	5	10	
Rig Man	6	6	0	
Sales Clerk	467	352	115	
Salesman	203	187	16	
Sanitation Man	5	5	0	
Sausage Maker	21	21	Ö	
Sawyer	11	11	Ö	
Secretary	128	14	114	
Serviceman	11	11	0	
Site Planner	15	15	Ö	
Sprayer	0	0	Ö	
Spreader Operator	3	3	Ö	
Stable Hand	10	9	ĭ	
Stock Boy	16	16	ō	
Superintendent	20	20	Ö	
Superintendent, Assistant	26	2 <b>6</b>	ő	
Superintendent-Greenskeeper-Golf	43	43	ő	
Supervisors	260	254	6	
Surgical Assistant	7	5	2	
Taxidermist	5	. 5	ō	
Technician	32	22	10	
Trail Guide	3	3	0	
Trainer	42	11	31	
Treasurer-Secretary	29	16	13	
Tree Expert-Certified	14	14	0	
Tree Man	20	20	Ö	



TABLE 5 (Continued)

		FULL-TIME WORKERS				PART-TIME WORKERS			
· .		TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE		TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	
		40	35	5		0	0	0	
		56	56	0		0	0	0	
		5 <b>7</b>	57	0		0	0	0	
		15	15	0		0	0	Ö	
	•	10	10	ő		Ö	0	Ö	
		17	17	Ö		Ö	ŏ	Ö	
		7	0	7		Ö	ő	Ö	
		19	19	0		Ö	Ö	Ö	
	:.	99	5	94		53	Ö	53	
		25	25	Ö		16	16	0	
		15 ·	5	10		9	0	9	
		6 '	6	0		0	0	Ó	
		467	352	115		224	128	96	
		203	187	16		41	36	5	
		5	5	0		0	0	0	
		21	21	0		0	0	0	
		11	11	0		11	11	0	
		128	14	114		50	6	. 44	
		11	11	0		26	26	0	
		15	15	0		0	0	0	
		0	0	0		4	4	0	
		3	3	0		0	0	0	
		10	9	1		44	5	39	
		16	16	0		68	68	0	
		20	20	• 0		0	0	0	
istant		26	26	0		0	0	0	
nskeeper-Golf		43	43	0		6	6	. 0	
		260	254	6		0	0	0	
		7	5	2		7	0	7	
		5	5	0		0	0	0	
		32	22	10		16	5	11	
		3	3	0		7	7	0	
		42	11	31		31	21	10	
•		29	16	13		0	0	0	
ed		14	14	0		0	0	0	
		20	20	0		£7 °	0	0	
					:	₹21 ■			

ERIC\*

## FULL-TIME WORKERS

JOB TITLE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	T
Tues Sungar	6	6	0	
Tree Surgeon Trimmer	28	28	0	
Truck Driver	266	266	0	
Veterinarian	281	265	16	
	110	42	68	
Veterinary Assistant	120 27	42 7	20	
Veterinary Hospital Aide	34	17	20 17	
Veterinary Technician	48	37	11	
Vice-President				
Ward Attendant	4	0	4	
Warehouse	15	15	0	
Welder	2	2	0	
Worker	49	49	0	
BINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>5125</u>	4098	1027	1
Agronomist	5	5	0	
Animal Handler	0	0	0	
Barn Man	6	6	0	
Bookkeeper	6	2	4	
Buyer	8	4	4	
Cashier	5	5	0	
Clerk	52	15	37	
Climber	22	22	0	
Comptroller	5	5	0	
Crew Chief-Tree	- 5	5	0	
Dairyman	17	17	0	
Deliveryman	73	64	9	
Designer	204	58	146	
Egg Collector	3	3	0	
Equipment Operator	35	35	0	
Farmhand	42	42	0	
Field Worker	10	10	0	
Foreman	489	489	0	
Foreman, Assistant	46	41	5	
Forester, Assistant	0	0	Ō	
Forestry Technician	29	29	Ö	
LOTEGET À TECHNICION	-,		-	$\mathcal{E}_{3}$



€3

	FUL	FULL-TIME WORKERS			PART-TIME WORKERS			
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE		
	6	6	0	9	9	0		
	28	28	Ö	17	17	0		
	266	266	0	6	6	0		
	281	265	16	22	17	5		
	110	42	68	74	26	48		
<b>M</b> de	27	7	20	0	0	0		
1	34	17	17	0	0	0		
-	48	37	. 11	0	0	0		
	4	0	4	22	11	11		
	15	15	0	2	2	0		
	. 2	2	0	0	0	0		
	49	49	0	5	5	0		
. FIRM TOTAL	<u>5125</u>	4098	1027	<u>1792</u>	1073	719		
	5	5	0	0	0	0		
	0	0	0	1	0	1		
	6	6	0	8	2	6		
	6	2	4	12	0	12		
	8	4	4	0	0	0		
	5	5	. 0	0	0	0		
	52	15	37	62	37	25		
	22	22	0	0	0	0		
	5	5	0	0	0	0		
	5	5	0	0	0	0		
	17	17	0	17	17	0		
	<b>7</b> 3	64	9	62	30	32		
	204	58	146	80	17	63		
	3	3	0	0	0	0		
	35	<b>3</b> 5	0	5	5	0		
	42	42	0	13	13	0		
	10	.10	0	35	29	6		
	489	489	0	25	25	0		
	46	41	5	0	0	0		
	0	0	0	5 .	5	0		
	29	<b>2</b> 9	0	0	0	0		
				€3				

ERIC

# FULL-TIME WORKERS

JOB TITLE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	
Gar <b>den</b> er.	0	0	0	
General Farm Labor	37	- 37	0	
General Helper	85	62	23	
Greenhouse General	15	8	7	
Greenhouse Keeper	20	15	5	
Greenhouse Manager	36	36	0	
Greenhouse Manager, Assistant	14	11	3	
Greens Maintenance	15	15	0	
Groomer	37	32	5	
Gro <b>unds Keep</b> er	4	4	Ō	
Groundsman-Tree	26	26	Ö	
Grower	60	60	Ö	
Her <b>dsman</b>	7	7	Ö	
Horseman	8	8	Ö	
<b>Horticulturist</b>	6	6	Ö	
Inspector	7	· 0	7	
Irr <b>ig</b> ator	15	15	Ó	
Kennel Worker	7	7	Ö	
Laborer	1107	1024	83	
Landscape Architect	24	24	0	
Landscape Laborer	36	36	Ö	
Landscaper	49	49	Ö	
Maintenance	112	98	14	•
Manager	387	315	72	
Manager, Assistant	102	57	45	
Meat Cutter	3	3	0	
Meat Processor	11	11	Ŏ	
Mecha <b>nic</b>	44	44	ŏ	
Mill Worker	33	33	<b>0</b> .	
Nurseryman	42	37	5	
Office Help	8	3	5	
Owner-Operator	628	542	86	
Packer	137	100	37	
Picker	15	15	0	
Plant Specialist	10	10	Ö	
Planter	29	15	14	



	FUL	L-TIME WO	RKERS		PAR	T-TIME WO	RKERS
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE		TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
	0	0	0		7	7	0
	37	37	0		61	47	14
	85	62	23		60	49	11
	15	8	7		92	62	30
	20	15	5		6	0	6
	36	36	0		0	0	0
Assistant	14	11	3		0	0	0
•	15	15	0		38	33	5
	37	32	5		7	0	5 7
	4	4	0		0	0	0
	26	26	0		6	6	0
	60	60	0		0	0	0
	7	7	0		0	0	0
	8	8	0	, 15 <sup>4</sup>	0	0	0
	6	6	0		0	0	0
	7	0	7		0	0	0
	15	15	0		0	0	0
	7	7	0		24	17	7
	1107	1024	83		328	257	71
	24	24	0		0	0	0
	36	36	0		7	7	0
	49	49	0		113	113	0
	112	98	14		5	5	0
	387	315	72		35	21	14
	102	57	45		0	0	0
	3	3	0		0	0	0
	- 11	11.	0		0	0	0
	44	44	0		3	3	0
	33	33	0.		0	0	0
	42	37	5		29	29	0
	8	3	5		15	0	15
	628	542	86		19	7	12
	137	100	37		49	16	33
	15	15	0		5	5	Ō
	10	10	0		0	0	0
	29	15	14		0	0	0
				_	#		



#### TABLE 5 (Continued)

20

5

0

5

50

47

21794

FULL-TIME WORKERS

10

5

.0

50

5

42

18471

10

0

0

<u>3323</u>

JOB TITLE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TO
Potter	132	36	96	
Propagator	102	28	74	1
Riding Instructor	3	0	3	_
Slaes Clerk	175	105	70	1
Salesman	63	57	6	
Secretary	8	5	3	
Serviceman	1	1	0	
Sorter	154	10	144	
Sprayer	10	10	0	
Stable Hand	0	0	0	
Storeman	0	0	0	
Superintendent	15	15	. 0	
Superintendent Greenskeeper-Golf	15	15	0	
Supervisor	82	82	0	
Tack Keeper	0	0	0	
Terrarium Maker	0	Ô	Ď ·	



Trail Guide Trainer

Truck Driver

Vice-President

Tree Man

Worker

Treasurer-Secretary

TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES

TABLE 5 (Continued)

	FULL-TIME WORKERS			PART-TIME WORKERS		
·	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
	132	. 36	96	10	0	10
	102	28	74	155	<b>35</b>	120
	3	0	3	14	0	14
	175	105	70	177	88	89
	63	<b>5</b> 7	6	11	5	6
	8	5	3	4	0	4
	1	1	0	0	0	0
	154	10	144	0	0	0
	10	10	0	0	0.	0
	0	0	0	62	14	48
	0	0	0	22	11	11
	15	15	0	0	0	0
skeeper-Golf	15	15	0	0	0	0
-	82	82	0	0	0	0
•	0	0	0	4	0	4
	0	0	0	7	0	7
	0	, . <b>0</b>	0	2	1	1
	20	10	10	16	0	16
	5	5	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	10	10	0
	50	50	0	11	11	0
	5	5	0	0	0	0
	47	42	5	53	34	19
	21794	<u>18471</u>	<u>3323</u>	<u>6935</u>	4662	2273





TABLE 6

REPORTED WEEKLY EARNINGS OF FULL-TIME WORKERS ON FARMS
AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS IN CONNECTICUT
BY CATEGORY

	м	INIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS		1
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN		NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES
FARM TOTAL	481	\$65	\$529	\$149		392
Agricultural Production	481	65	529	149		392
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>972</u>	<u>60</u>	900	<u>169</u>		<u>798</u>
Agricultural Production	205	60	600	167		157
Ag. Supplies and Services	92	63	481	164		79
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	144	70	346	161		136
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	115	74	481	214		59
Ornamental Horticulture	300	70	577	156		262
Renewable Natural Resources	56	90	900	175		49
Forestry Other	8 52	100 60	240 481	151 180		8 48
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>539</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>577</u>	<u>141</u>		490
Agricultural Production	180	64	577	125		163
Ag. Supplies and Services	25	168	346	201		22
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	3	150	346	255 129		3
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	24	68 74	231 576	150		24 229
Ornamental Horticulture	252 31	60	231	139		26
Renewable Natural Resources	18	120	231	127		18
Forestry Other	6	60	200	96		5
TOTAL-ALL CATEGORIES	<u>1992</u>	\$60	\$900	<u>\$156</u>	75	1680



TABLE 6

REPORTED WEEKLY EARNINGS OF FULL-TIME WORKERS ON FARMS
AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS IN CONNECTICUT
BY CATEGORY

	M	INIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS		M	IAXIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNING	SS
•	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LOW	нісн	MEAN		NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	
	481	\$65	\$529	\$149		392	\$70	\$577	\$207	
uction	481	65	529	149		392	70	577	207	
DTAL	<u>972</u>	<u>60</u>	900	169		<u>798</u>	<u>70</u>	1600	<u>260</u>	
uction Services	205 92	60 63	600 481	167 164		157 79	80 70	1153 962	282 303	
Mechanics d Processing)	144 115	70 74	346 481	161 214		136 59	90 140	962 577	258 268	
ulture Resources	300 56	70 90	577 900	156 175		262 49	90 96	800 1600	233 268	
	8 52	100 60	240 481	151 180		8 48	160 112	420 673	236 268	
D AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>539</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>577</u>	141		490	<u>75</u>	960	200	
uction	180	64	577	125		163	80	577	168	
Services	25	168	346	201		22	182 200	346 385	231 284	
Mechanics	3 24	150 68	346 231	255 129		3 24	200 80	308	173	
d Processing)	24 252	74	576	150		229	80	960	226	
ulture	31	60	231	139		26	90	308	180	
Resources	18 6	120 60	231 200	127 96		18	150 75	308 260	214 135	46
	1992	\$60	\$900	<u>\$156</u>	75	1680	\$70	\$1600	<u>\$230</u>	
74	1776	700	4200	4130	í J	1000	710	41000	4230	

TABLE 7

REPORTED WEEKLY EARNINGS OF FULL-TIME WORKERS ON FARMS
AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS IN CONNECTICUT
BY JOB TITLE

	NO. OF EMPLOYER	MINIMUM LOW	WEEKLY HIGH	EARNINGS MEAN	NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	ŀ
JOB TITLE	RESPONSES	FOM	nigh		RESI ONSES	
FARM TOTAL	<u>481</u>	\$ <u>65</u>	\$ <u>529</u>	\$ <u>149</u>	<u>392</u>	
Animal Handler	1	100	100	100	1	
Baker	1	80	80	80	1	
Barn Man	3	90	110	100	3	
Bookkeeper	2	70	192	131	2	
Calfer	2	125	161	143	0	
Candler-Poultry	1	100	100	100	0	
Dairyman	1	145	145	145	0	
Deliveryman	2	140	1.50	145	0	
Designer	1	154	154	154	1	
Egg Collector	1	100	100	100	1	
Equipment Operator	7	80	180	126	5	
Farmhand	35	80	192	113	31	
Field Worker	10	75	175	122	7	
Flock Manager	1	100	100	100	1	
Foreman	18	80	240	143	18	
Foreman, Assistant	2	80	210	145	2	
Forester	1	192	192	192	1	
Forester, Assistant	1	154	154	154	1	
Gardener	3	120	175	144	3	
General Farm Labor	25	65	183	111	23	
General Helper	6 -	70	150	111	6	
Grader	2	84	100	92	0	
Greenhouse Manager	1	154	154	154	1	
Groomer	2	70	125	9 <b>8</b>	. 1	
Grounds Keeper	1	138	138	138	1	
Herdsman	31	88	231	140	24	
Herdsman, Assistant	2	125	150	137	1	
Horseman	1	131	131	131	1	



TABLE 7

REPORTED WEEKLY EARNINGS OF FULL-TIME WORKERS ON FARMS
AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS IN CONNECTICUT
BY JOB TITLE

 NO. OF EMPLOYER	MINIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER	MAXIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS
 RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN
481	\$ <u>65</u>	\$ <u>529</u>	\$ <u>149</u>	<u>392</u>	\$ <u>70</u>	\$ <u>577</u>	\$ <u>207</u>
1	100	100	100	1	120	120	120
ī	80	80	80	1	100	100	100
3	90	110	100	3	125	160	145
2	70	192	131	2	80	346	213
2	125	161	143	0	NA	NA	NA
1	100	100	100	0	NA	NA	NA
1	145	145	145	0	NA	NA	NA
2	140	150	145	0	NA	NA	NA
1	154	154	154	1	192	192	192
1	100	100	100	1	125	125	125
7	80	180	126	5	90	200	152
35	80	192	113	31	100	200	147
10	<b>7</b> 5	<b>17</b> 5	122	7	100	200	146
1	100	100	100	• 1	100	100	100
18	80	240	143	18	88	360	143
2	80	210	145	2	100	300	200
1	192	192	192	1	288	288	288
1 1	154	154	154	1	231	231	231
3	120	<b>17</b> 5	144	3	140	212	184
25	65	183	111	23	<b>7</b> 5	200	151
6	70	150	111	6	100	225	150
2	84	100	92	0	NA	NA	NA
1	154	154	154	1	1 <b>9</b> 2	192	192
2	70	125	98	· 1	125	125	125
1	138	138	138	1	212	2.2	212
31	88	231	140	24	125	250	184
2	125	150	137	1	<b>17</b> 5	175	<b>17</b> 5
1	131	131	131	1	150	150	150

TABLE 7 (Continued)

Top many	NO. OF EMPLOYER			EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES
JOB TITLE	RESPONSES	FOM	HIGH	MEAN	KESPUNSES
Laborer .	45	65	200	108	35
Landscape Architect	ĺ	154	154	154	1
Machine Operator	1	138	138	138	1
Maintenance Man	1	180	180	180	1
Manager	60	77	350	168	47
Manager, Assistant	10	120	26 <b>9</b>	170	9
Mechanic	10	113	207	146	4
Milker	12	88	150	116	12
Office Help	1	150	150	150	1
Owner-Operator	125	77	1058	198	105
Packer	4	80	100	91	2
Pasteurizer	1	180	180	180	1
Picker	4	60	135	92	4
Propagator	1	192	192	192	1
Pruner	1	100	100	100	1
Riding Instructor	3	80	231	137	3
Sales Clerk	3	74	150	101	3
Salesman	. 3	192	288	240	3
Secretary	1	140	140	140	0
Serviceman	1	200	200	200	1
Sodder	1	80	80	80	0
Stable Hand	1	200	200	200	0
Supervisor	10	90	192	133	9
Superintendent	1	154	154	154	0
Superintendent, Assistant	1	80	80	80	1
Surveyor	1	154	154	154	1
Tractor Driver	1	83	83	83	1
Trainer	2	250	500	375	0
Truck Driver	1	125	125	125	1
Vice-President	1	288	288	288	1
Worker	5	80	180	111	5



TABLE 7 (Continued)

	NO. OF	MINIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS	NO. OF	MAXIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS
	EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN
								110
	45	65	200	108	35	63	250	148
	1	154	154	154	1	192	192	192
	1	138	138	138	1	163	163	163
	1	180	180	180	1	203	203	203
	60	77	350	168	47	120	481	193
	10	120	269	170	9	150	385	229
	10	113	207	146	4	135	200	178
	12	88	150	116	12	110	200	145
	1	150	150	150	1	200	200	200
	125	77	1058	198	105	115	1154	289
	4	80	100	91	2	90	165	128
	1	180	180	180	1	240	240	240
	4	60	135	92	4	60	190	124
	1	192	192	192	1	288	288	288
	1	100	100	100	1	<b>17</b> 5	<b>17</b> 5	<b>17</b> 5
	3	80	231	137		135	346	210
	3	74	150	101	3 3 3	80	200	127
	3	192	288	240	3	79	481	282
	ĺ	140	140	140	Ö	NA	NA	NA
	ī	200	200	200	i	2 <b>7</b> 5	2 <b>7</b> 5	2 <b>7</b> 5
	i	80	80	80	ō	NA	NA	NA
	ī	200	200	200	Ö	NA	NA	NA
	10	90	192	133	Ÿ	130	288	179
	1	154	154	154	9 0	NA	NA	NA
	i	80	80	80	i	100	100	100
stant	1	154	154	154	ī	192	192	192
	1	83	83	83	i	120	120	120
	2	250	500	3 <b>7</b> 5	0	NA	NA	NA
	1	125	125	125	1	200	200	200
		288	288	288	1	423	423	423
	1 5	286 80	180	111	5	90	270	163
	3	00	200		_	, ,	5	

	NO. OF EMPLOYER	MINIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER
JOB TITLE	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	RESPONSES
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>972</u>	60	900	169	<u>798</u>
Accountant	3	120	200	161	1
Advertising Director	1	231	231	231	1
Animal Handler	2	150	150	150	1
Assembly Man	1	113	113	113	1
Barn Man	2	80	100	90	2
Boner	1	192	192	192	0
Bookkeeper	16	<b>60</b> .	165	119	10
Broker	1	231	231	231	0
Bucket Operator	6	90	169	152	5
Buyer	5	125	275	198	5
Cashier	1	80	80	80	1
Cheese Maker	2	100	150	125	2
Civil Engineer	1	160	160	160	1
Clerk	11	70	250	117	9
Climber	10	120	160	144	9
Comptroller	1	481	481	481	0
County Agent	3	115	127	123	3
Crane Operator	3	118	158	139	3
Crew Chief-Tree	1	240	240	240	1
Cutter	1	120	120	120	1
Dairyman	1	192	192	192	1
Deliveryman	26	76	<b>27</b> 5	161	14
Dendrician	2	80	120	100	2
Designer	31	75	140	118	. 20
Draftsman	2	144	175	159	2
Equipment Operator	6	100	162	141	4
Field Representative	2	96	160	152	2
Foreman	44	120	481	177	37
Foreman, Assistant	2	150	160	155	1
Freezerman	3	74	250	191	0
Gardener	1	120	120	120	1
General Helper	7	70	152	114	· 3
Greenhouse, General	0	NA	NA	NA	0
Greenhouse Manager	1	150	150	150	1



TABLE 7 (Continued)

NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	MINIMUM LOW	WEEKLY	EARNINGS MEAN	NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	MAXIMUM	WEEKLY HIGH	EARNINGS MEAN
 KESPUNSES	LOW	——					
<u>972</u>	<u>60</u>	900	169	<u>798</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>1600</u>	<u> 260</u>
3	120	200	161	1	225	225	225
ĺ	231	231	231	1	385	385	385
2	150	150	150	1	160	160	160
1	113	113	113	1	158	158	158
2	80	100	90	2	140	150	145
ī	192	192	192	0	NA	NA	NA
16	60.	165	119	10	135	231	166
1	231	231	231	0	NA	NA	NA
6	90	169	152	5	219	320	236
5	125 ·	275	198	5	200	317	284
1	80	80	80	1	96	96	96
2	100	150	125	2	170	<b>57</b> 7	374
ī	160	160	160	1	300	300	300
11	70	250	117	9	90	250	167
10	120	160	144	9	170	230	201
1	481	481	481	0	NA	NA	NA
3	115	127	123	3	146	158	154
3	118	158	139	3	129	200	173
ĺ	240	240	240	1	240	240	240
1	120	120	120	. 1	160	160	160
ī	192	192	192	1	231	231	231
26	76	275	161	14	111	220	173
2	80	120	100	2	160	180	170
31	75	140	118	<b>20</b>	119	240	194
2	144	175	159	2	173	230	202
6	100	162	141	4	120	180	164
2	96	160	152	2	210	· 212	211
44	120	481	17 <b>7</b>	37	157	673	222
2	150	160	155	1	160	165	163
3	74	250	191	0	NA	NA	NA
. 1	120	120	120	1	140	140	140
7	70	152	114	3	90	140	120
0	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
1	150	150	150	1	200	200	200



	NO. OF ` EMPLOYER	MINIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER	
JOB TITLE	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	RESPONSES	
Greenhouse Maintenance	4	90	154	110	4	
Groomer	11	80	200	132	8	
Groomer, Professional Dog	3	135	250	162	3	
Grounds Maintenance	2	110	120	115	1	
Groundsman-Tree	2	100	146	123	2	
Grower	2	80	288	184	2	
Horticulturist	1	240	240	240	1	
Ice Cream Maker	4	192	269	250	1	
Irrigator	1	112	112	112	1	
Kennel Worker	14	80	150	95	11	
Laboratory Technician	6	100	231	145	5	
Laborer	33	80	250	113	29	
Landscape Architect	2	93	160	127	2	
Landscape Laborer	6	93	150	117	6	
Landscaper	8	113	240	156	8	
Logger	ĺ	100	100	100	i	
Machine Operator	1	240	240	240	ī	
Maintenance Man	6	90	150	125	5	
Manager	124	74	577	189	106	
Manager, Assistant	28	110	288	171	22	
Mechanic	50	90	275	160	49	
Meat Cutter	7	100	200	169	5	
Milker	2	135	192	164	. 2	
Mill Worker	1	100	100	100	ī	
Mower	2	100	120	110	2	
Nurse, Veterinary	3	100	152	135	3	
Office Help	3	80	125	106	2	
Owner-Operator	164	80	577	213	120	
Packer	4	80	168	144	2	
Park Manager	1	191	191	191	ī	
Parts Man	9	80	150	118	9	
Pasteurizer	5	192	226	219	í	
Plant Specialist	3	310	310	310	i	
Pro-Golf	3	265	308	279	3	
Pro-Golf, Assistant	2	159	159	159	2	
IIU-GOII, MESISTAIIC	1	115	115	115	1	



TABLE 7 (Continued)

	NO. OF `	MINIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER	MAXIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS
	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN
nce	4	90	154	110	4	151	225	190
	11	80	200	132	8	150	250	193
al Dog	3	135	250	162	3	212	2 <b>7</b> 5	229
	2	110	120	115	1	200	200	200
	2	100	146	123	2	140	230	185
	2	80	288	184	2	200	385	293
	1	240	240	240	1	250	250	250
	4	192	269	250	1	192	192	192
	i	112	112	112	1	140	140	140
	14	80	150	95	11	80	185	135
an	6	100	231	145	5	120	269	182
	33	80	250	113	29	140	2 <b>7</b> 5	171
	2	93	160	127	2	120	300	210
	6	93	150	117	6	138	180	153
	8	113	240	156	8	135	400	220
	1	100	100	100	1	200	200	200
	1	240	240	240	1	3 <b>7</b> 5	3 <b>7</b> 5	3 <b>7</b> 5
	6	90	150	125	5	120	288	164
	124	74	5 <b>77</b>	189	106	105	962	282
	28	110	288	171	22	173	673	242
	50	90	2 <b>7</b> 5	160	49	130	300	210
	7	100	200	169	5	160	240	226
	2	135	192	164	· 2	192	225	209
	ī	100	100	100	1	200	200	200
	2	100	120	110	2	150	150	150
	3	100	152	135	3	140	220	193
	3	80	125	106	2	120	150	135
	164	80	577	213	120	112	962	350
	4	80	168	144	2	200	240	220
	1	191	191	191	1	223	223	223
	9	80	150	118	9	125	216	165
	5	192	226	219	í	231	231	231
	3	310	310	310	ī	300	300	300
	3	265	308	2 <b>7</b> 9	3	385	444	424
	2	159	159	159	2	235	235	235
	ī	115	115	115	1	192	192	192



•	NO. OF EMPLOYER	MINIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER	N
JOB TITLE	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	RESPONSES	
Radiologist	1	200	200	200	1	
Receiver	1	106	106	106	1	
Receptionist	12	70	140	99	9	
Repairman	3	80	157	122	3	
Riding Instructor	3	150	180	168	1	
Rig Man	ĺ	80	80	80	1	
Sales Clerk	47	70	250	130	37	
Salesman	18	90	180	139	12	
Sanitation Man	1	192	192	192	1	
Sau <b>sage Maker</b>	2	170	300	235	2	
Sawyer	ī	160	160	160	1	
Secretary	18	65	192	107	14	
Serviceman	2	100	100	100	2	
Site Planner	i	200	200	200	0	
Sprayer	ī	135	135	200 135	1	
Spreader Operator	ī	192	192	192	1	
Stable Hand	3	80	164	116	1	
Stock Boy	4	80	231	130	3	
Superintendent	4	154	308	212	3 4	
Superintendent, Assistant	6	134	192	163	4 6	
Superintendent-Greenskeeper-Golf	8	96	288	209	8	
Supervisors	12	130	247	190	9	
Surgical Assistant	3	70	100	92	3	
Ta <b>xidermis</b> t	1	481	481	481	0	
Technician	5	80	231	145	4	
Trail Guide	1	140	140	143	1	
Trainer	4	96	400	140 178	4	
Treasurer-Secretary	ĭ	192	192	192	1	
Tree Expert-Certified	ī	260	260	260	0	
Tree Man	3	80	250	143	3	
Tree Surgeon	2	120	120	120	3 2	
Trimmer	ĩ	100	100	100	1	
Truck Driver	14	74	327	157	11	
Veterinari <b>a</b> n	36	192	434	303	28	
	<b>5</b> 0	- / -	7.74	202	48	
Veterinary Assistant	15	40	152	124	11	



	NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	MINIMUM LOW	WEEKLY HIGH	EARNINGS MEAN	NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	MAXIMUM LOW	WEEKLY HIGH	EARNINGS MEAN
<u> </u>		TOM	птен	FIEAN	- KESTUNSES	TOM	nigu –	PIEAN
	1	200	200	200	1	240	240	240
	ī	106	106	106	1	112	112	240
	12	70	140	99	9	100		112
	3	80	157	122	3	140	196	168
	3	150	180	168	1	180	203	174
	i	80	80	80	1	160	180	180
	47	70	250	130	37	112	160	160
	18	90	180	139	12		319	205
	1	192	192	192		183	385	224
	2	170	300	235	1 2	231	231	231
	1	160	160	235 160	1	240	400	320
	18	65	192			300	300	300
	2	100	100	107 100	14	120	288	156
	1	200	200		2	160	240	200
	1	135	135	200	0	NA	NA	NA
	1	192		135	1	157	157	157
	3		192	192	1	250	250	250
	3 4	80	164	116	1	120	120	120
		80	231	130	3	90	175	125
lstant	4	154	308	212	4	385	481	419
nskeeper-Golf	6	134	192	163	6	231	312	254
iorecher gott	8	96	288	209	8	126	385	281
	12	130	247	190	9	170	358	270
	3	70	100	92	3	120	154	132
	1	481	481	481	0	NA	NA	NA
	5 <b>1</b> ∙	80	231	145	4	160	288	215
		140	140	140	1	190	190	190
	4	96	400	178	4	192	400	228
ed	1	192	192	192	1	288	288	288
EU	1	260	260	260	0	NA	NA	NA
	3	80	250	143	3	140	500 °	280
	2	120	120	120	2	180	200	190
	1	100	100	100	1	160	160	160
	14	74	327	157	11	120	358	201
	36	192	434	303	28	288	962	561
it . <b>Ai</b> de <sup>.</sup>	15	40	152	124	11	130	304	235
, ALGE	2	80	125	103	0	NA	NA	NA



	NO. OF EMPLOYER	MUMINIM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER
JOB TITLE	RESPONSES	LOW	нісн	MEAN	RESPONSES
Veterinary Technician	3	115	250	162	3
Vice-President	3	173	288	218	4
Ward Attendant	1	60	60	60	ĺ
Warehouse	4	80	150	114	4
Welder	i	200	200	200	1
Worker	2	125	125	125	2
MBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>539</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>577</u>	<u>141</u>	<u>490</u>
Barn Man	1	60	60	60	0
Bookkeeper	2	110	120	115	2
Buyer	4	90	192	118	3
Clerk	3	70	100	83	3
Climber	2	120	120	120	2. ~
Comptroller	1	288	288	288	0
Crew Chief-Tree	1	150	150	150	1
Dairyman	i	100	100	100	i
Deliveryman	11	80	150	103	9
Designer	29	84	170	108	26
Egg Collector	1	150	150	150	ı
Equipment Operator	2	120	168	144	2
Farmhand	5	64	100	80	5
Field Worker	ĺ	231	231	231	ī
Foreman	30	120	346	170	26
Foreman, Assistant	3	80	135	117	3
Forestry Technician	ĭ	120	120	120	1
General Farm Labor	2	150	150	150	2
General Helper	6	60	120	93	5
Greenhouse, General	4	74	120	94	2
Greenhouse Keeper	4	90		125	1
Greenhouse Manager	6	150	194	163	5
Greenhouse Manager, Assistant	3	80	150	127	3
Greens Maintenance	ĺ	90	90	90	i
Groomer	2	77	100	89	ī
Grounds Keeper	ī	60	60	60	ō
Groundsman-Tree	ī	140	140	140	ĭ
Grover	6	121	403	271	7

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

**E7** 

TABLE 7 (Continued)

	NO. OF EMPLOYER	MINIMUM	I WEEKLY	EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER	MAXIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS
·	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN
an .	3	115	250	162	3	192	400	264
	3	173	288	218	4	212	481	317
	1	60	60	60	1	100	100	100
	4	80	150	114	4	110	175	153
	1	200	200	200	1	300	300	300
	2	125	125	125	2	220	220	220
G. FIRM TOTAL	539	<u>60</u>	<u>577</u>	141	490	<u>75</u>	<u>960</u>	200
	1	60	60	60	0	NA	NA	NA
	2	110	120	115	2	110	180	145
	4	90	192	118	3	100	288	169
	3	70	100	83	3	83	150	118
	2	120	120	120	2	150	280	215
	ī	288	288	288	Ō	NA	NA	NA
	ī.	150	150	150	i	200	200	200
	ī	100	100	100	1	160	160	160
	11	80	150	103	9	100	165	126
	29	84	170	108	26	130	219	158
	1	150	150	150	1	200	200	200
	2	120	168	144	2	160	180	170
	5	64	100	80	5	80	160	123
	ĺ	231	231	231	ĺ	269	269	269
	30	120	346	170	26	175	280	217
	3	80	135	117	3	120	173	155
	ĺ	120	120	120	1	150	150	150
	2	150	150	150	2	175	200	188
	6	60	120	93	5	100	300	152
	4	74	120	94	2	80	121	101
	4	90	. 212	125	ī	120	120	120
	6	150	194	163	5	150	320	246
Assistant	3	80	150	127	3	120	250	173
	ĺ	90	90	90	i	160	160	160
	2	<b>7</b> 7	100	89	1	115	115	115
	1	60	60	60	Ō	NA	NA	NA
	ī	140	140	140	1	188	188	188
. E	6	121	403	271	7	161	624	305

ERIC

**E7** 

JOB TITLE	NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	MINIMUM LOW	WEEKLY HIGH	EARNINGS MEAN	NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	1
Herdsman	3	96	163	141		:
Horseman	1	125	103	125	3 0	
Horticulturist	1	192	192	192	0	
Inspector	1	68	68	68	_	
Irrigator	2	97	170.	134	1 2	
Ke <b>nn</b> el Worker	. 1	97 95	170. 95	134 95	1	
Laborer	24	60	127	106	21	
Landscape Architect	1	110	110	110		
Landscape Laborer	3	74	101	92	1	
Landscaper	8	74 144	900	92 291	3 8	
Maintenance Man	3	90	130	107		
Manager	55	115	300	107	3	
Manager, Assistant	14	75	150		51	
Meat Cutter	14	100	100	157 100	12	
Meat Processor	1	100	100	100	1	
Mechanic	4	97	163	147	1	
Mill Worker	1	182	182	182	4	
Nurseryman	4	74	105	91	0	
Office Help	2	90	288	189	3	
Owner-Operator	53	114	200 <b>316</b>	201	1 45	
Packer	3	70	90	201 83		
Picker	2	124	124	124	3	
Plant Specialist	1	165	165	165	2	
Planter	1	80	80	80	1	
Potter	2	100	100	100	0 2	
Propa <b>g</b> ator	8	123	240	148	2 8	
Riding Instructor	1	60	60	60	1	
Sales Clerk	16	80	154	103	16	
Sal <b>esm</b> an	1	144	144	144	1	
Secretary	2	80	385	233	ī	
Serviceman	1	192	192	192	ī	
Sorter	2	88	96	92	2	
Sprayer	1	97	97	97	1	
Stable Hand	1	101	101	101	ī	
Superintendent	2	171	171	171	2	
-			_ · -	Ē <b>9</b>	_	

TABLE 7 (Continued)

NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	MINIMUM LOW	WEEKLY HIGH	EARNINGS MEAN	NO. OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	MAXIMUM LOW	WEEKLY HIGH	EARNING: MEAN
3	96	163	141	3	115	259	211
1	125	125	125	Ō	NA	NA	NA
1	192	192	192	0	NA	NA	NA
1 .	68	68	68	1	120	120	120
2	97	170	134	2	158	240	199
1	95	95	95	1	125	125	125
24	60	127	106	21	100	205	141
1	110	110	110	1	160	160	160
3	74	101	92	3	160	193	182
8	144	900	291	8	168	1200	393
3	90	130	107	3	140	180	153
55	115	300	194	51	184	509	290
14	<b>7</b> 5	150	157	12	192	253	217
1	100	100	100	1	225	225	225
ī	100	100	100	1	200	200	200
4	97	163	147	4	158	192	184
i	182	182	182	0	NA	NA	NA
4	74	105	91	3	100	200	160
2	90	288	189	1	120	120	120
53	114	316	201	45	192	5 <b>77</b>	309
3	70	90	83	3	88	142	124
2	124	124	124	2	151	151	151
ī	165	165	165	1	200	200	200
1	80	80	80	0	NA	NA	NA
2	100	100	100	2	137	137	1.37
8	123	240	148	8	154	346	201
i	60	60	60	ı	<b>7</b> 5	<b>7</b> 5	75
16	80	154	103	16	100	231	146
1	144	144	144	1	168	168	168
2	80	385	233	1	120	385	252
1	192	192	192	1	231	231	231
2	88	96	92	2	99	125	112
ĺ	97	97	9 <b>7</b>	1	158	158	158
1	101	101	101	1	101	101	101
2	371	171	171	2	225	225	225
-	1 44 A 45		Έ <b>9</b>	<b>~</b>	223	223	223

ERIC POLITICAL PROJECT SERVICE SERVICE

TABLE 7 (Continued)

	NO. OF	MINIMUM	WEEKLY	EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER	
JOB TITLE	EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	RESPONSES	
Superintendent, Greenskeeper-Golf	2	192	231	212	2	
Supervisor	5	125	192	155	5	
Tack Keeper	1	60	60	60	0	
Trainer	3	125	150	142	2	
Treasurer-Secretary	1	288	288	288	0	
Truck Driver	2	140	192	166	1	
Vice-President	3	154	288	203	2	
Worker	2	80	120	100	1	
TAL ALL JOB TITLES	1992	<u>60</u>	900	156	1680	



TABLE 7 (Continued)

	NO. OF EMPLOYER			EARNINGS	NO. OF EMPLOYER			EARNINGS
	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	RESPONSES	LOW	HIGH	MEAN
<b>nskeeper-</b> Golf	2	192	231	212	2	288	385	337
	5	125	192	155	5	154	288	227
	1	60	60	60	0	NA	NA	NA
	3	125	150	142	2	175	250	163
	1	288	288	288	0	NA	NA	NA
	$\overline{2}$	140	192	166	1	160	192	176
J.	3	154	288	203	2	192	385	289
	2	80	120	100	1	120	120	120
	1992	<u>60</u>	900	<u>156</u>	<u>1680</u>	<u>70</u>	1600	230

were obtained

agricultural firms were \$141, with a low of The mean minimum weekly earnings of workers in combination farms \$60 and a high of \$577 being

all categories, agricultural firms The cultural firms, Maximum weekly earnings ranged from a low of \$70 to a high of \$1,600. reported. mean maximum weekly earnings of workers interviewers. the mean was responses Minimum and maximum weekly earnings the mean was \$260, with a low of \$70 and a high of \$1,600. the mean maximum weekly earnings of workers was × \$207, with a low of \$70 and a high of \$577. total of 1,680 employer responses were reported. regarding maximum weekly earnings \$200, with a low of \$75 in combination farms are presented by job title and a high of were \$960 being In agri-For ဌ

ĺn

Table

Ъу experience was required in 80 prior experience in any other category. farmers category agricultural required question. Agricultural employers were asked to indicate which jobs require indicated and in Table in 20 per cent. firms in Connecticut. For the total of all job titles, employers reported that in agriculture for entry level employment on farms and that prior experience was necessary than did employers Ninety-two per cent 9 ъу per cent of the cases while job title. As shown in Table The data are presented There were 2,199 responses оf. the farmers 8 a higher percentage no experience responses in Table  $\infty$ 

 $\mathfrak{S}3$ 

of f weekly earnings the mean was \$149, with a agricultural firms were \$141, with a low of full-time workers in all categories were \$156. mean minimum weekly earnings of workers Based upon 1,992 employer responses, the mean minimum weekly earnings the mean was \$169, with a reported were \$60 and the highest were \$900. low of f \$65 and a high of low of \$60 and a high of \$60 and a high of in combination farms and The \$529. lowest minimum \$900 reported. In agricultural \$577 being On farms,

the agricultural firms was \$200, with a low of cultural firms, Maximum weekly earnings ranged from a reported. categories, interviewers. mean the mean was \$207, with a low of maximum weekly earnings of workers responses Minimum and maximum weekly earnings are presented by job title the mean maximum weekly earnings of workers the mean was \$260, with a low of \$70 and a high of \$1,600. A total of 1,680 employer responses regarding maximum weekly earnings low of \$70 to a high of \$70 and a high of \$577. \$75 in combination farms and a high of were obtained were were reported. \$960 being \$1,600. ရှ For

ЪУ prior experience agricultural firms in Connecticut. category and in Table 9 by job title. Agricultural employers were asked to indicate which in agriculture for entry level employment on The data There were 2,199 responses to are presented jobs farms and require in Table



TABLE 8

## JOBS WHICH REQUIRE PRIOR EXPERIENCE IN AGRICULTURE FOR ENTRY EMPLOYMENT ON FARMS AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS IN CONNECTICUT BY CATEGORY

FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	R E S P O N S I (By per cent)  EXPERIENCE I REQUIRED
FARM TOTAL	716	92%
Agricultural Production	716	92
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	1058	<u>70</u>
Agricultural Production	254	70
Ag. Supplies and Services	95	68
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	145	63
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	95 ·	· 76
Ornamental Horticulture	334 72	72 80
Renewable Natural Resources Forestry	8	50
Other	55	56
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	425	<u>85</u>
Agricultural Production	88	90
Ag. Supplies and Services	12	83
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	4	67
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	29	87
Ornamental Horticulture	260	83
Renewable Natural Resources	18 4	83 100
Forestry Other	10	90
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	2199	<u>80</u> 25



TABLE 8

## WHICH REQUIRE PRIOR EXPERIENCE IN AGRICULTURE FOR ENTRY EMPLOYMENT ON FARMS AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS IN CONNECTICUT BY CATEGORY

			ONSES er cent)	
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	EXPERIENCE REQUIRED	NO EXPERIENCE REQUIRED	
tion	<u>716</u> 716	<u>927.</u> 92	<u>8%</u> 8	
<b>AL</b>	1058	<u>70</u>	<u>30</u>	
tion rvices chanics Processing) ture esources	254 95 145 95 334 72 8 55	70 68 63 76 72 80 50	30 32 37 24 28 20 50 44	
AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>425</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>15</u>	
tion rvices chanics Processing) ture esources	88 12 4 29 260 18 4	90 83 67 87 83 83 100 90	10 17 33 13 17 17 10	
ERIC <b>94</b>	2199	<u>80</u> <b>S</b> 5	<u>20</u>	

TABLE 9

## JOBS WHICH REQUIRE PRIOR EXPERIENCE IN AGRICULTURE FOR ENTRY EMPLOYMENT ON FARMS AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS IN CONNECTICUT BY JOB TITLE

JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	R E S P O N S E (by per cent)  EXPERIENCE N  REQUIRED
FARM TOTAL	716	92%
Animal Handler	1	100
Barn Man	3	100
Bookkeeper	4	100
Calfer	2	100
Candler-Poultry	1	100
Cutter	1	100
Dairyman	1	0
Deliveryman	3	67
Designer	1	100
Egg Collector	1	100
Equipment Operator	9	100
Farmhand	52	87
Field Worker	19	95 .
Flock Manager	1	100
Foreman	21	90
Foreman, Assistant	2	50
Forester	1	100
Forester, Assistant	1	100
Gardener	3	100
General Farm Labor	30	77
General Helper	10	90
Grader	. 1	100
Greenhouse Keeper	. 1	100
Greenhouse Manager	2	100
Groomer	1	100 .
Grounds Keeper	1	100
Herdsman	34	97
Herdsman, Assistant	4	100
Horseman	1	100
0.0	_	



26

TABLE 9

## WHICH REQUIRE PRIOR EXPERIENCE IN AGRICULTURE FOR ENTRY EMPLOYMENT ON FARMS AND IN AGRICULTURAL FIRMS IN CONNECTICUT BY JOB TITLE

			ONSES	
	NUMBER OF	(by pe	er cent)	
	EMPLOYER RESPONSES	EXPERIENCE REQUIRED	NO EXPERIENCE REQUIRED	
	RESPONSES	KEQUIKED		
	<u>716</u>	<u>92</u> %	<u>8</u> %	
	1	100	0	
	<b>3</b>	100	0	
•	4	100	0	
	2	100	0	
		100	0	
	_ 1	100	0	
		0	100	
		67	33	
	1	100	0	
	1	100	0	
	9	100	0	
	52	87	13	
	19	95	5	
	1	100	0	
	21	90	10	
	2	50	50	
	ī	100	0	
	1	100	0	
	3	100	0	
	30	77	23	
	10	90	10	
	1	100	0	
	1	100	0	
	2	100	0	57
	1	100	0	
	1	100	0	
	34	. 97	3	
	4	100	0	
	1	100	0	
	<b></b>	100	•	



	-	RESPONSE
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	(by per cent) EXPERIENCE N REQUIRED
Inspector	1	100
Laboratory Technician	1	100
Laborer	74	. 76
Landscape Architect	1	100
Machine Operator	3	100
Maintenance Man	2	100
Manager	77	99
Manager, Assistant	16	100
Mechanic	12	92
Milker	20	90
Office Help	1	100
Owner-Operator	232	99
Packer	3	100
Pasteurizer	1	100
Picker	8	75
Propagator	1	100
Pruner	3	100
Riding Instructor	4	100
Sales Clerk	4	75
Salesman	4	75
Secretary	2	100
Serviceman	1	100
Sorter	2	100
Sower	3	100
Supervisor	9	100
Superintendent	<b>1</b> :	100
Superintendent, Assistant	1	0
Trainer	3	100
Truck Driver	3	33
Vice-President	1	100
Warehouse Man	2	100
Worker	8	63



TABLE 9 (Continued)

*		RESPONSES						
•	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	(by pe EXPERIENCE REQUIRED	er cent) NO EXPERIENCE REQUIRED					
	1	100	0					
•	ī	100	0					
•	74	76	24					
	1	100	. 0					
	3	100	0					
	2	100	0					
	<b>7</b> 7	99	1					
	16	100	·· 0					
•	12	92	8					
	20	90	10					
	1	100	0					
	232	99	1					
	3	100	0					
	1	100	0					
	8	75	25					
	1	100	0					
	3	100	0 .					
	4	100	0					
	4	75	25					
	4	75 ·	25					
	2	100	0					
	1	100	0					
	2	100	0					
	2 3	100	0					
	9	100	<b>0</b> .					
	1	100	0					
stant	1	0	100					
•	3	<b>^ 100</b>	0					
	3	<b>33</b>	67	Ln				
	1	100	0	58				
	2	100	0					
•	8	63	. 37					



	NUMBER OF	RESPONS (by per cent
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER	EXPERIENCE
JOB TITLE	RESPONSES	REQUIRED
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	1058	<u>70</u> %
Accountant	2	100
Advertising Director	1	100
Animal Handler	2	100
Assembly Man	7	100
Barn Man	2	100
Boner	1	100
Bookkeeper	14	50
Broker	1	100
Bucket Operator	$\frac{\overline{4}}{4}$	100
Buyer	6	50
Carpenter	1	0
Cheese Maker	<u></u>	100
Civil Engineer	2	100
Clerk	8	50
Climber	9	67
Comptroller	3	67
County Agent	3	100
Crane Operator	1	100
Crew Chief-Tree	1	100
Cutter	1	100
Dairyman	1	0
Delivery	18	28
Dendrician	1	100
Designer	37	65
Draftsman	1	0
Equipment Operator	4	50
Farm Hand	1	100
Field Representative	3	67
Foreman	56	61
Foreman-Assistant	2	100
Freezerman	2	0
Gardener	. 3	33
Coneral Warm Lahor	1	100
100		
		401



		RES	PONSES
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	(by person of the contract of	per cent) NO EXPERIENCE REQUIRED
	1058	<u>70</u> %	<u>30</u> %
	- <del></del> -	100	0
	2 1	100	0
	2	100	. 0
	7	100	0
	2	100	0
•	1	100	0
	14	50	50
		100	0
	1	100	0
	<b>4</b>	50	50
	6	0	100
	1	100	0
	1	100	Ō
	2 8	50	50
	0	67	33
	9	67	33
	3 3	100	0
	3	100	0
	1	100	0
	1	100	0
	1	0	100
	1	28	72
	18	100	0
	1	65	35
	37	0	100
	1	50	50
•	4	100	0
	1	67	33
	3	61	39
	56	100	0
	2	0	100
	2	33	67
	. 3		0
* 0.0	1	100	U

	MD	RESPONSE (by per cent)
	NUMBER OF	(by per cent) EXPERIENCE N
JOB TITLE	EMPLOYER RESPONSES	REQUIRED
0	7	57
General Helper	1	100
Greenhouse, General	3	100
Greenhouse Keeper	<b>2</b>	100
Greenhouse Manager	5	60
Greenhouse Maintenance	14	50
Groomer		100
Groomer, Professional Dog	2	
Grounds Keeper	1	0 100
Grounds Maintenance	2	
Groundsman-Tree	2	100
Grower	2	50
Horseman	1	100
Horticulturist	1	100
Irrigator	1	100
Kennel Worker	19	63
aboratory Technician	4	75
aborer	. 39	46
Landscape Architect	· 2	100
Landscape Laborer	6	83 .
Lands <b>ca</b> per	8	100
Logger	1	0
Machine Operator	1	100
Maintenance	6	50
Manager	135	76 ·
Manager-Assistant	<b>. 28</b>	86
Mechanic	39	59
Meat Cutter	3	67
Milker	1	100
Mill Worker	1	0
Mower	2	100
Nurse, Veterinary	3	67
Nurseryman	1	100
Office Help	4	75
Owner-Operator	220	<b>79</b> _
182		·



TABLE 9 (Continued)

		RESP	ONSES	
	NUMBER OF		er cent)	
	EMPLOYER	EXPERIENCE	NO EXPERIENCE	
	RESPONSES	REQUIRED	REQUIRED	
	7	57	43	
	1	100	0	
		100	0	
	2	100	0	
	3 2 5	60	40	
3	14	50	50	
Doo	2	100	0	
Dog	1	0	100	
	2	100	0	
	2 2	100	0	
	2	50	50	
	1	100	0	
	1	100	0	
	ī	100	0	
	19	63	37	
	4	75	25	
	<b>3</b> 9	46	54	
	2	100	0	
	6	83	17	
	8	100	0	
	1	0	100	
	1	100	0	
	6	50	50	
	135	76	24	
	28	86	14	
	39	<b>5</b> 9	41	
	3	67	33	
	1	100	0	
	$\bar{\mathtt{1}}$	0	100	,
•	2	100	0	
	3	67	33	
	1	100	0	
	4	75	25	
	220	<b>7</b> 9	21	
C2				

ERIC

	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER	RESPONSE (by per cent) EXPERIENCE NO REQUIRED
JOB TITLE	RESPONSES	VEGOTKEN
Packer	2	0
Park Manager	2	50
Parts Man	6	83
asteurizer	2	100
lant Specialist	1	100
ro-Golf	4	25
ro-Golf-Assistant	3	0
runer	1	0
adiologist	1	0
Receiver	1	0
Receptionist	10	50
Repairman	2	100
iding Instructor	4	100
ig Man	3	0
ales Clerk	48	56
alesman	11	55
ausage Maker	2	100
awyer	1	0
ecretary	22	<b>3</b> 6
erviceman	2	100
Site Planner	1	100
now Plower	1	100
prayer	2	50
preader Operator	1	100
Stable Hand	4	100
Stock Boy	3	33
Superintendent	4	100
Superintendent-Assistant	5	100
Superintendent-Greenskeeper-Golf	7	71
Supervisors	12	83
Surgical Assistant	1	100
Taxidermist	1	100
Technician	4	75
Frail Guide	3	67
104		
<b>—</b> —		ACF



		RESP	ONSES	
	NUMBER OF		er cent)	
	EMPLOYER	EXPERIENCE	NO EXPERIENCE	
	RESPONSES	REQUIRED	REQUIRED	
	2	0	100	
	2	50	50	
	<del>-</del> 6	83	17	
	2	100	0	,
	_ 1	100	0	
	4	25	75	
	3	0	100	
	1	. 0	100	
	1	0	100	
•	1	0	100	
	10	50	50	
	2	100	0	,
	4	100	0	
	3	0	100	
	48	56	44	
	11	55	45	
	2	100	0	
	1	0	100	
	22	36	64	
	2	100	0	
	1	100	0	
	1	100	0	
	2	50	50	
	1	100	0	
	4	100	0	•
	3	33	67	
	4	100	0	
tant	5	100	Ō	
skeeper-Golf	7	71	29	
	12	83	17	61
	1	100	0	
	1	100	Ō	
	4	75	25	
	3	67	33	
0.4	-			
m <i>a z F</i> a				

ERIC CONTROL OF PRINCIPAL DE PR

JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	RESPONSE (by per cent) EXPERIENCE NO REQUIRED
Trainer	7	57
Treasurer-Secretary	2	100
Tree Expert-Certified	ī	100
Free Man	2	50
ree man Tree Surgeon	2	100
ree Surgeon Truck Driver	12	67
ruck briver eterinarian	52	92
eterinarian eterinary Assistant	18	67
eterinary Assistant eterinary Hospital Aide	1	100
eterinary nospital Alde eterinary Technician	3	67
ice-President	6	83
arehouse	3	0
arenouse Velder	i	100
erder Jorker	4	100
MBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>425</u>	<u>85</u> %
gronomist	1	100
unimal Handler	1	100
arn Man	5	80
ookkeeper	1	0
ashier	1	0
lerk	5	60
Climber	2	50
Comptroller	1	100
Crew Chief-Tree	1	100
Deliveryman	7	43
D <b>esi</b> gn <b>er</b>	28	79
Egg Collector	2	100
Equipment Operator	1	100
Farmhand	5	80
Field Worker	5	60
Foreman	29	93
Fo <b>re</b> man-Ass <b>is</b> tant	2	100
<b>1</b> 0 <b>6</b>		407
<del></del>		A ( ` ' ' )



TABLE 9 (Continued)

	RESPONSES			
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER	EXPERIENCE	r cent) NO EXPERIENCE	
	RESPONSES	REQUIRED	REQUIRED	
	7	57	43	
	2	100	0	
	1	100	0	
	2	50	50	
	2 2	100	0	
	12	67	33	
	52	92	8	
	18	67	33	
1-	1	100	0	
Lde	3	67	33	
	6	83	17	
	3	0	100	
	3 <b>1</b>	100	0	
	4	100	0	
• FIRM TOTAL	<u>425</u>	<u>85</u> %	<u>15</u> %	
. FIRM IUIAL			0	
	1	100	0	
	1	100	20	
	5	80	100	
	1	0	100	
	1	0	40	
	5	60	50	
	2 1	50	0	
		100 100	0	
	1_		57	
	7	43	21	
	28	79	0	
	2	100	0	62
	1_	100	20	2
	5	80	40	
	5	60	7	
	<b>2</b> 9	93	0	
	2	100	U	



	NUMBER OF	RESPONSE (by per cent) EXPERIENCE
JOB TITLE	EMPLOYER RESPONSES	EXPERIENCE N REQUIRED
Gardener	2	100
General Farm Labor	3	100
General Helper	7	43
Grader	0	0
Greenhouse General	7	71
Greenhouse Keeper	3	67
Greenhouse Manager	6	100
Greenhouse Manager-Assistant	3	100
Greens Maintenance	2	50
Groomer	1	0
Groundsman-Tree	1	0
Grower	- 8	88
Grower Herdsman	2	100
Horseman		100
Horticulturist	_ 1	100
Inspector	2	. 100
Inspector I <b>r</b> rigator	_ ·	100
Kennel Worker	3	0
Laborer	18	67
Landscape Architect	1	100
Landscape Laborer	<u>_</u>	50
	9	89
Landscaper	3	100
Maintenance	54	96
Manager Manager-Assistant	14	93
Meat Cutter	1	100
Meat Processor		0
Mechanic	<del>-</del>	75
Mill Worker	i	100
Nurseryman	6	83
Office Help	2	100
Owner-Operator	92	96
Packer	3	33
Diekor	2	100
108		



	RESPONSES			
	NUMBER OF	(by pe	er cent)	
	EMPLOYER	EXPERIENCE	NO EXPERIENCE	
	RESPONSES	REQUIRED	REQUIRED	
	2	100	0	
	<b>2</b> 3	100	0	
	7	43	57	
	0	0	0	
	7	71	29	
	3	67	33	
•	6	100	0	
		100	0	
sistant	3 <b>2</b>	50	50	
	1	0	100	
	1	0	100	
	) 1	88	12	
	8	100	0	
	2	100	0	
	2 2 1	100	0	
	1	100	Ö	
	2 1	100	Ö	
	1	0	100	
	3	67	33	
	18	100	0	
	1	50	50	
	4	89	11	
	9	100	0	
	3 54	96	4	
		93	7	
	14	100	ó	
	1	. 0	100	
	1	75	25	
	4	100	0	
	1	83	17	63
	6	100	0	
	2	96	4	
	92		67	
	. 3	33	0	
100	2	100	U	

1C8
ERIC

TABLE 9 (Continued)

JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	RESPONS (by per cent EXPERIENCE REQUIRED
Planter	2	100
Pott <b>er</b>	1	100
Propagator	5	100
Pruner	1	100
Riding Instructor	3	100
Sales Clerk	17	59
Salesman	3	100
Secretary	2	50
Serviceman	1	100
Sorter	1	100
Stable Hand	1	100
Superintendent	2	100
Superintendent Greenskeeper-Golf	2	100
Supervisor	4	100
Terrarium Maker	1	0
Trail Guide	1	100
Trainer	4	100
Transplanter	1	0
Treasurer-Secretary	1	100
Tree Man	1	100
Truck Driver	2	50
Vice-President	1	100
Worker	2	50
TOTAL - ALL JOB TITLES	2199	<u>80</u>



TABLE 9 (Continued)

		RESP	ONSES
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	(by pe EXPERIENCE REQUIRED	r cent) NO EXPERIENCE REQUIRED
	2	100	0
	1	100	0
	5	100	0
	1	100	0
	3	100	0
	17	59	41
·	3	100	0
	2	50	50
	1	100	0
	1	100	0
	1	100	0
	2	100	0
1 Calf	2	100	0
keeper-Golf	4	100	0
	· 1	0	100
	1	100	0
	4	100	0
	i	0	100
	1	100	0
	. 1	100	0
	2	50	50
	1	100	0
	2	50	50
ES	<u>2199</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>20</u>





indicated that prior experience in agriculture was needed for entry employment while 85 per cent of the farm/firm operators' responses and 70 per cent of the agricultural firm operators' responses indicated that prior experience was required. Experience required by instructional area in each of the three categories is also shown in Table 8. The percentage of employers' responses regarding whether or not experience is required by job title is shown in Table 9.

In the next two tables, data regarding the minimum formal educational level Connecticut agricultural employers would accept for beginning employees is presented. The data are presented by category and instructional area in Table 10 and by job title in Table 11. A total of 2,636 employer responses were recorded. For all categories, 21 per cent of the agricultural employers' responses indicated that the minimum formal educational level they would accept for beginning employees was less than high school, 47 per cent required a high school diploma, 12 per cent, a post-secondary one or two year program; eight per cent, a college degree; two per cent, an advanced degree; and ten per cent, a specialized training program.

Based on 799 responses from farmers, 30 per cent of the responses indicated that the minimum formal educational level required was less than a high school education, 42 per cent, a high school diploma; 14 per cent, a one or two year post-secondary program; six per cent, a college degree; one per cent, an advanced degree; and seven per cent, a specialized training program.

A total of 52 per cent of the 1,297 agricultural firm operator responses stated that a high school diploma was necessary for beginning employment. Fifteen per cent of the responses indicated less than high school; 11 per cent, a post-secondary one or two year program; eight per



TABLE 10

MINIMUM FORMAL EDUCATIONAL LEVEL CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS
WOULD ACCEPT FOR BEGINNING EMPLOYEES
BY CATEGORY

		T 700	итен	RESPO (by per POST-	
DADWINTON CAMPGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	RESPUNSES	SCHOOL			
FARM TOTAL	799	<u>30</u> %	42%	<u>14</u> %	<u>6</u> %
Agricultural Production	799	<b>3</b> 0	42	14	6
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	1297	<u>15</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>
Agricultural Production	<b>31</b> 0	18	44	. 8	7
Ag. Supplies and Services	117	11	65	10	8
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	177	8	56	13	10
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	157	13	58	11	4
Ornamental Horticulture	385	18	52	14	7
Renewable Natural Resources	72	19	41	14	14
Forestry	8	0	50	50	0 14
Other	71	19	63	0	14
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>540</u>	<u>21</u>	42	<u>11</u>	9
Agricultural Production	102	23	40	12	11
Ag. Supplies and Services	12	25	42	33	0
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	3	0	100	0	0
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	30	20	47	7	3
Ornamental Horticulture	355	20	41	9	9 .
Renewable Natural Resources	22	<b>3</b> 6	36	0	0
Forestry	5	0	40	20	40
Other	11	9	82	0	9
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	<u>2636</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>47</u>	12	<u>. 8</u>



MINIMUM FORMAL EDUCATIONAL LEVEL CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS
WOULD ACCEPT FOR BEGINNING EMPLOYEES
BY CATEGORY

	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	R E S P O (by per POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS		ADVANCED DEGREE	SPECIALIZED TRAINING PROGRAM	
	799	30%	42%	14%	<u>6</u> %	<u>1</u> %	<u>7</u> %	
ion :	799 799	30	42	14	6	1	7	
L	<u>1297</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>52</u>	11	<u>8</u>	4	<u>10</u> 10	
ion vices	310 117	18 11	44 65	8 10	7 8 10	13 0 0	6 13	P
chanics rocessing)	177 157 385	8 13 18	56 58 52	13 11 14	<b>4</b> 7	2 1	12 8	
ure sources	72 8 71			14 50 0	14 0 14	0 0 1	12 0 3	
G. FIRM TOTAL	54 <u>0</u>	<u>21</u>	42	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u> .	<u>0</u>	<u>17</u>	
tion	102 12	23 25	40 42	12 33	11 0	0 0	14 0	
rvices echanics Processing)	3 30	0 20	100 47	0 7	0 3 9	0 0 0	0 23 21	
ture esources	35 <b>5</b> 22 <b>5</b>	20 36 0	41 36 40	9 0 <b>2</b> 0	0 40	0	28 0	56
	11	9	82	0	9 .	0	0	ΩV
	2636	21	<u>47</u>	12	<u>8</u> 	<u>2</u>	10	_

<u>443</u>

TABLE 11

# MINIMUM FORMAL EDUCATIONAL LEVEL CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS WOULD ACCEPT FOR BEGINNING EMPLOYEES BY JOB TITLE

	NUMBER OF	LESS	нісн	RESPO (by per POST-	cent)
JOB TITLE	EMPLOYER RESPONSES	THAN HIGH SCHOOL	SCHOOL DIPLOMA	SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE
FARM TOTAL	799	30%	42%	<u>14</u> %	<u>6</u> %
Animal Handler	1	100	0	0	0
Baker	1	0	0	0	0
Barn Man	3	0	100	0	0
Bookkeeper	5	0	20	40	40
Buyer	1	0	100	0	0
Calfer	2	0	100	0	0
Candler-Poultry	2	50	50	0	0
Cutter	1	100	0	0	0
Dairyman	1	0	100	0	0
Data Processor	1	100	0	. 0	0
Deliveryman	4	<b>7</b> 5	25	0	0
Designer	1	0	100	0	0
Egg Collector	3	67	33	0	0
Equipment Operator	9	11	78	0	0
Farmhand	60	43	55	0	0
Field Worker	20	50	35	10	5
Flock Manager	1	100	0	0	0
Foreman	22	18	68	9	0
Foreman, Assistant	2	50	50	0	0
Forester	1	0	0	0	0
Forester, Assistant	1	0	0	100	0
Gardener	3	67	0.	33	0
General Farm Labor	34	62	29	6	0
General Helper	16	63	19	12	0
Grader	2	50	50	0	0
Greenhouse Keeper	1	0	0	100	0
Greenhouse Manager	2	0	0	0	100
Groomer	2	50	0	0	0



MINIMUM FORMAL EDUCATIONAL LEVEL CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS
WOULD ACCEPT FOR BEGINNING EMPLOYEES
BY JOB TITLE

TABLE 11

	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	R E S P 0 (by per POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS		ADVANCED DEGREE	SPECIALIZED TRAINING PROGRAM
	* ************************************		1.0%	4 1 81	( 9)	1 9/	70
	<u>799</u>	<u>30</u> %	<u>42</u> %	<u>14</u> %	<u>6</u> %	<u>1</u> %	<u>7</u> %
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	0	0	0	0	100
	3	0	100	0	0	Ó	0
	5	0	20	40	40	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	2	0	100	0	0	0	0
	2	50	50	0	0	0	0
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	1	100	0	. 0	0	0	0
	4	<b>7</b> 5	25	0	0	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	3	67	33	0	0	0	0.
	9	11	78	0	0	0	11
	60	43	55	0	0	0	2
	20	50	35	10	5	0	0
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
	22	18	68	9	0	0	5
	2	50	50	0	0	0	0
	1	0	0	0	0	100	0
	1	0	0	100	0	0	0
	3	67	0	33	0	0	0
	34	62	29	6	0	0	3
	16	63	19	12	0	0	6 6
	2	50	50	0	0	0	0
	1	0 .	0	100	0	0	0
	2	0	0	0	100	0	. 0
	2	50	0	0	0	0	50
(3)	115			403	2		

ERIC FRUITESSE PROVIDENCE

TABLE 11 (Continued)

				RESPO (by per	
JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE
			<u> </u>		
Grounds Keeper	1	0	100	0	0
Herdsman	34	20	53	12	6
Herdsman, Assistant	4	0	50	25	0
Horseman	1	100	0	0	0
Inspector	1	100	0	0	0
Laboratory Technician	1	0	0	0	100
Laborer	102	5 <b>4</b>	37	7	1
Landscape Architect	2	0	50	0	50
Machine Operator	3	33	67	0	0
Maintenance Man	2	100	0	0	0
Manager	83	12	<b>4</b> 8	17	12
Manager, Assistant	17	12	35	29	6
Mechanic	15	7	33	27	0
Milker	21	43	52	0	0
Office Help	3	67	33	0	0
Owner-Operator	215	14	47	22	10
Packer	14	<b>4</b> 2	22	22	7
Pasteurizer	1	0	0	100	0
Picker	1.0	80	10	0	0
Propagator	1	0	0	0	100
Pruner	3	100	0	0	0
Riding Instructor	4	25	25	25	0
Sales Clerk	5	20	40	20	0
Salesman	4	25	25	50	0
Secretary	4	0	<b>7</b> 5	25	0
Serviceman	1	0	0	100	0
Sodder	, <b>1</b>	0	100	0	0
Sorter	2	50	50	0	0
Sower	3	100	0	0	0
Stable Hand	2	50	0	50	0
Supervisor	5	20	20	0	0
Superintendent	1	0	100	. 0	0
Superintendent, Assistant	1	100	0	0	0
		0	0	100	0

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

TABLE 11 (Continued)

		RESPONSES							
				(by per	cent)		annet it tern		
	NUMBER OF	LESS	HIGH	POST-			SPECIALIZED		
	EMPLOYER	THAN HIGH	SCHOOL	SECONDARY,	COLLEGE	ADVANCED	TRAINING		
	RESPONSES	SCHOOL	DIPLOMA	1 OR 2 YEARS	DEGREE	DEGREE	PROGRAM		
		_		0	0	0	0		
	1	0	100	0	6	0	9		
	34	20	53	12	0	0	25		
	4	0	50	25		0	0		
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0		
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0		
	1	0	0	0	100		1		
	102	54	37	7	1	0			
	2	0	50	0	50	0	0		
	3	33	67	0	0	0	0		
	2	100	0	0	0	0	0		
	83	12	48	17	12	0	11		
	17	12	35	29	6	0	18		
	15	7	33	27	0	0	33		
	. 21	43	52	0	0	0	. 5		
	3	67	33	0	0	0	0		
	215	14	47	22	10	0	7		
	14	42	22	22	7	0	7		
	1	0	0	100	0	0	0		
	10	80	10	. 0	0	0	10		
	1	0	0	0	100	0	0		
	3	100	0	0	0	0	0		
	4	25	25	25	0	0	25		
	5	20	40	20	0	0	20		
	4	25	25	50	0	0	0		
	4	0	<b>7</b> 5	25	0	0	0		
	1	. 0	0	100	0	0	0		
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0		
	2	50	50	0	0	0	0		
	3	100	0	Ö	0	0	0		
	2	50	0	50	Ō	0	0		
	5	20	20	0	Ö	0	60		
	1	0	100	0	Ö	0	0		
		100	0	<u>0</u> .	Ö	0	0		
tant	1	0	0	100	Ö	Ö	Ō		
	1	U	U	100	v	•	•		

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

				RESPO (by per	-· <del>-</del>
JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE
Tractor Driver	1	0	100	.0	0
Trainer	3	0	0	0	Ö
Truck Driver	5	80	20	Ö	Ö
Veterinarian	3	0	0	0	0
Veterinarian, Assistant	2	0	50	0	50
Vice-President	2	0	<b>5</b> 0	0	50
Warehouse Man	2	50	<b>5</b> 0	0	0
Worker	11	91	9	0	0
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	1297	<u>15</u>	<u>52</u>	11	<u>8</u>
Accountant	2	0	50	0	50
Advertising Director	1	Ö	0	0	100
Animal Handler	3	33	67	0	0
Assembly Man	ī	0	100	0	0
Barn Man	2	0	50	0	0
Boner	$\overline{1}$	0	100	0	0
Bookkeeper	21	0	57	24	0
Broker	1	0	0	100	0
Bucket Operator	5	0	80	0	0
Buyer	7	29	57	0	14
Calfer	2	0	100	0	0
Carpenter	1	0	100	0	. 0
Cashier	2	0	100	0	0
Cheese Maker	2	0	100	0	0
Civil Engineer	1	0	0	0	0
Clerk	17	18	76	0	6
Climber	8	50	38	0	12
Comptroller	1	0	0	0	0
County Agent	3	0	67	0	33
Crane Operator	3	33	33	0	0
Crew Chief-Tree	1	0	0	0	0
Cutter	1	0	100	0	0
Dairyman	2	0	100	0	0
	119				120

ERIC Full Start Provided by ERIC

<u> </u>		<del></del>		RESPO				_
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH S <b>C</b> HOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE	ADVANCED DEGREE	SPECIALIZE TRAINING PROGRAM	
-	-		100	0	0	0	0	
	1 3	0 0	100	0	0	0	100	
	<b>3</b> 5	80	20	0	0	0	0	
	3	0	0	0	0	100	0	
int	2	0	50	0	50	0	0	
111 C	2	0	50 50	0	50	0	0	
	2	50	50 50	0	0	0	0	
	11	91	9	0	0	0	0	
	11	91	9	U	U	U	U	
	1297	<u>15</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>10</u>	
	2	0	50	0	50	0	0	
	1	Ö	0	Ō	100	Ō	0	
	3	33	67	0	0	0	0	
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0	
	2	0	50	0	0	0	50	
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0	
	21	0	57	24	0	0	19	
	1	0	0	100	0	0	0	
	5	0	80	0	0	0	20	
	7	29	57	0	14	0	0	
	2	0	100	0	0	0	0	
	1	0	100	0	. 0	0	0 .	
	2	0	100	0	0	0	0	
	2	0	100	0 .	0	0	0	
	1	0	0	0	0	100	0	
	17	18	76	0	6	0	0	
	8	50	38	0	12	0	0	
	1	0	0	0	0	100	0	69
	3	0	67	0	33	0	0	~
	3	3 <b>3</b>	33	0	0	0	<b>3</b> 3	
	1	0	0	0	0	0	100	
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0	
	2	0	100	0	0	0	0	
EDIC.	119				120			

				RESPO (by per		
JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE	
Dalling War	31	29	71	0	0	
Delivery Man Dendrician	2	50	50	0	0	
<del>-</del>	42	14	5 <b>2</b>	10	2	
Designer Draftsman	2	0	0	50	50	
Equipment Operator	7	29	71	0	0	
Farm Hand	1	0	100	0	0	
Field Worker	1	0	0	100	0	
	56	16	59	7	5	
Foreman Anniahaan	2	0	100	0	0	
Foreman, Assistant	4	25	50	0	0	
Freezerman	4	50	50 50	0	0	
Gardener General Farm Labor	1	100	0	0	0	
	15	53	47	0	0	
General Helper	13	0	100	0	0	
Greenhouse, General	4	50	100	50	0	
Greenhouse Keeper	•	_	33	67	0	
Greenhouse Manager	3 6	0		= -	17	
Greenhouse Maintenance	_	33	33	0		
Groomer	17	35	47	e e	0	
Groomer, Professional Dog	3	67	33	0	0	
Grounds Keeper	2	50	50	0	0	
Grounds Maintenance	3	0	67	33	0	
Groundsman-Tree	2	100	0	0	0	
Grower	2	50	50	0	. 0	
Horseman	1	0	100	0	0	
Horticulturist	1	0	0	100	0	
Ice Cream Maker	4	0	50	0	0	
Irrigator	0	0	0	0	0	
Kennel Worker	57	16	33	0	0	
Laboratory Technician	9	0	22	56	0	
Laborer	38	50	46	2	0	
Landscape Architect	3	0	0	0	100	
Landscape Laborer	8	50	38	12	0	
Landscaper	10	10	50	10	20	
Logger	1	100	0	0	0	



				RESPO (by per			
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE	ADVANCED DEGREE	SPECIALIZED TRAINING PROGRAM
	31	29	71	0	0	0	0
	2	50	50	0	Ö	0	0
	42	14	52	10	2	0	22
	2	0	0	50	50	0	0
	7	29	71	0	0	0	0
	ĺ	0	100	0	0	0	0
	ī	Ŏ	0	100	0	0	0
	- 5 <b>6</b>	16	59	7	5	2	11
	2	0	100	0	0	0	0
	4	25	50	0	0	0	25
	4	50	50	0	0	0	0
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
	15	53	47	0	0	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	0	~ 0
•	4	50	0	50	0	0	0
	3	0	33	67	0	0	0
e	6	33	33	0	17	0	17
_	17	35	47	0	0	0	18
Dog	3	67	33	0	. 0	0	0
	2	50	50	0	0	0	0
	3	0	67	33	0 ,	0	0
	2	100	0	0	0	0	0
	2	50	50	0	0	0	0
	1	0	100	0	. 0	0	0
	1	0	0	100	0	0	0
	4	0	50	0	0	0	50
•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	57	16	33	0	0	0	51
	9	0	22	56	0	11	11
	38	50	46	2	0	0	2
	3	0	0	0	100	0	0
	8	50	38	12	0	0	0
	10	10	50	10	20	0	10
<u>:</u>	1	100	0	0	0	0	0

				RESPO (by per	
JOB TITLE	number of employer responses	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE
Market and Committee	1	0	100	0	0
Machine Operator	6	0	83	0	0
Maintenance	178	8	52	17	12
Manager		9	52 59	10	19
Manager, Assistant	32	9 15	59 56	9	2
Mechanic	55			12	0
Meat Cutter	9	44	44		0
Meat Processor	1	0	100	0	•
Milker	2	0	50	0	0
Mill Worker	1	100	0	0	0
Mower	2	0	100	0	0
Nurse, Veterinary	5	0	60	20	20
Nurseryman	2	0	50	50	0
Office Help	5	0	100	0	0
Owner-Operator	237	11	49	16	12
Packer	2	0	100	0	<b>0</b>
Park Manager	4	25	0	0	50
Parts Man	10	0	70	20	0
Pasteurizer	5	0	20	0	20
Plant Specialist	3	33	0	0	0
Pro-Golf	4	0	75	0	0
Pro-Golf, Assistant	3	0	100	0	0
Pruner	ĺ	100	0	. 0	0
Radiologist	$\overline{\mathtt{1}}$	0	Ō	0 .	0
Receiver	2	50	50	0	0
Receptionist	12	0	75	17	8
Repairman	4	25	25	0	25
Riding Instructor	3	0	0	33	0
Rig Man	ĭ	Ö	0	0	ő
Sales Clerk	62	27	58	7	3
	21	10	66	24	0
Salesman Sanitation	1	0	0	0	100
	2	0	100	0	0
Sausage Maker	1		100	0	<u> </u>
Sawyer	20	0	80 100	20	0 0
Secretary	20	0	80		_
	123			18	<b>4</b>

TABLE 11 (Continued)

				RESPO (by per			CDECTAL TRED
	NUMBER OF	LESS	HIGH	POST-		ADVANCED	SPECIALIZED
	EMPLOYER	THAN HIGH	SCHOOL	SECONDARY,	COLLEGE	ADVANCED	TRAINING
	RESPONSES	SCH00L	DIPLOMA	1 OR 2 YEARS	DEGREE	DEGREE	PROGRAM
	1	. 0	100	0	0	0	0
	6	0	83	Ö	Ö	0	17
	178	8	52	17	12	1	10
	32	9	<b>5</b> 9	10	19	0	3
	55	15	56	. 9	2	0	18
	9	44	44	12	0	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	2	0	50	0	0	0	50
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
	2	0	100	0	0	0	0
	5	0	60	20	20	. 0	0
	2	0	50	50	0	. 0	0
	5	0	100	0	0	0	0
	237	11	49	16	12	4	8
	2	0	100	0	0	0	0
	4	25	0	0	50	0	25
	10	0	70	20	0	Ũ	10
	5	0	20	0	. 20	0	60
	3	33	0	0	0	. 0	67
	4	0	75	0	0	0	25
	3	0	100	0	0	0	0
	1	100	0	0	0	Ô	0
	1	0	0	0 .	0	0	100
	2	50	50	0	0	0	0
	12	0	75	17	8	0	0
	4	25	25	0	25	0	25
	3	0	0	33	0	0	67
	1.	0	0	0	0	0	100
	62	27	58	7	3	0	5 .
	21	10	66	24	0	0	0
	1	0.	0	0	100	0	0
	2	0	100	0	0	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	Ů	0
	20	0	80	20	0	0	0
of 23				12	4		

				RESPO (by per		
	NUMBER OF	LESS	HIGH	POST-		
	EMPLOYER	THAN HIGH	SCHOOL	SECONDARY,	COLLEGE	
JOB TITLE	RESPONSES	SCHOOL_	DIPLOMA	1 QR 2 YEARS	DEGREE	
Serviceman	4	0	75	0	0	
Site Planner	1 '	, 0	0	0	100	
Snow Plower	1	0	100	0	0	
Sprayer	1	0	100	0	. 0	
Spreader Operator	1	100	0	0	0	
Stable Hand	. 5	80	20	0	0	
Stock Boy	6	50	50	0	0	
Superintendent	4	25	0	75	0	
Superintendent, Assistant	6	33	17	17	33	-
Superintendent-Greenskeeper-Golf	10	10	40	10	30	
Supervisors	14	0	64	7	7	
Surgical Assistant	3	0	100	0	0	
Taxidermist	0	0	0	0	0	
Technician	6	0	66	17 ,	<sub>и</sub> 17	
Trail Guide	3	33	0	. 0	33	
Trainer	8	<b>2</b> 5	38	12	0	
Treasurer-Secretary	5	0	80	0	0	
Tree Expert-Certified	1 3	0	0	0	100	
Tree Man	3	33	33	33	0	
Tree Surgeon	2	0	100	0	0	
Trimmer	1	0	100	0	0	
Truck Driver	19	32	37	21	0	
Veterinarian	50	0	0	0	12	
Veterinary Assistant	19	11	57	. 16	11	
Veterinary Hospital Aide	2	0	100	0 .	0	
Veterinary Technician	4	0	50	25	25	
Vice-President	6	17	<b>6</b> 6	0	17	
Ward Attendant	2	50	50	0	0	
Warehouse Man	5	20	80	0	0	
Welder	1	. 0	100	0	0	
Worker	3	0	100	0	0	



TABLE 11 (Continued)

	RESPONSES (by per cent)						
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 QR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE	ADVANCED DEGREE	SPECIALIZED TRAINING PROGRAM
	IGEDI ONDED	Волоод	DILLOIM	2 (11 2 22220	DEGRADE		
	4	0	75	0	0	0	25
	1	0	0	0	100	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
	5	80	20	0	0	0 .	0
•	6	50	50	0	0	0	0 .
	4	25	0	75	0	0	0
ant	6	33	17	17	33	0	0
eeper-Golf	10	10	40	10	30	0	10
oopon oon	14	0	64	7	7	0	22
	3	Ō	100	0	0	0	0
	Ō	Ō	0	0	0	0	0
	6	Ō	66	17	17	0	0
	3	33	0	. 0	33	0	33
	8	25	38	12	0	0	25
	5	0	80	0	0	20	0
	1	Ö	0	0	100	0	0
	3	33	33	33	. 0	0	0
	2	0	100	0	0	0	0
	1	Ö	100	Ö	Ö	Ö	0
	19	<b>3</b> 2	37	21	Ö	Ö	10
	50	0	0	0	12	74	14
	19	11	57	16	11	0	5
.d <b>e</b>	2	0	100	0	0	Ö	Ō
	4	Ö	50	25	25	Ö	Ö
	6	17	66	0	17	Ö	Ö
	2	50	50	Ö	0	Ö	Ö
	5	20	80	Ö	ŏ	Ö	Ö
	1	0	100	Ö	ő	Ö	Ö
	3	0	100	0	Ö	0	Ö



				RESPO (by per	
JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>540</u>	<u>21</u>	42	11	<u>9</u>
Agronomist	1	0	0	0	100
Animal Handler	1	Ô	100	0	0
Barn Man	· 5	60	40	Ō	0
Bookkeeper	4	25	50	25	0
Buyer	i	.0	100	0	Ō
Cashier		0	100	0	Ō
Clerk	7	0	100	0	0
Climber	2	50	0	0	ð
Comptroller	1	0	Ō	0	100
Crane Operator	Ō	Ō	Ô	Ů	0
Crew Chief-Tree	ĺ	Ö	Ô	Ō	0.
Dairyman		0	100	0	0
Deliveryman	12	58	42	Ō	Ō
Designer	33	15	46	6	Ō
Egg Collector	1	100	0	0	Ō
Equipment Operator	4	25	25	0	0
Farmhand	6	50	50	0	Ö
Field Worker	6	33	67	Ö	Ö
Foreman	30	13	64	13	0
Foreman, Assistant	3	67	33	0	Ö
Forester, Assistant	0	0	0	. 0	Ō
Forestry Technician	i	Ö	Ö	100	Ö
Freezerman	2	Ö	50	50	Ö
Gardener	. 4	Ö	25	25	Ō
General Farm Labor	4	25	75	0	O
Ceneral Helper	9	44	56	0	0
Grader	1	100	0	0	0
Greenhouse General	7	57	43	Ō	Ō
Greenhouse Keeper	6	³ 17	50	Ö	17
Greenhouse Manager	6	0	17	33	17
Greenhouse Manager-Assistant	3	0	33	33	33
Greens Maintenance	ĺ	Ö	0	0	0
127		-	-		ं 72



TABLE 11 (Continued)

<del></del>	<del> </del>			RESPO			
				(by per	cent)		
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE	ADVANCED DEGREE	SPECIALIZED TRAINING PROGRAM
. FIRM TOTAL	<u>540</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>17</u>
	1	0	0	0	100	. 0	0
	1	0	106	0	0	0	0
	٠5	60	40	0	0	0	0
	4	25	50	25	0	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	7	0	100	0	0	0	0
	2	50	0	0	0	0	50
	1	0	0	0	100	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	0	0	0	0	100
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	12	58	42	0	0	0	0
	33	15	46	6	0	0	33
	1	100	0	. 0	0	0	U
	4	25	25	0	0	0	50
•	6	50	50	0	0	0	O
	6	33	67	0	0	0	0
	30	13	64	13	0	0	10
	3	67	33	0	0	0	0
	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	0	100	0	0	0
-	2	0	50	50	0	0	0
	4	Ō	25	25	0	0	50
	4	25	75	0	O	0	0
	9	44	56	Ô٠	0	0	0
	ī	100	0	0	0	0	0 73
	7	57	43	0	0	0	ე ა
	6	17	50	0	17	0	17
	6	0	17	33	17	0	33
sistant	3	0	33	33	33	0	0
	1	0	0	0	0	0	100
40m	_	=	•		_	_	

		<del></del>		RESPO	
JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE
					_
Groomer	3	100	0	0	0
Grounds Keeper	1	100	0	0	0
Groundsman-Tree	2	100	0	0	0
Grower	10	20	40	10	20
Herdsman	4	25	50	25	0
<b>Horsem</b> an	2	50	0	0	0
Horticulturist	1	0	0	0	100
Inspector	2	0	50	50	0
Irrigator	2	50	0	0	0
Kennel Worker	3	67	33	0	0
Laborer	36	58	33	3	0
Landscape Architect	1	0	. 0	0	0
Landscape Laborer	5	40	20	0	0
Landscaper	10	20	40	0	10
Maintenance Man	4	25	50	0	0
Manager	60	3	41	15	28
Manager, Assistant	19	16	42	5	16
Meat Cutter	1	0	100	0	0
Meat Processor	1	Ö	100	Ō	Ō
Mechanic .	5	Ö	40	20	0
Mill Worker	ĺ	Ö	100	0	0
Nurseryman	7	29	57	0	0
Office Help	2	0	50	0	Ö
Owner-Operator	9 <b>6</b>	5	38	19	15
Packer	5	40	60	0	0
Picker	3	67	0	Ö	Ö
Plant Specialist	1	0	100	Ö	Ö
Planter	1	0	100	Ö	Ö
Potter	. 2	0	50	0	Ô
Propagator	7	0	. 0	14	29
Pruner	í	0	. 0	0	0
Riding Instructor	3	33	33	Ö	ő
Sales Clerk 129	27	33	45	11	8
Salesman	6	17	50	17	Ö
· .	U	11	50	-·	_
				1.	<b>.</b> U



TABLE 11 (Continued)

				RESPO			
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	(by per POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE	ADVANCED DEGREE	SPECIALIZED TRAINING PROGRAM
	REDI ONOLO						
	3	100	0	0	0	0	0
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
	2	100	0	0	0	0	0
	10	20	40	10	20	0	10
	4.	25	50	25	0	0	0
4	2	50	0	0	0	0	50
	1	0	Ò	0	100~	0	0
	2	0	50	50	0	0	0
	2	50	0	0	0	0	50
	3	67	33	0	. 0	0	0
	36	58	33	3	0	• . 0	6
	1	0	0	0	0	0	100
	5	40	20	0	0	0	40
	10	20	40	0	10	0	30
	4	25	50	0	0	0	<b>2</b> 5
	60	3	41	15	28	0	13
	19	16	42	5	16	0	21
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	ī	Ö	100	0	0	0	0
	5	Ö	40	20	0	0	40
Q	1	Ö	100	0	0	0	0
	7	29	57	0	. 0	0	14
	2	0	50	0	0	0	50
	96	5	38	19	15	1	22
	5	40	60	0	0	0	0
	3	67	0	0	0,	0	33
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0
	ī	Ö	100	0	0	0	0
	. 2	0	50	0	0	0	50
	7	Ö	0	14	29	0	57
	í	Ö	Ö	0	0	0	100
	3	33	33	Ō	0	0	33
•	27	33	45	11	8	0	3
•	6	17	50	17	0	0	17

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

TABLE 11 (Continued)

				RESPO (by per	
JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE
				, ,	33
Secretary	3	0	67	´ 0	
Serviceman	1	0	0	100	0
Sorter	2	50	0	0	0
Sprayer	1	100	0	0	0
Stable Hand	2	50	50	. 0	0
Storeman	1	100	0	, 0	0
Superintendent	2	0	100	0	0
Superintendent, Assistant	0	0	0	0	0
Superintendent Greenskeeper-Golf	2	0	50	0	0
Supervisor	7	14	57	0	0
Tack Keeper	1	100	: 0	0	0
Terrarium Maker	1	0	100	0	0
Trail Guide	1 ,,	0	0	0	0
Trainer	5	20	20	20	0
Transplanter	1 .	0	100	0	0
Treasurer-Secretary	ī	Ō	0	100	0
Tree Expert-Certified	0	0	0	0	n
Tree Man	0	0	100	0	0
Truck Driver	4	25	75	0	0
Vice-President	2	0	50	50	0
Worker	4	25	50	0	0
TOTAL - ALL JOB TITLES	<u>26<b>3</b>6</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>



TABLE 11 (Continued)

	RESPONSES (by per cent)								
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA	POST- SECONDARY, 1 OR 2 YEARS	COLLEGE DEGREE	ADVANCED DEGREE	SPECIALIZED TRAINING PROGRAM		
	•	0	67	0	33	0	0		
	3	0	0	100	0	Ö	0		
	7	50	0	0	Ô	Õ	50		
	2	100	0	0	Ö	ő	0		
	7 T	50	50	0	Ô	Õ	Ö		
	2	100	0	0	0	Ô	Ö		
	T	100	100	0	0	0	Ö		
	2			0	0	Ô	Ö		
stant	U	0	0	0	0	0	50		
<b>skeeper-</b> Golf	2	0	50	0	•	0	29		
	7	14	57	0	0	•			
	1	100	0	0	0	0	0		
	1	0	100	0	0	0	0		
	1	0	0	0	0	0	100		
	5	20	20	20	0	0	40		
•	1	0	100	0	0	0	. 0		
	1	0	0	100	0	0	0		
à	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	0	0	100	0	0	0	0		
	4	25	75	0	0	0	0		
	2	0	50	50	0	0	0		
	4	25	50	0	0	0	25		
<b>E</b> S	<u>2636</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>10</u>		

cent, a college degree; four per cent, an advanced degree; and ten per cent of the responses indicated that a specialized training program was required.

A total of 540 responses was obtained from combination farm and agricultural firm operators. Twenty-one per cent stated that the minimum formal educational level they would accept for beginning employees was less than high school; 42 per cent, a high school diploma; 11 per cent, a post-secondary one or two year program; nine per cent, a college degree; and 17 per cent, a specialized training program. It should be noted that the employers were asked to designate the minimum formal educational level they would accept for beginning employees in the given job. They were not asked to specify the minimum formal educational level they desired.

Employers were asked to indicate how difficult it is to hire qualified employees for given jobs. The degree of difficulty experienced by Connecticut agricultural employers in hiring qualified workers is presented in Table 12 by category and instructional area and in Table 13 by job title. Responses were categorized as very difficult, somewhat difficult and not difficult. A total of 8,797 employer responses was recorded. For all categories, 37 per cent of the employers' responses indicated that it was very difficult to hire qualified workers. For farmers, 43 per cent of the responses indicated that it was very difficult to hire qualified workers, while 32 per cent of the responses from agricultural firm operators and 41 per cent of the responses from combination farm and agricultural firm operators also indicated that they experienced much difficulty in hiring qualified workers.

Responses indicating that it was somewhat difficult to hire qualified workers totaled 30 per cent for farmers, 31 per cent for agricultural firm



TABLE 12

# DIFFICULTY EXPERIENCED BY CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS IN HIRING QUALIFIED WORKERS BY CATEGORY

•			RESPON
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	(by per co SOMEWI DIFFIC
FARM TOTAL	2049	43%	30
Agricultural Production	2049	43	30
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>4737</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>31</u>
Agricultural Production	1085	25	25
Ag. Supplies and Services	<b>3</b> 86	<b>2</b> 8	30
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	594	47	34
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	681	25	41
Ornamental Horticulture	1401	39	27
Renewable Natural Resources	312	16	45
Forestry	33	63 24	25
0ther	245	24	33
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>2011</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>28</u>
Agricultural Production	<b>392</b>	50	15
Ag. Supplies and Services	31	17	25
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	12	33	33
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	129	13	77
Ornamental Horticulture	1334	41	29
Renewable Natural Resources	59	64 60	1 <b>2</b> 0
Forestry	14 40	60 8	8
Other .	40	o	0
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	<u>8797</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>30</u>
			125



TABLE 12

DIFFICULTY EXPERIENCED BY CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS
IN HIRING QUALIFIED WORKERS
BY CATEGORY

	1011 CT		RESPONSES (by per cent)	
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DIFFICULT
	2049	43%	30%	27%
	2049	43	30	27
	4737	<u>32</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>37</u>
	1085	25	25	50
28	386	28	30	42
is Nics	594	47	34	19
essing)	681	25	41	34
	1401	39	27	34
ces	312	16	45	39
	33	63	25	12 41
	245	24	35	41
FIRM TOTAL	2011	<u>41</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>31</u>
	392	50	15	35
~~	31	17	25	58
es nics	12	33	33	33
essing)	129	13	77	10
266 146/	1334	41	29	30
rces	59	64	12	24
<del>- •</del> •	14	60	0	40 84
	40	8	8	. 64
	8 <u>797</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>33</u>

TABLE 13

# DIFFICULTY EXPERIENCED BY CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS IN HIRING QUALIFIED WORKERS BY JOB TITLE

			RESPONS (by per cer
JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESTINSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWH DIFFICU
FARM TOTAL	2049	43%	<u>30</u> %
Animal Handler	1	0	0
Baker	3	0	100
Barn Man	3	0	100
Bookkeeper	13	23	69
Buyer	5	100	0
Calfer	5	0	100
Candler-Poultry	7	0	29
Cutter	2	0	100
Dairyman	1	100	0
Data Processor	6	0	0
Deliveryman	8	0	50
Designer	2	100	0
Egg Collector	6	0	84
Equipment Operator	23	26	26
Farmhand	183	37	. 34
Field Worker	54	28	39
Flock Manager	2	0	100
Foreman	89	70	9
Foreman, Assistant	5	100	0
Forester	1	100	0
Forester, Assistant	1	0	100
Gardener	5	60	20
General Farm Labor	<b>7</b> 5	41	28
General Helper	48	33	46
Grader	5	60	0
Greenhouse Keeper	1	. 0	0 -
Greenhouse Manager	11	45	55
<del>-</del>		A 77 Way	



TABLE 13

# DIFFICULTY EXPERIENCED BY CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS IN HIRING QUALIFIED WORKERS BY JOB TITLE

			RESPONSES (by per cent)	
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DIFFICULT
	2049	43%	30%	27%
		0	0	100
	1	0	100	0
	3 3	0	100	0
	13	23	69	8
		100	0	0
		0	100	<b>Ö</b>
	5 5 7	0	29	71
		0	100	0
	2 1	100	.0	0
	6	0	0	100
		0	50	50
	8 2	100	0	0
•	6	0	84	16
		26	26	, 16 48
	23	26 37	34	29
	183	28	39	33
	54 2	0	100	0
		70	9	21
A STATE OF THE STA	89	100	0	0
Argentine	. 5 1	100	0	0
	1	0	100	0
	5	60	20	20
		41	28	
	73 48	33	46	31 ≥ ≈ 21
	5	60	0	40
	1	0	0	100
	11	45	55	0
0.3	7.7	107	33	v

ERIC 5

RESPONS (By per cen

JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWH DIFFICU
Groomer	7	14	86
Grounds Keeper	1	0	0
Herdsman	68	28	44
Herdsman, Assistant	11	73	27
Horseman	. 1	100	, 0
Inspector	3	0	100
Laboratory Technician	5	0	100
Laborer	3 <b>3</b> 1	<b>30</b> ·	31
Landscape Architect	2	100	0
Machine Operator	5	20	60
Maintenance Man	11	0	0
Manager	214	64	17
Manager, Assistant	60	30	53
Mechanic	28	32	36
Milker	49	55	16
Office Help	1	0	0
Owner-Operator	405	5 <b>9</b>	13
Packer	23	30	30
Pasteurizer	1	0	0
Picker	32	16	78
Propagator	5	100	0
Pruner	12	0	100
Riding Instructor	7	29	71
Sales Clerk	22	23	41
Salesman	21	24	24
Secretary	11	55	45
Serviceman	5	100	Ö
Sodder	2	0	100
Sorter	10	100	0
Sower	6	0	66
Stable Hand	6	17	83



RESPONSES (By per cent)

	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DIFFICULT
	7	14	86	0
	ĺ	0	0	100
	- 68	28	44	28
•	11	73	27	0
	1	100	0	0
	3	0	100	0
n	5	Ö	100	0
<b></b>	.5 .331	30	31	3 <b>9</b>
	2	100	0	0
	5	20	60	20
	11	0	0	100
	214	64	17	100 1 <b>9</b>
	60	30	53	17
	28	32	36	32
	49	55	16	29
	1	0	0	100
	405	<b>59</b>	13	28
	23	30	30	40
	1	0	0	100
	32	16	78	
	5	100	0	6
	12	0	100	0
	7	29	71	0
	22	23	41	0
	21	24	24	36 52
	11	55	45	0
	5	100	0	0
	2	. 0	100	^
	<b>10</b> .	100	0	79
	6	0	66	33
	6	17	83	0
				~

#### RESPONS (By per cent

JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHA DIFFICUL
Supervisor	41	88	12
Superintendent	11	45	55
Surveyor	5	0	0
Tractor Driver	6	0	0
Trainer	12	42	58
Truck Driver	22	0	86
Vice-President	10	<b>50</b>	5 <b>0</b>
Warehouse Man	10	0	100
Worker	2	100	0
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>4737</u>	<u>327</u>	<u>31%</u>
Accountant	2	0	100
Advertising Director	5	100	0
Animal Handler	14	29	0
Assembly Man	5	0	0
Barn Man	9	Ö	45
Boner	6	100	0
Bookkeeper	76	0	22
Broker	5	. 0	100
Bucket Operator	25	32	44
Buyer	36	42	14
Calfer	4	0	0
Carpenter	6	0	0
Cashier	10	0	0
Cheese Maker	5	0	100
Civil Engineer	5	0	0
Clerk	<b>7</b> 5	24	48
Climber	50	58	22
County Agent	10	0	100
140			



RESPONSES (By per cent)

	number of Employer Responses	VERY DI <b>FFICUL</b> T	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DI <b>FFIC</b> ULT
	41	88	12	0
•	11	45	55	0
	5	0	0	100
•	6	Ō	0	100
	12	42	58	0
	22	0	86	14
	10	50	50	0
	10	0	100	0
	2	100	0	Ŏ
<b>DTAL</b>	<u>4737</u>	32%	<u>317</u>	<u>37%</u>
	<del></del>	0	100	0
	2			
tor	5	100	0	0
	14	29	0	71
	5	0	0	100
	9	0	45	55
	6	100	0	0
	76	0	22	78
	5	. 0	100	0
	25	32	. 44	24
_	36	42	14	44
	4	<b>0</b> .	0	100
	6	0	0	100
	10	0	0	100
	5	0	100	0
	5	0	0	100
	75	24	48	28
	50	58	. 22	20
	10	0	100	0
140				



### RESPONS (By per cent

JOB TITLE	number of Employer Responses	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHA DI <b>FFICUL</b>
Crane Operator	9	33	67
Crew Chief-Tree	6	0	100
Cutter	3	100	0
Dairyman	10	50	0
Delivery	151	12	42
Dendrician	6	0	0
Designer	170	25	41
Draftsman	14	0	100
Equipment Operator	25	0	80
Farm Hand	1	0	0
Field Representative	46	24	26
Foreman	222	31	28
Foreman-Assistant	7	0	0
Freezerman	14	0	57
Gardener '	5	80	0
General Farm Labor	1	0	100
General Helper	53	19	0
Greenhouse; General	5	100	0
Greenhouse Keeper	11	27	9
Greenhouse Manager	17	59	0
Greenhouse Maintenance	22	0	36
Groomer	85	28	20
Groomer, Professional Dog	16	69	0
Grounds Keeper	<b>8</b>	0	0
Grounds Maintenance	8	0	100
Groundsman-Tree	13	0	0
Grower	1	0	100
Horseman	6	Ŏ	100
Horticulturist	6	100	0
Ice Cream Maker	21	0	81
Irrigator	5	100	0
Kennel Worker	137	11	36



RESPONSES (By per cent)

	number of ' employer responses	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DIFFICULT	r
	9	33	67	0	_
	6	0	100	0	•
	3	100	0	0	
	10	50	0	50	
	151	12	42	46	
•	6	0	0	100	
	170	25	41	34	
,	14	0	100	0	
r	25	0	80	20	
	1	0	0	100	
ive	46	24	26	50	
	222	31	28	41	
· •	7	0	0	100	
	14	G	57	43	
- }	5	80	0	20	
r	1	0	100	0	
:	53	19	0	81	
al	5	100	0	0	
	11	<b>2</b> 7	9	64	
r	17	<b>59</b>	0	41	
nance	22	0	36	64	
	85	28	20	52	
onal Dog	16	69	0	31	
	8	0	0	100	
ce	8 8	0	100	0	
	13	Ò	0	100	
		Ó	100	0	
	1 6 6	Ō	100	Ö	
	6	100	0	Ō	81
Ì	21	0	81	19	
	5	100	0	0	
	137	11	36	53	
142					
		A 1	19		



TABLE 13 (Continued)

#### RESPONS (By per cent

JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHA DIFFICUL
Laboratory Technician	40	13	45
Laborer	198	24	21
Landscape Architect	21	52	0
Landscape Laborer	30	37	0
Landscaper	40	47	40
Logger	6	0	100
Machine Operator	5	0	0
Maintenance	21	0	67
Manager	689	36	33
Manager-Assistant	123	45	23
Mechanic	198	42	37
Meat Cutter	33	24	27
Meat Processor	2	0	0
Milker	6	33	0
Mill Worker	6	0	0
Mower	10	0	0
Nurse, Veterinary	19	0	37
Nurseryman	11	45	0
Office Help	22	55	45
Owner-Operator	587	49	20
Packer	17	0	65
Park Manager	11	0	0
Parts Man	31	45	36
Pasteurizer	28	18	61
Plant Specialist	17	0	59
Pro-Golf	19	37	0
Pro-Golf Assistant	17	41	0
Fruner	6	0	Ö
Radiologist	7	Ŏ	Ō
Receiver	12	Ō	0
Receptionist	62	11	18
Repairman •	22	50	23
144			15



### R E S P O N S E S (By per cent)

	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DIFFICULT
ian	40	13	45	42
	198	24	21	55
t	21	52	0	48
	30	37	0	63
	40	47	40	13
	6	0	100	0
	5	0	0	100
	21	0	67	33
	689	36	33	31
	123	45	23	32
	198	42	37	21
	33	24	27	49
	2	0	0	100
	6	33	0	67
	6	0	0	100
	10	0	0	100
	19	0	37	63
	11	45	0	55
	22	55	45	0
	587	49	20	31
	17	0	65	35
	11	0	0	100
	31	45	36	19
	28	18	61	21
	17	0	59	41
·	19	37	0	63
	17	41	0	59
	6	0	0	100
	7	0	0	100 %
	12	0	0	100
	62	11	18	71
	22	50	23	27



JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY <b>DIFFICULT</b>	SOMEWHA DIFFICUL
Riding Instructor	14	50	14
Rig Man	6	0	0
Sales Clerk	22	28	19
Salesman	85	9	46
Sanitation Man	5	100	0
Sausage Maker	7	0	100
Sawyer	6	0	100
Secretary	102	19	25
Serviceman	18	39	61
Site Planner	. 5	0	100
Snow Plower	. 1	0	0
Sprayer	7	71	29
Stable Hand	8	.0	38
Stock Boy	21	. 5	62
Superintendent	20	0	75
Superintendent-Assistant	27	0	100
Superintendent-Greenskeeper, Golf	37	30	27
Supervisors	56	27	25
Surgical Assistant	14	0	0
Taxidermist	5	100	0
Technician	27	0	44
Trail Guide	7	0	86
Trainer	30	13	30
Treasurer-Secretary	15	33	40
Tree Man	14	43	57
Tree Surgeon	3	67	33
Trimmer	6	0	0
Truck Driver	48	4	46
Veterinarian	166	45	18
Veterinary Assistant	96	20	41



	number of Employer Responses	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DI <b>FFICU</b> LT
<del></del>	14	50	14	36
	6	0	0	100
	22	28	19	53
	85	9	46	45
	5	100	0	0
	7	0	100	0
	6	0	100	0
	102	19	25 ·	56
	18	39	61	· 0
	5	0	100	0
	1	Ö	0	100
	7	<b>7</b> 1	29	0
	8	Õ	38	62
	21	5	62	<b>3</b> 3
	20	ő	75	25
Istant	27	ŏ	100	0
enskeeper, Golf	37	30	27	43
makeeper, Goir	<b>5</b> 6	27	25	48
	14	0	0	100
	5	100	Ŏ	. 0
	27	0	44	56
	7	0	<b>8</b> 6	14
	30	13	30	57
_	15	33	40	27
7	14	43	57	0
	3	43 67	37 33	0
			0	100
	6 <b>48</b>	0 4	46	50 ~
		4 45	18	37 a
nt	166 <b>9</b> 6	45 20	41	39



#### RESPONSI (By per cent)

JOB TITLE	number of employer responses	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICUL
Veterinary Hospital Aide	12	0	0
Veterinary Technician	17	29	42
Vice-President	16	25	69
Ward Attendent	9	0	0
Warehouse	15	. 33	13
Welder	2	100	0
Worker	21	0	76
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u> 2011</u>	41%	28%
Agronomist	5	0	100
Animal Handler	i	Ö	0
Barn Man	9	Ö	44
Bookkeeper	ý	Ö	11
Buyer	4	Ŏ	0
Cashier	5	Ö	ŏ
Clerk	31	13	22
Climber	7	86	14
Comptroller	5	100	0
Crew Chief-Tree	5	100	ŏ
Dairyman	6	100	ŏ
Deliveryman	54	9	20
Designer	136	45	36
Egg Collector	3	. 0	100
Equipment Operator	11	. 0	73
Farmhand	25	36	24
Field Worker	21	33	48
Foreman	146	5 <b>0</b>	32
Foreman-Assistant	10	0	50
Forester-Assistant	5	Ö	0
Forestry Tochnicien	5	0	Ŏ
rolestly rechnician 1238		A	_



RESPONSES (By per cent)

·	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DIFFICULT	
ıl Aide	12	0	0	100	_
ian	17	29	42	29	
	16	25	69	6	
	9	0	0	100	
	15	33	13	54	
	2	100	0	0	
	21	0	76	24	
AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>2011</u>	41%	28%	<u>31%</u>	
	5	0	100	0	
	1	Ö	0	100	
	9	Ö	44	56	
	9 9 4	0	11	8 <b>9</b>	
	Ž.	Ŏ	0	100	
	5	Ŏ	Ŏ	100	
	31	13	22	65	
	7	8 <b>6</b>	14	Ő	
	5	100	0	0	
	5	100	Ö	Ō	
	6	100	Ō	0.	
	54	9	20	71	
	136	45	36	19	
	3	. 0	100	0	
	11	Ö	73	37	
	25	36	24	40	
	21	33	48	19	
	146	50	32		84
	10	0	50	50	+>
		0	0	100	
n 4.10	5 5	Ō	0	100	
<b>448</b>		4.7		•	



RESPONS (By per cent

JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESOURCES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHA DIFFICUL
Gardener	3	0	100
General Farm Labor	16	75	25
General Helper	38	37	0
Grader	2	Ö	100
Greenhouse General	37	Ö	59
Greenhouse Keeper	25	48	20
Greenhouse Manager	25	56	44
Greenhouse Manager-Assistant	14	43	0
Greens Maintenance	5	100	Ŏ
Groomer	17	29	42
Grounds Keeper	4	0	0
Groundsman-Tree	11	0	55
Grower	47	47	19
Herdsman	7	14	14
Horseman	8	50	0
Horticulturist	6	0	100
	7	0	43
Inspector	10	50	43
Irrigator	19	0	37
Kennel Worker	143	21	45
Laborer		100	0
Landscape Architect	5 25	40	48
Landscape Laborer	23 33	<b>40</b> 52	18
Landscaper			
Maintenance	7	0	0
Manager	243	61	28
Manager-Assistant	73	47	23
Meat Cutter	1	0	100
Meat Processor	6	0	0
Mechanic	27	48	0
Mill Worker	3	0	0
Nurseryman	<b>2</b> 9	0	31



RESPONSES
(By per cent)

	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESOURCES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DIFFICULT	
	3	0	100	0	
r	16	<b>7</b> 5	25	0	
	38	37	0	63	
	2	0	100	0	
1 .	37	0	59	41	
	25	48	20	32	
r	25	56	44	0	
r-Assistant	14	43	0	<b>57</b>	
e	5	100	0	0	
	17	29	42	29	
	_ <b>,</b>	0	0	100	
	11	Ō	55	45	
	47	47	19	34	
	7	14	14	72	
	8	50	0	50	
	6	0	100	0	
	7	0	43	57	
	10	50	0	50	
	19	0	37	63	
	143	21	45	34	
ct	5	100	0	0	
	<b>2</b> 5	40	48	12	
	33	5 <b>2</b>	18	30	
	7	0	0	100	
	243	61	28	11	
	73	47	23	30	
	1	0	100	0	
	6	0	0	100	
	27	48	0	5 <b>2</b>	85
	3	0	0	100	٠.
*	29	0	31	69	
	29	v	31	UJ	

150

RESPONS (By per cent

JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHA DIFFICUL
Office Help	<del></del>	0	0
Office Help	231	69	18
Owner-Operator Packer	27	41	22
Packer	11	55	0
Picker	5	. O	0
Plant Specialist Planter	ر 6	83	0
	5	63 0	0
Potter	30	100	0
Propagator	50 5	100	0
Pruner	2	0	50 50
Riding Instructor	95	8	50 47
Sales Clerk	31	16	35
Salesman	9	55	35 45
Secretary	1	100	45 0
Serviceman	10	0	0
Sorter	5	0	0
Sprayer	5 5	. 0	100
Stable Hand	5 6	100	0 700
Storeman	10	100	50
Superintendent	10	100	30 0
Superintendent-Greenskeeper Golf	10 16	38	62
Supervisor		<del>-</del>	
Tack Keeper	4	0	0
Terrarium Maker	7	0	100
Trail Guide	1	0	0
Trainer	20	100	0
Transplanter	3	0	0
Treasurer-Secretary	5	100	0
Tree Man	5	0	0
Truck Driver	18	0	39
Vice-President	1	100	. 0
Worker	21	24	· c
153			450
TAL-ALL JOB TITLES	<u>8797</u>	37%	153 <sub>30%</sub>



TOTAL-ALL JOB TITLES

RESPONSES (By per cent)

	NUMBER OF EMPLOYER RESPONSES	VERY DIFFICULT	SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	NOT DIFFICULT
	7	0	0	100
	231	69	18	69
	27	41	<b>2</b> 2	100
	11	<b>5</b> 5	0	13
·	5	0	0	37
	6	83	0	45
	5	0	0	100
	30	100	0	17
	5	100	0	100
•	2	0	50	0
	95	8	47	C
	31	16	35	50
	. 9	55	45	45
	1	100	0	49
	10	0	0	0
	5	0	0	0
	5	0	100	100
	6	100	0	100
••	10	0	50	0
enskeeper Golf	10	100	0	0
_	16	38	62	50
	4	0	0	0
	7	. 0	100	0
	1	0	0	100
	20	100	0	0
	3 5 5	0	0	100
y	5	100	0	0
		0	0	100 61 °C
	18	0	39	V-
	1	100	0	. 0
•	21	24	, <b>n</b>	76
152			4 20	
0	8797	<u>37%</u>	153 <sub>30%</sub>	33%

operators, 28 per cent for combination farm and agricultural firm operators, and 30 per cent for employers in all categories.

For all categories, 33 per cent of the responses indicated that no difficulty in hiring qualified workers was experienced by Connecticut agricultural employers. According to the responses of the farmers, no difficulty was experienced in 27 per cent of the cases; of the agricultural firm operators, 37 per cent; and of the combination farm and agricultural firm operators, 31 per cent. Data regarding the difficulty experienced in hiring qualified workers is presented by job title in Table 13.

Data in Table 14 relate to the question regarding ways in which Connecticut agricultural employees obtain additional training. The data show that for all categories, 96 per cent of the employers utilize onthe-job training, 28 per cent utilize special schools or programs, eight per cent depend on adult education programs in local schools, and eight per cent use other methods of training. One per cent of the employers stated that no additional training methods were used.

Employers in all categories utilized on-the-job training extensively. Special schools or programs were used by 36 per cent of the agricultural firm operators, by 24 per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm operators, and by 18 per cent of the farmers surveyed. Sixteen per cent of the farmers reported that adult education in local schools was a method by which employees obtain additional training.

In addition to asking how employees obtain additional training, the interviewers asked the employers what new skills were needed by the employees. Following is a list of skills employers feel that their employees need:



TABLE 14

WAYS IN WHICH CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYEES OBTAIN ADDITIONAL TRAINING

		TYPE	OF TRAIN (by <b>p</b> er	
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	ON-THE-JOB	SPECIAL SCHOOLS OR PROGRAMS	ADULT CATION SCHOO
FARM TOTAL	315	<u>97</u> %	18%	16
Agricultural Production	315	97	18	16
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>506</u>	96	<u>36</u>	<u>4</u>
Agricultural Production	126	96	31	2
Ag. Supplies and Services	43	100	44	12
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	63	8 <b>9</b>	65	2
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	45	100	18	4
Ornamental Horticulture	169	96	34	5
Renewable Natural Resources	25	100	40	4
Forestry	2	100	50	0
Other	33	94	15	6
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>178</u>	<u>96</u>	24	<u>6</u>
Agricultural Production	38	95	10	5
Ag. Supplies and Services	3	100	3	3
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	1	100	100	0
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	8	88	12	12
Ornamental Horticulture	116	<b>9</b> 6	25	6
Renewable Natural Resources	5	100	20	0
Forestry	3	100	. 0	0
Other	4		0	0
TOTAL - ALL CATEGORIES	<u>999</u>	<u>96</u>	28	8



TABLE 14

IN WHICH CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYEES OBTAIN ADDITIONAL TRAINING

		TYPE O	F TRAIN		AIN	E D
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	ON-THE-JOB	SPECIAL SCHOOLS OR PROGRAMS	ADULT EDU- CATION LOCAL SCHOOLS	NONE	OTHER
	315	<u>97</u> %	18%	16%	1%	<u>7</u> %
tion	315	97	18	16	1	7
L	<u>506</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>36</u>	4	<u>1</u>	<u>9</u>
tion crvices echanics Processing)	126 43 63 45	96 100 89 100	31 44 65 18	2 12 2 4	0 0 3 0	10 12 6 4
ture lesources	169 25 2 33	96 100 100 94	34 40 50 15	5 4 0 6	0 0 0 3 •	9 8 0 12
G. FIRM TOTAL	178	<u>96</u>	24	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>10</u>
tion rvices lechanics Processing) ture lesources	38 3 1 8 116 5 3 4	95 100 100 88 96 100 100	10 3 100 12 25 20 0	5 3 0 12 6 0 0	5 0 0 0 1 0 0	11 0 0 12 12 20 0
	999	<u>96</u>	28	. <u>8</u>	<u>1</u>	8

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

Academics	First Aid
Ba <b>si</b> c sk <b>ill</b> s	Floral Arranging
Mathematics	Floral Design
Reading	Fruit and Vegetable Knowledge
Writing	Garden Design
Accounting	Garden <b>i</b> ng
Advertising	Golf Course Knowledge
Animal Behavior	Grading Apples
Animal Care	Greenhouse Management
Animal Health	Greenhouse Wo
Animal Training	Grinding
Artificial Insemination	Grooming
Awareness of New Developments	Dogs
Design trends	Horses
Legislation	Harvesting
Products	Hematology
Regulations	Herd Management
Blueprint Reading	Horse Riding
Bookkeeping	Inventory Control
Breeding	Irrigation
Genetics	Laboratory Techniques
Heat Detection	Labor Management
	Land Use
Mating	Landscape Maintenance
Sire Evaluation	Lawn Knowledge and Care
Business Management	Licenses
Buying and Selling Skills	Ground Application
Calf-Raising	Herbicides
Carpentry	Pes <b>ti</b> cides
Chemical Use and Regulations	
Clerking	Spraying Machine Maintenance, Operation
Climbing	and Repair
Collection	Marketing
Communications	<del>-</del>
Construction	Masonry Meat Knowledge
Crop Management	<u>~</u>
Dairy Husbandry	Cutting
Dairy Management	Processing
Dendiology	Sanitation
Display and Labelling	Mechanics
Economics-Financial Management	Meristem Work
Electrical Work	Milking
Emission Control	Ornamental Horticulture
Generators	Packing
Repair	Paint, Painting
Transistorized Circuits	Parts Inventory
Engineering for Draftsmen	Pest Control
Equipment Maintenance	Plant, Shrub, and Tree Knowledge
Farm Knowledge, General	Balling
Farm Management	Bedding
Feeds	Care
Calorimetry	Cultivation
Nutrition	Diseases,
Principles	Fertilizing
Field Crop Production	Graf <b>tin</b> g



Plant, Shrub, and Tree Knowledge Continued Nomenclature Potting Propagation Trimming Plumbing Pool Maintenance Pottery Project Management Pruning (including Ornamentals) Psychology Public Relations Radiology Recreation Development Regulations Sewage Spraying Retailing Safety

Salesmanship Seeding Site Development Sod Care Soil Chemistry and Testing Spraying Stable Management Stand Management Supervisory Skills/Management Tanning Taxes Tool Use Tractor Driving and Maintenance Troubleshooting Turf Care and Maintenance Veterinary Skills Water Purification Weed Control Welding Wildlife Ecology

The employers were asked whether or not the business had hired vocational agriculture graduates in the last ten years. Data in Table 15 show that for all "yes" categories 32 per cent responded while 61 per cent responded "no". Seven per cent were uncertain. The farmers were most likely to have hired vocational agriculture graduates, with 43 per cent of the farmers surveyed stating that they had hired vocational agriculture graduates in the past ten years. Thirty-nine per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm operators and 23 per cent of the agricultural firm operators reported that they had hired vocational agriculture graduates in the past ten years.

Data in Table 16 related to the question regarding whether or not the Connecticut agricultural employers were familiar with the vocational agriculture program. Farmers were most familiar with the program with 79 per cent responding "yes". Sixty-five per cent of the combination farm/firm operators and 48 per cent of the agricultural firm operators reported that they were familiar with the program. For all categories,



TABLE 15

CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL BUSINESSES WHICH HAD HIRED VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE GRADUATES IN THI

			n n a n a n a
	NUMBER OF		RESPONS; (by per cent
	EMPLOYERS		(2) } = 2
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	RESPONDING	YES	NO
FARM TOTAL	320	43%	51%
Agricultural Production	320	43	51
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>511</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>69</u>
Agricultural Production	128	16	74
Ag. Supplies and Services	44	41	55
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	61	36	62
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	45	9	73
Ornamental Horticulture	170	24	71
Renewable Natural Resources	25	24	56
Forestry	2	100	0
Other	36	14	75
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>179</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>56</u>
Agricultural Production	40	40	<b>58</b> .
Ag. Supplies and Services	3	67	33
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	1	0	100
Ag. Products (Food Processing	8	25	63
Ornamental Horticulture	115	37	57
Renewable Natural Resources	5	60	40
Forestry	3	33	33
Other	4	50	50
TOTAL - ALL CATEGORIES	<u>1010</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>61</u>



TRAL BUSINESSES WHICH HAD HIRED VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE GRADUATES IN THE LAST TEN YEARS

TABLE 15

er - '			<u> </u>	
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS		RESPONSES (by per cent)	
	RESPONDING	YES	NO	UNCERTAIN
	320	43%	51%	<u>6</u> %
tion	320	43	51	6
L	<u>511</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>8</u>
tion	128	16	74	10
rvices	44	41	55	4
echanics	61	36	62	2
Processing)	45	9	73	18
ture	170	24	71	5
esources	25	24	56	20
	2	100	0	• 0
	36	14	75	11
G. FIRM TOTAL	<u>179</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>5</u>
tion	40	40	58	2
rvices	3	67	33	0
echanics	1	0	100	0
Processing	8	25	63	12
ture	115	37	57	6
lesources	5 3	60	40	0
	3	33	33	33
	4	50	50	0
	<u>1010</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>7</u>



TABLE 16

CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS FAMILIAR WITH THE VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE PRO

FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	R E S : (by )
FARM TOTAL	320	<u>79</u> %
Agricultural Production	320	79
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>512</u>	48
Agricultural Production	128	49
Ag. Supplies and Services	44	48
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	63	60
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	45	49
Ornamental Horticulture	170	51
Renewable Natural Resources	25	36
Forestry Other	2 35	100 20
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>179</u>	<u>65</u>
Agricultural Production	40	62
Ag. Supplies and Services	3	67
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	1	100
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	8	88
Ornamental Horticulture	115	63
Renewable Natural Resources	5	60
Forestry	3	100
Other	4	50
TOTAL - ALL CATEGORIES	<u>1011</u> .	<u>61</u>



TABLE 16

CUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS FAMILIAR WITH THE VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE PROGRAM

	NUMBER OF	R E S P (	
	EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	YES	NO
	320	79%	<u>21</u> %
ction	320	79	21
AL	<u>512</u>	48	<u>52</u>
ction	128	49	51
ervices	44	48	52
lechanics	63	60	40
Processing)	45	49	51
Lture	170	51	49
Resources	25	36	64
	2	100	0
	35	20	80
AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>179</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>35</u>
<b>cti</b> on	40	62	38
rvices	3	67	33
<b>l</b> echanics	1	100	0
Processing)	8	88	12
lture	115	63	37
Resources	5	60	40
	3 4	100	0 .
	4	50	50
	1011	<u>61</u>	<u>39</u>
1			

61 per cent of the employers responding indicated that they were familiar with the vocational agriculture program.

Employers were also asked whether or not they were familiar with the Future Farmers of America. A higher percentage of employers were familiar with the FFA than were familiar with the vocational agriculture program. Data in Table 17 show that for all categories, 67 per cent of the employers were familiar with the FFA organization. Eighty-three per cent of the farmers, 72 per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm operators and 55 per cent of the agricultural firm operators stated that they were familiar with the FFA.

As a source of community resource data, farmers and agricultural firm operators were asked whether or not, if requested by vocational agriculture teachers, they would provide certain services to enrich the program of vocational agriculture. Employers responded "yes", "no", or "maybe" to five specific questions. Results of the employers responses by category and by instructional area are presented in Tables 18 through 22.

Data in Table 18 show the per cent of Connecticut farm and agricultural firm employers who were willing to permit individual students to observe business operations. For all categories, based upon the responses of 999 employers, 81 per cent of the employers responded "yes", 11 per cent responded "no", and eight per cent responded "maybe". A total of 92 per cent of the farmers, 74 per cent of the agricultural firm operators, and 85 per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm operators indicated that they would permit individual students to observe business operations.

The employers were then asked whether or not they would permit voca-



TABLE 17

CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS FAMILIAR WITH THE F.F.A.

ADV/DIDV. CAMPICODY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS	RESE (by p
ARM/FIRM CATEGORY	RESPONDING	YES
FARM TOTAL	320	<u>83</u> %
Agricultural Production	320	83
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	512	<u>55</u>
Agricultural Production	128	61
Ag. Supplies and Services	44	66
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	63	62
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	45	. 49
Ornamental Horticulture	170	49
Renewable Natural Resources	25	56
Forestry	2	100
Other	35	37
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>180</u>	<u>72</u>
Agricultural Production	40	72
Ag. Supplies and Services	3	67
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	1	100
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	8	88
Ornamental Horticulture	116	68
Renewable Natural Resources	5	80
Forestry	3,	100
O <b>th</b> er	4	100
TOTAL - ALL CATEGORIES	1012	<u>67</u>



TABLE 17

CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS FAMILIAR WITH THE F.F.A.

	NUMBER OF	RES <b>P</b> (by per	
	EMPLOYERS RES <b>P</b> ONDING	YES	NO
	320	83%	17%
uction	320	83	17
TAL.	<u>512</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>45</u>
uction	128	61	<b>3</b> 9
Services	<b>4</b> 4	66	34
Mechanics	63	62	<b>3</b> 8
d Processing)	<b>4</b> 5	<b>49</b>	51
ulture	170	49	51
Resources	- 25	56	44
	2	100	0
	<b>3</b> 5	37	63
AG. FIRM TOTAL	<u>180</u>	<u>72</u>	28
uction	40	72	28
Services	3	67	33
Mechanics	1	100	0
d Processing)	8	88	12
ulture	116	68	<b>3</b> 2
Resources	5	80	20
	3	100	0
	4	100	0
ES	1012	<u>67</u>	<u>33</u>



TABLE 18

#### CONNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO PERMIT INDIVIDUAL STUDENTS TO OBSERVE BUSINESS OPERATIONS BY CATEGORY

FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	YES	RESPONS (by per cent
	RESI ONDING		
FARM TOTAL	<u>321</u>	<u>92%</u>	<u>4</u> %
Agricultural Production	321	92	4
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>500</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>15</u>
Agricultural Production	125	81	11
Ag. Supplies and Services	42	<b>8</b> 6	9
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	62	79	10
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	<b>4</b> 4	61	25
Ornamental Horticulture	169	67	19
Renewable Natural Resources	25	<b>8</b> 0	4
Forestry	2	100	0
Other	31	68	26
COMBINATION FARM/FIRM TOTAL	<u>178</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>10</u>
Agricultural Production	38	92	3
Ag. Supplies and Services	6	67	33
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	2	100	0
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	11	82	18
Ornamental Horticulture	107	82	13.
Renewable Natural Resources	7	<b>8</b> 6	14
Forestry	3	100	0
Other	4	100	0
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	999	<u>81</u>	<u>11</u>



TABLE 18

### NNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO PERMIT INDIVIDUAL STUDENTS TO OBSERVE BUSINESS OPERATIONS BY CATEGORY

	NUMBER OF		RESPONSES (by per cent)	S	
	EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	YES	NO	MAYBE	
	<u>321</u>	<u>92</u> %	<u>4</u> %	<u>4</u> %	
on	321	92	4	4	
ı	<u>500</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>11</u>	
on	125	81	11	8 5	
ices	42	86	9	5	
hanics	62	79	10	11	
ocessing)	44	61	25	14	
ire	169	67	19	14	
ources	25	80	4	16	
	2	100	0	0	
	31	68	26	6	
OTAL	<u>178</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>5</u>	
lon	38	92	3	5	
ices	6	67	33	0	
hanics	2	100	0	0	
rocessing)	11	82	18	0	
ire	107	82	13.	7	
ources	7 .	86	14	0	
	3	100	0	0	
- -	4	100	0	0	95
	999	<u>81</u>	11	<u>8</u>	



TABLE 19

#### CONNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO PERMIT CLASSES TO OBSERVE BUSINESS OPERATIONS BY CATEGORY

·	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS		RESPONS: (by per cent
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	RESPONDING	YES	NO
FARM TOTAL	322	<u>87</u> %	<u>8</u> %
Agricultural Production	322	87	8
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	498	<u>56</u>	<u>32</u>
Agricultural Production	124	62	29
Ag. Supplies and Services	42	60	28
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	60	67	25
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	44	48	41
Ornamental Horticulture	170	49	34
Renewable Natural Resources	25	72	12
Forestry	2	100	0
Other	31	45	48
COMBINATION FARM/FIRM TOTAL	<u>179</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>12</u>
Agricultural Production	38	87	8
Ag. Supplies and Services	6	67	33
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	2	100	0
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	11 ·	91	9
Ornamental Horticulture	106	87	6
Renewable Natural Resources	7	86	14
Forestry	3	100	0
Other .	4	75	0
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	<u>999</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>21</u>



TABLE 19
ONNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO

PERMIT CLASSES TO OBSERVE BUSINESS OPERATIONS
BY CATEGORY

	NUMBER OF	RESPONSES (by per cent)		
<u> </u>	EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	YES	NO	MAYBE
-	322	<u>87</u> %	<u>8</u> %	<u>5</u> %
ion	322	87	8	5
L	498	<u>56</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>12</u>
ion	124	62	29	9
vices	42	60	28	12
chanics	60	67	<b>2</b> 5	8
rocessing)	44	48	41	11
u <b>r</b> e	170	49	34	17
sources	<b>2</b> 5	72	12	16
	2	100	0	0
	31	45	48	7
TOTAL	<u>179</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>7</u>
ion	38	87	8	5
vices	6	67	33	0
chanics	2	100	0	0
rocessing)	11	91	9	0
ure	106	87	6	7
sources	7	86	14	0 ·
	3	100	0	0
	4	75	0	<b>2</b> 5
	999	<u>70</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>9</u>



ŏ

TABLE 20

# CONNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO PROVIDE SUPERVISED OCCUPATIONAL EXPERIENCE FOR VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE STUDENTS THROUGH EMPLOYMENT BY CATEGORY

FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	YES	RESPONS (by per cent)
FARM TOTAL	318	80%	
Agricultural Production	318	80	9
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>491</u>	49	<u>28</u>
Agricultural Production	124	51	28
Ag. Supplies and Services	41	<b>54</b> .	27
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	61	66	11
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	42	48	40
Ornamental Horticulture	165	42	2 <b>9</b>
Renewable Natural Resources	25	68	16
Forestry	<b>2</b>	50	0
Other	31	26	48
COMBINATION FARM/FIRM TOTAL	<u>175</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>14</u>
Agricultural Production	· 38	76	16
Ag. Supplies and Services	6	33	67
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	2	0	100
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	10	80	10
Ornamental Horticulture	105	72	8
Renewable Natural Resources	7	57	43
Forestry	3	67	33
Other	4	75	25
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	984	<u>63</u>	<u>19</u>



TABLE 20

## ONNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO PROVIDE SUPERVISED OCCUPATIONAL EXPERIENCE FOR VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE STUDENTS THROUGH EMPLOYMENT BY CATEGORY

	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	YES	RESPONSES (by per cent)	S MAYBE	
				11%	_
	<u>318</u>	<u>80</u> %	<u>9</u> %		
ion	318	80	9	11	
L	<u>491</u>	<u>49</u>	28	<u>23</u>	
ion	124	51	28	21	
vices	41	54 .	27	19	
chanics	61	66	· 11	23	
rocessing)	42	48	40	12	
ure	165	42	29	28	
sources	25	68	16	16	
	2	50	0	50	
: :	31	26	48	26	
TOTAL	<u>175</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	
ion	38	76	16	. 8	
vices	6	3 <b>3</b>	67	0	
chanics	2	0	100	0	
rocessing)	10	80	10	10	
ure	105	72	8	20	
sources	7	57	43	0	
	3	67	33	0	
	4	75	25	0	97
	984	<u>63</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>18</u>	
4 177	<u> </u>				



TABLE 21

#### CONNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO ALLOW EMPLOYEES TO PROVIDE SHORT-TERM INSTRUCTION AT THE SCHOOL BY CATEGORY

	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS	YES	RESPONS: (by per cent
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	RESPONDING		
FARM TOTAL	317	<u>52</u> %	31%
Agricultural Production	317	52	31
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>486</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>32</u>
Agricultural Production	125	48	27
Ag. Supplies and Services	41	66	15
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	59	58	32
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	39	49	31
Crnamental Horticulture	164	44	39
Renewable Natural Resources	25	64	24
Forestry	3	100	0
Other	30	33	54
COMBINATION FARM/FIRM TOTAL	<u>165</u>	<u>57</u>	28
Agricultural Production	37	62	22
Ag. Supplies and Services	3	33	67
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	1	0	100
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	7	72	28
Ornamental Horticulture	105	54	28
Renewable Natural Resources	5	60	40
Forestry	3	100	0
Other	4	50	50
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	968	<u>52</u>	<u>31</u>



TABLE 21

NNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO ALLOW EMPLOYEES TO PROVIDE SHORT-TERM INSTRUCTION AT THE SCHOOL BY CATEGORY

	NUMBER OF	RESPONSES (by per cent)			
	EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	YES	NO NO	MAYBE	
	317	52%	31%	<u>17</u> %	
lon	317	52	31	17	
•	<u>486</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>18</u>	
lon	125 .	48	27	<b>2</b> 5	
rices	41	66	. 15	19	
chanics	59	58	32	10	
cocessing)	39	49	31	20	
ire	164	44	39	17	
ources	25	64	24 .	12	
	3	100	0	0	
	30	33	54	13	
rotal	<u> 165</u>	<u>57</u>	28	<u>15</u>	
Lon	37	62	22	16	
vices		33	67	0	
chanics	3 1 7	0	100	0	
rocessing)	7	72	28	0	
ire	105	54	28	18	
Bources	5	60	40	0	
	3	100	0	0	
	4	50	- 50	<b>0</b>	
	<u>968</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>17</u>	

ŏ

TABLE 22

### CONNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO ALLOW EMPLOYEES TO PROVIDE SHORT-TERM INSTRUCTION AT THE BUSINESS BY CATEGORY

·			RESPONSI	
FARM/FIRM CATEGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	YES	(by per cent)	
FARM TOTAL	315	<u>59</u> %	22%	
Agricultural Production	315	59	22	
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>477</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>39</u>	
Agricultural Production	118	41	41	
Ag. Supplies and Services	40	65	<b>2</b> 3	
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	59	. 49	·3 <b>2</b>	
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	39	36	54	
Ornamental Horticulture	164	38	42	
Renewable Natural Resources	24	66	17	
Forestry	2	100	0	
Other	31	<b>2</b> 9	55	
COMBINATION FARM/FIRM TOTAL	<u> 161</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>16</u>	
Agricultural Production	36	78	3	
Ag. Supplies and Services	3	33	67	
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	1	100	0	
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	6	67	33	
Ornamental Horticulture	103	51	18	
Renewable Natural Resources	5	80	20	
Forestry	3	100	0	
Other	4	50	50	
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	<u>953</u>	<u>53</u>	29	
			475	



TABLE 22

# NNECTICUT FARM AND AGRICULTURAL FIRM EMPLOYERS WHO WERE WILLING TO ALLOW EMPLOYEES TO PROVIDE SHORT-TERM INSTRUCTION AT THE BUSINESS BY CATEGORY

	NUMBER OF	RESPONSES (by per cent)		
	EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	YES	NO	MAYBE
	315	<u>59</u> %	22%	19%
on	315	59	22	19
	<u>477</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>18</u>
on	118	41	41	18
ices	40	65	23	12
ha <b>nic</b> s		49	<b>32</b>	19
ocessing)	39	36	54	10
re	164	38	42	<b>2</b> 0
ources	24	66	17	17
	2	100	0	0
	31	29	55	16
OTAL	<u>161</u>	<u>66</u>	16	<u>18</u>
on	36	78	3	19
ices	3	33	67	0
hanics	ī	100	0	0
ocessing)	6	67	33	0
re	103	51	18	21
ources	5	80	<b>2</b> 0	0
	3 4	100	0	0
	4	50	50	0
	<u>953</u>	<u>53</u>	29 4 125	18

the data presented in Table 19, for all categories, 70 per cent said "yes", 21 per cent said "no", and nine per cent responded "maybe". Farmers were most likely to permit class observations with 87 per cent responding affirmatively. A total of 81 per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm operators were willing to let classes observe if requested by a vocational agriculture teacher, while 56 per cent of the agricultural firm operators surveyed would allow classes to observe.

Data in Table 20 show the per cent of Connecticut farm and agricultural firm operators who were willing to provide supervised occupational experience for vocational agriculture students through employment. The data show that the farmers were most able to comply with this request.

Affirmative responses were obtained from 80 per cent of the farmers, / per cent of the agricultural firm operators, and 72 per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm employers. For all categories, 63 per cent responded "yes", 19 per cent said "no", and 18 per cent responded "maybe".

The employers were asked whether or not they would allow their employees to provide short-term instruction at the school. Data in Table 21 show that 968 employers responded to this question. For all categories, 52 per cent responded "yes", 31 per cent responded "no", and 17 per cent said "maybe". Fifty-two per cent of the farmers, 50 per cent of the agricultural firm operators, and 57 per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm employers responded "yes" to the question.

The employers were also asked whether or not they would allow their employees to provide short-term instruction at the place of business.

Sixty-six per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm operators were willing to provide this service as were 59 per cent of the farmers and 43 per cent of the agricultural firm operators. For all categories,



53 per cent indicated that they would be willing to allow their employees to provide short-term instruction at the business, 29 per cent said "no", and 18 per cent responded "maybe".

Employers expressed some reluctance about allowing employees to provide the services discussed in Tables 21 and 22. Although not asked, it is hypothesized that the employers would have been more willing to provide short-term instruction either at the school or in the business than they would to have their employees provide it.

In addition to the five specific suggestions regarding what a farmer or agricultural firm operator would be willing to do for the vocational agriculture program, presented in Tables 18 through 22, the interviewers asked the agribusinessmen to specify other things they could do to help the vocational agriculture program. Following is a list of materials, activities and services the farmers and agricultural firm operators would be willing to provide to enrich the vocational agriculture program:

Help teach accounting Attend or assist with adult classes Help teach agricultural advertising Serve on an advisory committee Provide animals for showmanship Provide instruction on apple production Provide bulletins and literature Sell flowers and vegetables wholesale for instructional purposes Provide career education for career day Provide career materials Serve as a career opportunities consultant Assist with Christmas production Teach the importance of communications Serve on a consulting committee Contact agricultural specialists for adult instruction Have class observe the cornfield program Assist with curriculum improvement Provide demonstrations Demonstrate how to care for a putting green Provide a discount for fruit sold by the FFA Discuss and explain the business Let students observe the dog training program Exchange ideas



Exhibit new products Loan equipment Explain operation of equipment Provide farm management assistance Sponsor a farm tour for elementary students Assist with field trips Provide films Attend the FFA banquet Provide greenhouse tours Serve as a guest lecturer Harrow the school gardens Assist with the horse judging contest Provide a horse course at the stable Provide horse instruction and help develop riding skills Help sponsor a horse show Let student observe a hydroponic grass growing operation Offer individual or group help concerning dairy Provide information on agricultural subject Provide instruction at business Provide laboratory services Milk Silage Soil Give lectures or talks Loan or provide materials Allow observation of a small dairy operation Provide off-season instruction Cooperate with organized training program Provide plant materials Provide products for training Assist with projects Help teach publicity, public relations, and promotion Rent equipment Let students observe research and plant breeding operation Provide a slide program Provide small engines for laboratory use Outline a spaying program Help develop specific veterinary skills Supply materials for open house Offer technical advice Provide test plots Provide tips on real estate Sponsor tours Provide a tractor for demonstration Provide a truck for instructional use Provide use of laboratory facilities, land and woodland Set up a visit to the regional market Provide volunteer services Work individually with vocational agriculture students



Data in Table 23 relate to the vocational agriculture services desired by Connecticut agricultural employers. The data show that for all categories, 72 per cent of the employers were of the opinion that the vocational agriculture program could serve them by offering adult education programs in agriculture. Forty-five per cent indicated a need for post high school technical training in agriculture and 23 per cent reported that other services were desired.

Adult education programs were desired by 77 per cent of the farmers, 74 per cent of the farm/firm operators, and 67 per cent of the agricultural firm operators. The need for post-high school technical training in agriculture was mentioned by 51 per cent of combination farm/firm operators, 46 per cent of the agricultural firm operators, and 39 per cent of the farmers.

The employers indicated that the vocational agriculture centers could further serve them by offering courses or instruction in the following:

Arboriculture Advertising Animal Care and Handling Animal Diseases Agricultural Chemicals Agricultural Insurance Laws Artificial Insemination Basic Electricity Bedding Plants Bookkeeping Breeding Programs Business Practices and Management Chain Saw Operation and Repair Chemical Application Communications Community Awareness Consumer Education Crop Production and Management Current Agricultural Trends Dairy Production and Technology Diesel Engines Disease Control

Employment Opportunities Available Engine Maintenance Equiperation Sales and Management Farm Management Feeds and Feeding Fertilizers First Aid Floral Design Floriculture Food Processing Forages Forest Management Freezing and Food Preservation Fruit Farming Garden Equipment and Maintenance Generators Government Health and Safety Regulations Grounds Maintenance Health Care Horse Training and Management



Dog Care

Horseshoeing Horticulture Human Relations Hydraulics Income Tax Preparation Insecticide Regulations Kennel Operation Labeling and Packaging Labor Relations Landscaping Lawn Care Land Use Control and Regulations Machinery Maintenance Marketing Meat Cutting Mechanics Merchandizing Milking Nursery Management Nutrition Occupational Safety and Health Act Regulations Pasture Management Personnel Management Pesticides

Plant Care and Identification Plumbing Propagation Public Relations Quality Control Reading Blueprints Record Keeping Refrigeration Retailing Sales and Salesmanship Sanitation Saw Filing Sire Selection Site Management Small Engine Repair Soils Terrariums Transportation of Agricultural Products Tree Maintenance and Surgery Turf Management Use of Hand Tools Veterinary Skills and Care Young Farmer Programs Zoning

The employers also suggested ways in which the vocational agriculture centers could serve them other than by offering courses or providing instruction. It was suggested that the vocational agriculture center could serve as a placement center. Employers could look to the center as a source of part-time or short-term workers. Employers also felt that the center could serve as a referral center on technical agriculture questions. An agricultural reference library could also be made available. Other suggestions were that the center could organize tours, sponsor workshops, seminars or speakers, and serve as a liaison between the agricultural community and the general public. It was also suggested that facilities of the vocational agriculture center be made available periodically for special programs.

In addition to the objective data regarding employment opportunities and community resources collected in the study, certain subjective data



TABLE 23

VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE SERVICES DESIRED BY CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYER

E						
(by	I	eı	. (	cei	nt)	)

ARM/FIRM CATEGORY	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	ADULT EDUCA- TION PROGRAMS IN AGRICULTURE	POST HIC SCHOOL TECH TRAINING AGRICULT
FARM TOTAL	<u>193</u>	77%	<u>39</u> %
Agricultural Production	193	77	39
AGRICULTURAL FIRM TOTAL	<u>331</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>46</u>
Agricultural Production	82	68	49
Ag. Supplies and Services	25	60	32
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	51	59	33
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	21	48	33
Ornamental Horticulture	117	74	56
Renewable Natural Resources	18	83	56
Forestry	2	0	50
Other	15	<b>73</b>	13
COMBINATION FARM AND AG. FIRM TOTAL	129	<u>74</u>	<u>51</u>
Agricultural Production	29	72	34
Ag. Supplies and Services	1	100	100
Ag. Equipment and Mechanics	0	0	0
Ag. Products (Food Processing)	7	71	57
Ornamental Horticulture	85	73	54
Renewable Natural Resources	3	100	.67
Forestry	1	100	100
Other	· 3	67	67
TOTAL - ALL CATEGORIES	<u>653</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>45</u>



TABLE 23

# ONAL AGRICULTURE SERVICES DESIRED BY CONNECTICUT AGRICULTURAL EMPLOYERS

			ERVICES by per cent)	
	NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS RESPONDING	ADULT EDUCA- TION PROGRAMS IN AGRICULTURE	POST HIGH SCHOOL TECHNICAL TRAINING IN AGRICULTURE	OTHER
	193	77%	<u>39</u> %	20%
tion	193	77	39	. 20
<b>L</b>	<u>331</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>46</u>	24
tion rvices	82 25	68 60	49 32 33	27 20 22
echanics Processing)	51 21 117	59 48 74	33 56	33 23
ture esources	18 2	83 0	56 50	11 50
	15	73	13	40
G. FIRM TOTAL	129	<u>74</u>	<u>51</u>	24
tion rvices	29 1	72 100	34 100	17 0
echanics Processing)	0 7	0 71	0 57	0 43
ture esources	85 3	73 100	54 67 100	24 67 0
	1 3	100 67	67	33
3	653	<u>72</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>23</u>



105

were obtained from teacher-interviewers who were enrolled in a graduate course at the University of Connecticut during the fall semester, 1974. Following are sample responses from the teachers regarding their participation in the survey. The responses were based upon personal interviews with farmers and agricultural firm operators. They served as a sample of subjective teacher evaluations of changes needed and the direction to be taken by the program of vocational agriculture in Connecticut in the future. Therefore, they must be evaluated accordingly. The questions and responses are as follow:

- 1. What areas of the vocational agriculture program should be added or re-emphasized?
  - more courses or units on small animal care
  - more units on human relationships
  - more realistic guidance and job orientation
  - more instruction on agribusiness management, customer relations, salesmanship, promotion, and product knowledge
  - more adult courses on agribusiness management
  - more instruction in all areas of ornamental horticulture
  - more emphasis on and practice of practical skills
  - more use of production records and records of all kinds
  - more emphasis on private ownership of small agribusinesses
  - more emphasis on basic agricultural mechanics and small engine repair
  - more units on dog care, breeding and management
  - more instruction on chemical application, laws, and restrictions
    - more units on horse management and care



- more adult courses on agricultural business skills
- more instruction on career opportunities
- 2. What areas of the vocational agriculture program should be phased out or de-emphasized? What shifts of emphasis or changes should be made?

The consensus of the respondents was that no areas should be phased out. It was agreed that certain areas should be de-emphasized or the emphasis should be shifted.

- Continue basic production agriculture as the core of agricultural education, but shift emphasis to include care and management of small animals and pleasure animals
- De-emphasize instruction in areas in which few job opportunities are available and shift emphasis to agribusiness skills
- Continue to offer a broad program and focus on skills, concepts and content that can be generalized to many areas of agriculture
- De-emphasize traditional production agriculture and emphasize instruction in agricultural service and related occupations
- Increase emphasis in the care, repair and maintenance of agricultural equipment
- Place more emphasis on enterprises which provide services for urban and suburban people
- Shift emphasis to serving adults in all areas of horticulture and ornamental horticulture
- 3. What community resources were identified whereby the program of vocational agriculture could be enriched?

Vocational Agriculture teachers found that:

- Many farmers and agribusiness persons were willing to:
  - 1. Serve as resource speakers in the classroom
  - 2. Serve as resource persons at their place of business for groups or individuals



- 3. Provide observation experiences
- 4. Employ students for supervised occupational experience
- 5. Hire vocational agriculture graduates
- Offer facilities for FFA activities or class instruction
- 7. Serve as a field trip site
- 8. Provide demonstrations at the place of business or in the school
- 9. Lend equipment for instructional purposes.
- The vocational agriculture program could serve farmers and agribusiness persons by:
  - 1. Offering adult courses
  - 2. Preparing qualified vocational agriculture graduates
  - 3. Making available selected school resources
  - 4. Providing information about the vocational agriculture program
  - Sponsoring workshops and seminars on agricultural issues.
- Many qualified people were willing to serve as members of the advisory committee
- Farmers and agribusiness persons were very cooperative
- Many untapped resources existed in the community
- Farmers and agribusiness persons are interested in the program of vocational agriculture
- Many farmers and agribusiness persons are potential contributors to the FFA Foundation.



#### CHAPTER IV

## SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Summary

The purpose of the study was (1) to determine the employment opportunities for persons who have knowledge and skills in agricultural subjects and (2) to identify the community resources available to those involved in conducting programs of vocational agriculture in Connecticut. The study was designed to enumerate job possibilities, to determine where job openings in agriculture were located, to inform farmers and agricultural firm operators of the program of vocational agriculture, and to determine what resources in the community could be tapped to enrich the program of vocational agriculture offered in Connecticut.

At the state level, information was needed to assist in planning and in making decisions regarding programs to be developed, curricula to be offered, facilities to be erected or expanded, equipment to be installed, teachers to be prepared and towns and persons to be served. At the regional level, information was needed to enable the teachers of vocational agriculture to prepare students for existing employment opportunities in agriculture, to keep the teachers abreast of the changing knowledges and skills required by workers employed in agricultural occupations, and to aid them in fulfilling the training, supervised occupational experience program and placement functions of their job. In addition, information regarding community resources available on farms and in agricultural firms was needed to provide a base for strengthening the practical, instructional, and experiential aspects of the education of vocational agriculture students.



Another need was to educate agricultural employers regarding the vocational agriculture program. It was important that farmers and agricultural firm operators be aware that the vocational agriculture program existed, that it could provide a source of trained personnel, and that present employees could upgrade their knowledge and skills by enrolling in adult courses in agriculture.

Included in the survey were farms, non-farm agricultural firms, and farm/firm combinations that employed one or more agricultural workers.

Non-agricultural firms, agencies, institutions and organizations who employ persons needing agricultural knowledge and skills were not included in the survey.

The study was designed to fulfill the stated needs through the cooperation of the State Consultant for Vocational Agriculture, the project staff, and the teachers of vocational agriculture. The staff surveyed the literature, identified the population and sample, developed the interview schedule, trained the teachers to conduct the interviews and compiled, analyzed and reported the results. To obtain the required data, the teachers conducted personal interviews with farmers and agricultural firm operators in their regions and disseminated information regarding the vocational agriculture programs. Graduate research assistants conducted interviews in areas of high sample concentration.

#### Findings

The major findings of the study were:

1. The estimated total annual workforce on Connecticut farms and in agricultural firms included in the population for the study was 80,316. Of these, 76,598 were paid workers while 3,718 were unpaid.



- 2. The largest number, 32,789, or 41 per cent of the estimated total annual workforce was found on farms. A total of 29,734 worked in agricultural firms and 17,793 worked for combination farms and agricultural firms.
- According to the opinions of the agricultural employers surveyed,
   794, or approximately 27 per cent, of the total workforce of 80,316
   needed knowledge and skill in agriculture.
- 4. Of the estimated 21,794 full-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture, 5,406 were employed on farms, 11,263 were employed in agricultural firms, and 5,125 were employed in combination farms and agricultural firms.
- 5. By instructional area, of the estimated 21,794 full-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture, 8,354, or 38 per cent, were employed in agricultural production; 6,808, or 31 per cent, were employed in ornamental horticulture; 2,751, or 13 per cent, were employed in agricultural products; 1,211, or six per cent, were employed in natural resources; 966, or four per cent, were in agricultural mechanics; 874, or four per cent, were in agricultural sales and services; 721, or three per cent, were in other agriculture; and 109, or one per cent, were employed in forestry.
- 6. A total of 561 vacancies for full-time workers needing knowledge and skills in agriculture was reported during the survey period. A total of 307 vacancies was found in agricultural firms, 188 on combination farms and agricultural firms, and 66 on farms. By instructional area, the greatest number of vacancies existed in agricultural production and ornamental horticulture.
- 7. An estimated 4,958 replacements for workers who have knowledge and skills in agriculture will be needed by 1979, a five year period. A



total of 2,009 replacements will be needed in agricultural firms; 1,691, in combination farms and agricultural firms; and 1,258, on farms.

- 8. By instructional area, an estimated 2,042 replacement workers will be needed in agricultural production; 1,685, in ornamental horticulture; and 536, in agricultural products.
- 9. The 1979 projected work force for full-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture is 24,855, an increase of 14 per cent over the current 1974 employment of 21,794.
- 10. For farms, the projected work force by 1979 was 6,010, an increase of 11 per cent over the current 1974 employment of 5,406.
- 11. The projected 1979 work force in agricultural firms was estimated to be 12,889, a 14 per cent increase over the current 1974 employment total of 11,263.
- 12. For combination farms and agricultural firms, the projected work force by 1979 was 5,956, an increase of 16 per cent over the 5,125 employed in 1974.
- 13. Of the 21,794 full-time workers needing knowledge and skills in agriculture, 18,471, or 85 per cent, were male and 3,323, or 15 per cent, were female.
- 14. Of the 5,406 full-time workers needing knowledge and skills in agriculture on farms, 4,793, or 89 per cent, were male and 613, or 11 per cent, were female.
- 15. Of the 11,263 full-time workers needing knowledge and skills in agriculture in agricultural firms. 9.580, or 85 per cent, were male while 1,683 or 15 per cent were female.
- 16. Of the 5,125 full-time workers needing knowledge and skills in agriculture on combination farms and agricultural firms, 4,098, or 80 per



cent, were male and 1,027, or 20 per cent, were female.

- 17. Of the estimated 6,935 part-time workers needing knowledge and skills in agriculture, 4,662, or 67 per cent, were male and 2,273, or 33 per cent, were female. Males composed 78 per cent of the part-time workers on farms, 59 per cent in agricultural firms, and 60 per cent on combination farms and agricultural firms.
- 18. The mean minimum weekly earnings of full-time workers needing knowledge and skills in agriculture was \$156. On farms the mean was \$149, in agricultural firms the mean was \$169, and on combination farms and agricultural firms the mean was \$141. The range of minimum weekly earnings varied greatly depending upon job title.
- 19. The mean maximum weekly earnings of full-time workers needing knowledge and skills in agriculture was \$230. Reported maximum weekly earnings ranged from \$70 to \$1,600. On farms the mean was \$207, in agricultural firms the mean was \$260, and on combination farms and agricultural firms, the mean maximum weekly earnings were \$200.
- 20. Employers reported that prior experience was required in 80 per cent of the cases for entry level employment on farms and agricultural firms in Connecticut.
- 21. Ninety-two per cent of the farmers' responses, 85 per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm operators' responses, and 70 per cent of the agricultural firm operators' responses indicated that prior experience was required for entry level employment.
- 22. The high school diploma was the minimum formal educational level that the majority of Connecticut agricultural employers would accept for beginning employees. On farms, 42 per cent of the responses indicated that the minimum formal educational level required was a high



school diploma, in agricultural firms, 52 per cent; and on combination farms and agricultural firms, 42 per cent. For all categories, 12 per cent of the employers' responses indicated that the minimum formal educational level they would accept for beginning employees was a one or two year post-secondary program while 21 per cent indicated that education less than a high school diploma was sufficient for beginning employees.

- 23. Responses indicating that it was very difficult to hire qualified workers totaled 43 per cent for farmers, 41 per cent for combination farm/firm operators, and 32 per cent for agricultural firm operators.
- 24. Farmers reported that they experienced no difficulty in hiring qualified workers in 27 per cent of the cases, agricultural firm operators in 37 per cent of the cases, and combination farm and agricultural firm operators in 31 per cent of the cases.
- 25. On-the-job training is the primary way that Connecticut agricultural employees obtain additional training. For all categories, 96 per cent of the employers reported that on-the-job training was used. Special schools or programs are used by 28 per cent of the agricultural employers. A total of 16 per cent of the farmers reported that adult education in local schools was a method by which employees obtain additional training.
- 26. Employers identified many skills needed by their employees.

  Included was a vast array of technical, managerial and social skills.
- 27. Farmers were most likely to have hired vocational agriculture graduates in the past ten years. Forty-three per cent of the farmers, 39 per cent of the combination farm/firm operators, and 23 per cent of the agricultural firm operators stated that they had hired vocational agriculture graduates in the past ten years.



- 28. A total of 61 per cent of the Connecticut agricultural employers interviewed were familiar with the vocational agriculture program. Seventy-nine per cent of the farmers, 65 per cent of the combination farm/firm operators, and 48 per cent of the agricultural firm operators were familiar with the vocational agriculture program.
- 29. A total of 67 per cent of the Connecticut agricultural employers interviewed were familiar with the FFA. Eighty-three per cent of the farmers, 72 per cent of the combination farm and agricultural firm operators and 55 per cent of the agricultural firm operators stated that they were familiar with the FFA.
- 30. A total of 81 per cent of the Connecticut farm and agricultural firm operators surveyed were willing to permit individual students to observe business operations, 70 per cent were willing to permit classes to observe business operations, 63 per cent were willing to provide supervised occupational experience for vocational agriculture students through employment, 52 per cent were willing to allow their employees to provide short-term instruction at the school and 53 per cent were willing to allow their employees to provide short-term instruction at the business.
- 31. Employers identified a large number of resources they would be willing to provide to enrich the program of vocational agriculture offered in Connecticut.
- 32. Connecticut agricultural employers were of the opinion that the vocational agriculture program could best serve their needs by offering continuing education in agriculture. Seventy-two per cent of the employers desired adult education programs in agriculture while 45 per cent indicated a need for post-high school technical training in agriculture. Many areas in which instruction or courses could be offered were suggested and other



ways in which the vocational agriculture centers could serve farmers and agricultural firm operators were identified.

#### Recommendations

The following recommendations are made in regard to the maintenance, modification, and improvement of the program of vocational agriculture in Connecticut. The recommendations formulated by the writers were based upon the knowledge gained while involved in the planning, development and execution of the study. In addition, the recommendations were based upon the observations made during the study and the statistical findings of the survey. Although it is impossible to enumerate all of the recommendations and implications which may be drawn from the results of a study of this scope, selected recommendations which may have a significant impact on the program of vocational agriculture are presented.

The recommendations regarding programs of vocational agriculture are:

- 1. A broad based program of vocational agriculture in Connecticut should be offered to maintain the expanding workforce needing knowledge and skill in agriculture. An estimated total of 21,794, or approximately 27 per cent of the total annual workforce on Connecticut farms and in agricultural firms, needed knowledge and skills in agriculture.
- 2. In addition to continuing placement of students on farms, teachers of vocational agriculture in Connecticut should increase emphasis on the placement of students in agricultural firms for supervised occupational experience. Of the 21,794 full-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture, 11,263, or approximately 52 per cent, were employed in agricultural firms. The reported mean minimum and the reported mean maximum earnings in agricultural firms were higher than on farms and combination farms and agricultural firms. During the survey period, a higher



number of vacancies, 307, were found in agricultural firms than were found on farms or combination farms and agricultural firms. In addition, only 23 per cent of the agricultural firm operators reported that they had hired vocational agriculture graduates in the last ten years.

- 3. Instruction in agricultural production and in ornamental horticulture should continue to serve as the primary instructional areas within the program of vocational agriculture in Connecticut. By instructional area, 38 per cent of the workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture were employed in agricultural production while 31 per cent were employed in ornamental horticulture.
- 4. Additional attention should be given to helping students prepare for employment in the instructional area of agricultural products. A total of 2,751, or 13 per cent, of the workers were employed in agricultural products or food processing.
- 5. Sufficient flexibility should be provided in the program of vocational agriculture to allow for instruction in natural resources, agricultural mechanics, agricultural sales and services, forestry, and other agriculture. Agricultural mechanics and agricultural sales and services are integral parts of production agriculture and ornamental horticulture. The major employers of natural resources and forestry workers, state and federal agencies, were not included in the study. Knowledge and skill in these instructional areas are needed to keep agricultural production at a high level.
- 6. The program of vocational agriculture in Connecticut should be expanded to meet the existing and future employment needs. An estimated total of 561 vacancies for full-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture were identified during the survey period. Also, an



estimated total of 4,958 replacement workers who have knowledge and skills in agriculture will be needed by 1979, a five year period. The highest number of replacement workers will be needed in agricultural production, ornamental horticulture and agricultural products. The 1979 projected work force for full-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture is 24,855, an increase of 14 per cent over the current 1974 employment of 21,794.

- 7. Teachers of vocational agriculture should continue to encourage enrollment of both boys and girls in the program of vocational agriculture. Fifteen per cent of the full-time workers needing knowledge and skill in agriculture were female. Females composed 33 per cent of the part-time agricultural workforce.
- 8. Supervised occupational experience programs in agriculture should continue to receive high priority as an integral part of the vocational agriculture program. Employers reported that prior experience was required in 80 per cent of the cases for entry level employment on Connecticut farms and agricultural firms. The ratio of students to vocational agriculture teachers should be maintained at an optimum number so that proper placement and supervision can be provided.
- 9. Teachers of vocational agriculture should continue to place emphasis on the public relations and publicity aspects of the program. Sixty-one per cent of the Connecticut agricultural employers were familiar with the vocational agriculture program in their region while 67 per cent were familiar with the FFA. Farmers were the most familiar; agricultural firm operators were the least familiar.
- 10. Teachers should make more use of the resources and talent available within the agricultural community to enhance and enrich the



instructional program, both high school and adult. The resources are present and employers want to be involved. As director of the learning activity, each teacher should evaluate the quality of the resources available and should make more use of the large number of resources agricultural business persons said they would be willing to provide if asked.

- adult education in agriculture, both for employers and employees. Agricultural workers expressed the need to keep their technical and management knowledge and skills up-dated. Seventy-two per cent of the employers who responded desired adult education programs in agriculture, yet, only 61 per cent were familiar with the vocational agriculture program. Instruction in business and financial management was needed in all areas.
- 12. Increased emphasis should be placed on helping vocational agriculture students become aware, explore, prepare for, and obtain placement in agricultural careers which will provide enough remuneration to sustain a moderate standard of living. Data, by job title, regarding current and projected employment, vacancies, earnings, and difficult-to-fill jobs provide many implications for career preparation and placement.
- 13. Employment opportunities and community resources in areas other than those included in this study should be identified. Single-operator farms and agricultural firms, and non-agricultural firms, agencies, institutions and organizations who employ persons who need knowledge and skill in agriculture were not included in the study.
- employment opportunity and community resources data on a continuous basis in their own communities. Continuous interaction is needed to keep current with job openings, the needs of employers, and resources available.



Rapport established with the farmers and agricultural firm operators proved to be one of the most beneficial outcomes of the study.

15. Based upon the state findings, the regional results, and their observations while participating in the study, teachers of vocational agriculture should use their professional judgment regarding program improvements and modifications to be made. Continuous involvement and participation in state staff meetings, graduate education, and professional activities are needed if the program of vocational agriculture is to continue to meet the educational needs of persons employed in the dynamic field of agriculture.



#### APPENDIX A

## INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

## A SURVEY OF

## EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES AND COMMUNITY

## RESOURCES IN AGRICULTURE IN CONNECTICUT

INTERVIEWER	DATE					
NAME OF BUSINESS		_				
ADDRESS	*					
	PHONE					
PERSON INTERVIEWED	POSITION					

## CONDUCTED BY

TEACHERS OF VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE

AND SUPPORTED BY

THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

AND

CONNECTICUT STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION DIVISION OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION



F,	/F	#_			
R	eg	#			

mi	INTERVIEW SCHEDULE
Eì	PLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES AND COMMUNITY RESOURCES IN AGRICULTURE IN CONNECTION
	IF FARM: What is (are) the primary product(s) sold? (Check all that apply)
	1. Dairy9. Forestry
	2. Livestock10. Tobacco
	3. Poultry11. Vegetable4. Fur bearing animal12. Fruit and nut
	6. Florist 14. Other (Specify)
	7. Bedding plant
	8. Turf
	What are the <u>primary</u> functions and services of the business and to what products or services do they apply? (Check all that apply and specify)
	FUNCTION PRODUCT(S) OR SERVICE(S)
	1. Construction
	2. Contracting
	3. Distribution
	4. Manufacturing
	5. Packaging
	6. Processing
	7. Production
	8. Purchasing
	9. Recreation
	10. Retailing
	ll. Service
	12. Transporting
	13. Warehousing
	14. Wholesaling
	15. Other (Specify)
	Total number of different paid employees (including management) in this business in the course of a year  4. Total number of unpaid family or volunteer works in the course of a year



## 

- 5. What are the job titles of your employees? (List all job titles of business in spaces provided.)
- 6. For each job title listed, is know-ledge and skill in agriculture needed? (Indicate "Yes" or "No" in space provided.)

ITEMS 7 THROUGH 16 SHOULD BE ANSWERED ONLY FOR THOSE JOB TITLES IN WHICH A "YES" RESPONSE WAS GIVEN FOR QUESTION 6

- 7. For each job title in which knowledge and skill in agriculture is needed, indicate:
  - 1. Number of full-time employees in this job today (M and F).
  - Number of part-time employees (less than 30 hours per week) in this job today (M and F).
  - 3. Maximum number of seasonal employees at any time and season of maximum (1=Winter, 2=Spring, 3=Summer, 4=Fall).
  - 4. Minimum number of seasonal employees at any time and season of minimum (1=Winter, 2=Spring, 3=Summer, 4=Fall).
- 8. How many full-time employees will this business need in each job title five years from now? (Indicate projected number for each job title.)
- 9. How many full-time employees, on the average, do you need to keep this job filled? (Indicate number for each job title.)
- 10. How many vacancies do you currently have in this job for full-time employees? (Indicate number for each job title.)

- 11. What is the minimum formal educational level you would accept for beginning employees in this job? (Indicate number of level applicable for each job title.)
  - 1. Less than high school
  - 2. High school graduate
  - 3. Post secondary one or two year program
  - 4. College graduate
  - 5. Advanced degree program
  - 6. Specialized training program
- 12. Is prior experience in agriculture
   required to enter this job?
   (Indicate "Yes" or "No" for each
   job title.)
- 13. How difficult is it to hire qualified employees for this job? (Indicate number of response choice for each job title.)
  - 1. Very difficult
  - 2. Somewhat difficult
  - 3. Not difficult
- 14. What is your minimum rate of pay for an experienced full-time worker in this job? (Indicate amount and pay period for each full-time job title listed.)
- 15. What is your maximum rate of pay for an experienced full-time worker in this job? (Indicate amount and pay period for each full-time job title listed.)
- 16. What is your rate of pay for a part-time worker in this job? (Indicate amount and pay period for each part-time or seasonal job title listed.)

(ON ALL PAY ITEMS 14 THROUGH 16, IF RATE OF PAY IS HOURLY, INDICATE IN THE PARFNTHESES PROVIDED THE ESTIMATED HOURS PER WEEK EMPLOYED.)

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

THE REMAINING SCHEDULE ITEMS SHOULD
BE ANSWERED DIRECTLY ON THE FORM
\*



What new skills do these employees need? (i.e., those from items 7 - 16)	22.	Are you familiar with the FFA (Future Farmers of America)?
No. Needing Skills Skills		1. Yes 2. No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23.	If requested by vocational- agriculture teachers, would your business: (Indicate "Yes", "No" or Maybe "?" for each item below.
How do your employees get additional training? 1. On-the-job training2. Specialized training schools or programs3. Adult education programs in local schools4. None of the above5. Other (Specify)  what new job titles may become available in this business in the next five years?		1. Permit individual students to observe business operations? 2. Permit classes to observe business operations? 3. Provide supervised occu- pational experience for vocational-agriculture students through employ- ment? 4. Allow employees to pro- vide short-term instruc- tion at the school? 5. Allow employees to pro- vide short-term instruc- tion at your business? 6. Assist the school in any ways not already mentioned (Specify)
	24.	What services would you like the vocational-agriculture centers to provide for your business?
Has this business hired vocational agriculture graduates in the last ten years?		1. Provide adult education programs in agriculture for you or your employees.  2. Offer post-high school technical training in agriculture.  3. Other (Specify)
1. Yes 2. No 3. Uncertain	·	
Are you familiar with the vocational agriculture program in this region?		
1. Yes2. No	<b>904</b>	

														Code	125	
														5. JOB TITLE	F/F# Reg#	
+	-													Agricultural Knowledge and Skill Needed		
											-1			Male	Current Number	
														Female	of Full-Time Employees	
														Male	Current Number	1
						_								Female	of Part-Time Employees	
														Total	Maxi mum Numbe	7.
														Season	of Seasonal Employees	
			·											Total	Minimum Number of Seasonal Employees	
														Season		
														Five Year Projection		
														Yearly Turnover		
									_					Vacancies Now 1		
														Minimum Formal Educational Level		
										*				Experience in Agriculture Required?		
									_					Difficulty in Hiring Employees?		13
40	40	40	***	40	40	49	49	49	*	44	40	*	•	Amount per	<b>M</b> ini mum	
,	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	pay period	Rate of Pay	14
_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_		_	Avg. hrs.	/wk. Full-Time	
*	49	<u>~</u>		49	45	<u></u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<b>*</b>	<b>₩</b>	<u>~</u>	<b></b>	<b>9</b>	(if hourly	<u> </u>	+
_														per pay	Maximum Rate of Pay	15
`	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	period Avg. hrs.	for ./wk. Full-Time	
<u></u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<del></del>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u> </u>	~ **	<b>-</b>	(if hourly	y)	-
														Amount per pay	Part-Time Rate of Pay	16
`	1	1	1	`	)	]	<u> </u>	1						period		
l_	_ ا				_							-		Avg. hrs (if hour!	, .	

ERIC

202

#### APPENDIX B

#### NON-FARM CATEGORY LISTINGS

Abattoirs

All-Terrain Vehicles
Animal Breeding--Small

Animal Shelter

Animals--Laboratory Use

Associations Auctioneers

Butter

Campgrounds
Cattle Breeding

Cheese Cider

Cigar, etc. Mfgs.

Crop Dusting

Dairies

Dairy Equip. and Supplies Dairy Products--Wholesale

Dog Grooming
Eggs--Wholesale
Evergreens
Farm Equipment
Farm Products

Farriers--Blacksmiths

Feed Dealers

Feed--Wholesale and Mfg.

Fertilizers Firewood

Fish Hatcheries Florists--Retail Florists--Supplies Florists--Wholesale Flower Arranging Food Brokers

Food Processing
Food Products

Foresters

Frozen Food Processors
Fruit and Produce Packers
Fruit and Produce--Retail
Fruit and Produce--Wholesale

Fur Skins--Raw Garden Centers

Garden Equipment and Supplies

Greenhouses

Hatcheries--Poultry

Herbs

Horse Breeders
Horse Dealers
Horse Training
Horse Transporting
Ice Cream--Mfg. & Dist.

Insecticides

Insemination Service--Artificial Irrigation Systems & Equipment

Kennels

Landscape Architects Landscape Contractors Lawn Maintenance

Lawn Mowers--Sharpening & Repair

Limestone--Agricultural

Livestock Lobstermen Meat Packers Meat--Wholesale

Nurserymen

Nursery Stock--Wholesale

Parks Peat Moss Pet Shops

Pet Transporting Potato Distributors Poultry & Game Poultry Services Riding Academies Saddlery & Harness

Sausages Sawmills Seeds & Bulbs Seed Growers Site Planners

Sod and Sodding Service

Stables Taxidermists

TAXIGETHISES

Tobacco Leaf--Wholesale Tobacco Processing

Top Soil

Tractors--Farm Trailers--Horse Tree Service

Veterinarian Equipment & Supplies

Veterinarians

Zoos



#### APPENDIX C

#### STATE VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE CONSULTING COMMITTEE

#### 1973-1976

## Three-Year Terms to Expire in 1976

Mr. Harold Bishop Connecticut Pomological Society Woodstock, Connecticut 06281

Mr. Albert C. Fisher, Manager Connecticut Milk Producers Association Milk Lane Newington, Connecticut 06111

Mr. Robert deC. Hughes
Executive Secretary
Connecticut Milk for Health
101 Reserve Road
Hartford, Connecticut 06114

Mr. Arvid H. Seaburg, Jr.
Public Relations
Arbor Acres
Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033

Mr. George W. Simpson, Jr. Executive Secretary Connecticut Farm Bureau 101 Reserve Road Hartford, Connecticut 06114

Mr. Hendrik Verkade, Jr. 809 Montauk Avenue New London, Connecticut 06320

Mr. Herman Weingart, Jr. Route 87
Franklin, Connecticut 0625

## Two-Year Terms to Expire in 1975

Mr. Charles Barr, Secretary Connecticut Florists Association Exec. Secretary, Connecticut Nurserymens' Association 584 Campbell Avenue - Box 352 West Haven, Connecticut 06716

Mr. Nathan R. Cushman R.F.D. #1 Lebanon, Connecticut 06249

Mr. George Gulielmetti
Office Manager
Farm Credit Service
56 Townline Road
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067

Mr. S. Archie Holdridge 23 Fortpath Road Madison, Connecticut 06443

Mr. Samuel Polinsky
President Connecticut Poultry Assoc.
Jewett City, Connecticut 06351

Mr. David N. Stiles
Conn. Light and Power Company
R.F.D. #1
Southbury, Connecticut 06488



## One-Year Terms to Expire in 1974

Mr. David Anderson Vegetable & Bedding Growers Assoc. 195 Broad Street Wethersfield, Connecticut 06109

Mr. Frank Atwood 100 Westland Street Manchester, Connecticut 06040

Dr. Peter Berk, V.M.D.
Connecticut Medical Veterinary Assoc.
Lovely Street
Canton, Connecticut 06019

Mr. Ellis F. Clark
50 Knollwood Road
Newington, Connecticut 06111

Mr. Llewellyn Turner Minnechaug Dr. Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033

Mr. Don Tuttle, Director
Board of Agriculture
State Office Building
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Mrs. Richard G. Williams
State Grange
Goodale Hill Road
Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033

## The Following are Ex-Officio Members:

Commissioner Fenton P. Futtner State Department of Agriculture State Office Building Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Mr. Charles Hamblen
Principal
The Norwich Free Academy
Norwich, Connecticut 06360

Mr. Philip Hoyt
Killingly High School
Danielson, Connecticut 06239

Dr. E. J. Kersting, Dean College of Agriculture University of Connecticut Storrs, Connecticut 06268

Mr. Vincent Malerba 622 New London Tpke. Norwich, Connecticut 06360

Dr. Alfred Mannebach
Teacher Educator
School of Education
University of Connecticut
Storrs, Connecticut 06268

Dr. Paul E. Waggoner - Director Connecticut Agriculture Experiment Station 123 Huntington Street - Box 1106 New Haven, Connecticut 06771



#### APPENDIX D

### PROJECT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Dr. Herbert Righthand
Acting Associate Commissioner
and Director
Division of Vocational
Education
State Department of Education
State Office Building
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Mr. Erroll J. Terrell Chief Bureau of Vocational Services Division of Vocational Education State Department of Education State Office Building Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Mr. Richard C. Wilson
Director
Research and Planning Unit
Division of Vocational
Education
State Department of Education
State Office Building
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Mr. Roger W. Lawrence
Vocational Agriculture
Consultant
Bureau of Vocational Services
Division of Vocational
Education
State Department of Education
State Office Building
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Mr. Philip Hoyt
President
Connecticut Vocational Agriculture
Teachers' Association
Killingly High School
Danielson, Connecticut 06239

Mr. John Lepper
Information and Commodity Director
Connecticut Farm Bureau Association, Inc.
101 Reserve Road
Hartford, Connecticut 06114

Mr. John McGeever
Assistant to the Commissioner
Connecticut State Department of
Agriculture
State Office Building
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Mr. George E. Whitham
Associate Director
Cooperative Extension Service
College of Agriculture and
Natural Resources
University of Connecticut
Storrs, Connecticut 06268



#### APPENDIX E

### PROJECT CONSULTANTS

Dr. J. Franklin Bobbitt
Associate Professor
Agricultural Education
Rural Manpower Center
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan 48823

Dr. Ralph Field
Associate Professor
Department of Adult and
Occupational Education
College of Education
Kansas State University
Manhattan, Kansas 66506

Dr. Steven J. Gyuro
Project Management Analyst
Center for Vocational and
Technical Education
Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210

Dr. Loyd R. Hughes
Dean of Instruction
Vernon Regional Junior College
Vernon, Texas 77384

Dr. Maynard Iverson
Assistant Professor
Agricultural Education
College of Education
University of Kentucky
Lexington, Kentucky 40506

Dr. Donald E. McCreight
Associate Professor
Department of Agricultural Education
College of Resource Development
University of Rhode Island
Kingston, Rhode Island
02881

Dr. Glenn Z. Stevens
Professor
Agricultural Education
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Dr. J. Robert Warmbrod Professor Agricultural Education Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio 43210



#### APPENDIX F

#### CONNECTICUT VOCATIONAL AGRICULTURE TEACHERS

Killingly High School
Danielson, Connecticut 06239
Philip Hoyt\*
Stanley Putnam
Douglas Butterfield
Richard Colson

Housatonic Valley Regional High School
Falls Village, Connecticut 06031
George Wheeler\*
Walter Burcroff
Timothy Parry

Glastonbury High School
Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033
Peter D. Wolcott\*
David B. Monroe
Dale L. Schutt

Robert Gambino

Lyman Memorial High School Lebanon, Connecticut 06249 William Morehouse\* Frank Wilson Francis Lineberry, Jr.

Ledyard High School
Ledyard, Connecticut 06339
Arthur Sherwood\*
Richard Bawden
Patrick J. Hourihan

Wamogo Regional High School Litchfield, Connecticut 06759 Bruce Sahlin\* Kenneth Gladding Harry Poll, Jr.

Woodrow Wilson High School
Middletown, Connecticut 06457
Daniel A. Digiulio\*
Frank Kravic, Jr.
Mark Norton

Nathan Hale-Ray High School Moodus, Connecticut 06469 William Tabacinski\*

Norwich Free Academy
Norwich, Connecticut 06360
Ira Wasniewski\*

Rockville High School
Rockville, Connecticut 06066
Neal A. Landers\*
B. Harrison Griffin
Stanwood Pullen
Ronald Carle

Southington High School
Southington, Connecticut 06489
Kenneth Farmer\*
Edward C. Coutu

E.O. Smith High School
Storrs, Connecticut 06268
Donald Cook\*
I. Garland Thomen
James E. Dick
Michael P. Sibiga

Suffield High School
Suffield, Connecticut 06078
Frederick G. Clark\*
Clare A. Graft
Jon Freeman

Trumbull High School
Trumbull, Connecticut 06611
Edward Gill\*
Hugh J.P. Ripper



#### APPENDIX F

## (Continued)

Lyman Hall High School
Wallingford, Connecticut 06492
Vernon L. Cleaves\*
Wilford Schultz
Fred Berggren
James Wallace

Nonnewaug Regional High School Woodbury, Connecticut 06798 David Breakell\* Juluis Yale Eugene Wisniewski Bruno Hutter

\*Department Head







